

Chapter 6. Literature Cited

1 Note: The literature cited is currently separated by chapter and section. It will be combined into one
2 master list for the camera-ready FEIS.

3 Chapter 1

- 4 Griset, S. 2011. *An Ethnohistory of the Rosemont Copper Project Area in the Eastern Santa Rita*
5 *Mountains, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report No. 09-238. Prepared for U.S.
6 Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper
7 Company. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 8 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
9 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 10 ———. 2004. *Training Guide for Reclamation Bond Estimation and Administration: For Mineral*
11 *Plans of Operation Authorized and Administered under 36 CFR 228A*. Washington, D.C.:
12 U.S. Forest Service. April.
- 13 ———. 2009. *Scoping Summary Report #1: Extent of Public Participation—Rosemont Copper*
14 *Project, a Proposed Mining Operation in Southern Arizona*. Tucson, Arizona: Coronado
15 National Forest. August.
- 16 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
17 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

18 Chapter 2

- 19
- 20 AMEC Earth and Environmental Inc. 2009. *Rosemont Copper Company Dry Stack Tailings Storage*
21 *Facility Final Design Report*. Project No. 84201191. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
22 Company. Englewood, Colorado: AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc. April 15.
- 23 Arizona Corporation Commission. 2012. Order Granting Tucson Electric Power Certificate of
24 Environmental Compatibility as Modified by the Commission and Vacating Decision No.
25 73074. Arizona Corporation Commission Case No. 164, Docket No. L-00000C-11-0400-
26 00164, Decision No. 73232. Signed and docketed June 12, 2012.
- 27 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2012. State of Arizona Aquifer Protection Permit No.
28 P-106100. Place ID 135845, LTF 49639. Issued to Rosemont Copper Company. April 3.
- 29 Arizona Department of Transportation. 2012. Rosemont Copper Project Draft Environmental Impact
30 Statement Proposed SR 83 Improvements Mile Post 58.5 – 47.1. Letter from Mick Hont,
31 P.E., Acting Tucson District Engineer (Operations), Arizona Department of Transportation,
32 to Walt Keyes, Road Manager, Coronado National Forest. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona
33 Department of Transportation. September 19.
- 34 Arizona Department of Water Resources. 2013. Long-term storage account summary. Updated
35 through January 2013. Available at:
36 <http://www.azwater.gov/AzDWR/WaterManagement/Recharge/>. Accessed February 25,
37 2013.
- 38 Arnold, K., and T. Meyer. 2006. *Rosemont Tailings Siting Study*. Project No. 06.50.0300. Tucson,
39 Arizona: Vector Arizona. May 26.
- 40 Augusta Resource Corporation. 2012. News release: Augusta announces 2012 feasibility study
41 update results. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. July 24.
- 42 Bartz, B.A. 2012. *Rosemont Copper T-Intersection Project; SR 83 Drainage Improvements Analysis*.
43 Job No. 7ROS110101. Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
44 Psomas. July 9.

- 1 Beck, E. 2012. Director, Transmission Policy and Contracts, Tucson Electric Power and UniSource
2 Energy Services. Tucson. Email to Chris Garrett, SWCA Environmental Consultants, Inc.,
3 regarding transmission lines.
- 4 CDM Smith. 2012. *Preliminary Reclamation and Closure Plan for the Barrel Alternative, Rosemont
5 Copper Company, July 2012, Rosemont Copper Project*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
6 Company. Tucson, Arizona: CDM Smith. July 9.
- 7 Council on Environmental Quality. 2007. *A Citizen's Guide to the NEPA: Having Your Voice Heard*.
8 Executive Office of the President. December.
- 9 ———. 2011. *Appropriate Use of Mitigation and Monitoring and Clarifying the Appropriate Use of
10 Mitigated Findings of No Significant Impact*. Prepared by N.H. Sutley. Washington, D.C.:
11 Executive Office of the President, Council on Environmental Quality. January 14.
- 12 Davis, T. 2012. New Rosemont flap centers on power-line capacity. *Arizona Daily Star*, 11
13 November. Tucson.
- 14 Derby, J. 2010. *Rosemont Copper Project Alternatives for Detailed Analysis*. File Code 1950-3/2810.
15 Tucson, Arizona: Coronado National Forest. May 10.
- 16 Golder Associates Inc. 2010. *Rosemont Mine Landforming, Evaluation of Mine Waste Slope
17 Geometry*. Project No. 093-81962. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants.
18 Lakewood, Colorado: Golder Associates Inc. February 17.
- 19 Huss, C. 2007. *Rosemont Copper Project Feasibility Study, Vol. 1: NI 43-101 Technical Report*.
20 Project No. M3-PN06156. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3
21 Engineering and Technology Corporation. August 24.
- 22 ———. 2009. *Rosemont Copper Project Updated Feasibility Study, Vol. 1: NI 43-101 Technical
23 Report*. Project No. M3-PN08036. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson,
24 Arizona: M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. January 14.
- 25 Kline, J., M. York, J. Rasmussen, and C. Hoag. 2012. Memorandum: Pt. 2 SWCA Questions 7, 8, and
26 10 - Professional Opinions on Miscellaneous Public Comments. Project No.
27 183100.020/Task 600. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona:
28 SRK Consulting, Inc. August 8.
- 29 M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. 2011. Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Mine
30 Outdoor Lighting and Pima County Outdoor Lighting Code. M3-PN08036. Rev. Prepared for
31 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering and Technology
32 Corporation. January.
- 33 ———. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: NI 43-101 Technical Report, Updated Feasibility Study,
34 Pima County, Arizona, USA*. Revision 0. Project No. M3-PN 08036. Prepared for Augusta
35 Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation.
36 August 28.
- 37 Monrad, C.K., J. Benya, and D.L. Crawford. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution
38 Mitigation Recommendation Report*. Revision 1. Monrad Engineering Inc. June 18.
- 39 Nelson, E. 2012. Conceptual Design of Heap Leach Pump Back Riser Pipe. EA No. 110253.
40 Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Fort Collins, Colorado:
41 Engineering Analytics, Inc. June 25.
- 42 Ninyo and Moore. 2012. *Pavement Design Summary and Materials Design Report, Rosemont Copper
43 Project, Rosemont Copper T-Intersection, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 603596001.
44 Prepared for Psomas. Tucson, Arizona: Ninyo and Moore. May 25.
- 45 Pima Association of Governments. 2012. Cienega Creek hydrologic research and findings. Available
46 at: <http://www.pagnet.org/tabid/1012/default.aspx>. Accessed September 19, 2012.
- 47 Psomas. 2012. *Rosemont Primary Access Road Traffic Impact Analysis Rosemont Copper Company,
48 Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 7ROS110410. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
49 Tucson, Arizona: Psomas. December.

- 1 Reagor, C. 2008. Arizona's population projections were off due to housing boom; estimates based on
2 new homes hurt cities, schools. *The Arizona Republic*.
- 3 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012a. Response to August 8, 2012 Forest Service Letter. Letter from
4 Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont
5 Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document
6 No. 059/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. September 12.
- 7 ———. 2012b. Response to December 4, 2012 Forest Service Letter. Letter from Katherine Ann
8 Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont Copper Company,
9 to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document No. 072/12-
10 15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. December 14.
- 11 ———. 2012c. Rosemont Copper Project; Proposed Modifications to Barrel Alternative. Letter from
12 Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont
13 Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document
14 No. 052/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. July 10.
- 15 Schor, H.J. 2010. *Landform Design Report for the Rosemont Mine Project. Submitted to SWCA*
16 *Environmental Consultants*. Anaheim, California. May 19.
- 17 Southwestern Region Climate Change and Forest Planning Work Group. 2010. *Southwestern Region*
18 *Climate Change Trends and Forest Planning: A Guide for Addressing Climate Change in*
19 *Forest Plan Revisions for Southwestern National Forests and National Grasslands*. Prepared
20 for U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region. May.
- 21 Stone, C., M. Sieber, and J. Kline. 2011. *Review of Alternative Water Sources—Revised*. SRK Project
22 No. 183101/2200. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK
23 Consulting, Inc. May 13.
- 24 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2013. Incorporation of Geomorphic Reclamation Techniques and
25 Concepts into the Rosemont Copper Project. Draft Memorandum to file by Chris Garrett and
26 Melissa Polm, SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
27 Consultants. June 22.
- 28 Tetra Tech. 2007. *Reclamation and Closure Plan: Rosemont Copper*. Project No. 320614-400.
29 Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July.
- 30 ———. 2010. *Reclamation Concept Update: Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320832.
31 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March.
- 32 Town of Sahuarita, and General Plan Advisory Committee. 2002. *General Plan Town of Sahuarita.*
33 *Sahuarita, Arizona*. December 9.
- 34 Tucson Electric Power Company. 2011. Rosemont 138kV Transmission Line Project: Application for
35 a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility. Prepared for Arizona Power Plant and
36 Transmission Line Siting Committee. Case No. 164. Tucson, Arizona: Tucson Electric Power
37 Company. November.
- 38 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
39 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 40 ———. 2004. *Training Guide for Reclamation Bond Estimation and Administration: For Mineral*
41 *Plans of Operation Authorized and Administered under 36 CFR 228A*. Washington, D.C.:
42 U.S. Forest Service. April.
- 43 ———. 2007. Chapter 2817.24 - Bonds. In *FSM 2800 - Minerals and Geology*. Washington, D.C.:
44 U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 45 ———. 2008a. Decision Memorandum: Proposed Hydrologic and Geotechnical Exploration in the
46 Rosemont Valley, USDA Forest Service, Coronado National Forest, Nogales Ranger District,
47 Santa Cruz County, Arizona. Sections 20, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33, Township 18 South,
48 Range 16 East, and Sections 5 and 6, Township 19 South, Range 16 East, Gila and Salt River

- 1 Base and Meridian. Tucson, Arizona: Nogales Ranger District, Coronado National Forest.
2 February.
- 3 ———. 2008b. Rosemont Copper Project, Coronado National Forest, Pima County, Arizona. Federal
4 Register 73(50):13572-13529.
- 5 ———. 2009. Attachment 1 to Modification #05 to Agreement No. 08-MU-11030510-010 between
6 USDA Forest Service and Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest
7 Service, Coronado National Forest.
- 8 ———. 2011. Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study: Rosemont Copper
9 Project, a Proposed Mining Operation in Southern Arizona. Tucson, Arizona: Coronado
10 National Forest. May.
- 11 ———. 2012. *FSH 1909.15 - National Environmental Policy Act Handbook*. Amendment No.:
12 1909.15-2012-3. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 13 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
14 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.
- 15 ———. 2013. Rosemont Copper Project: CWA Section 404(B)(1) Alternatives Analysis (SPL-2008-
16 00816-MB). Project No. 1049.15. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
17 Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. April 19.
- 18 WLR Consulting Inc. 2007. *2007 Mineral Resource Update for the Rosemont Project, Pima County,*
19 *Arizona, USA*. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Lakewood, Colorado: WLR
20 Consulting, Inc. April 26.

21 Chapter 3

22 Geology

- 23 AMEC Earth and Environmental Inc. 2009. *Technical Memorandum: Dry Stack Facility Stability*
24 *Analysis*. Project No. 9420119100. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Englewood,
25 Colorado: AMEC Earth and Environmental Inc. December 15.
- 26 Anzalone, S.A. 1995. The Helvetia area porphyry systems, Pima County, Arizona. In *Porphyry*
27 *Copper Deposits of the American Cordillera*, edited by F.W. Pierce and J.G. Bolm, pp. 436–
28 441. Digest No. 20. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Society.
- 29 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2004. *Arizona Mining Guidance Manual BADCT*.
30 Aquifer Protection Program. Publication No. TB-04-01. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona
31 Department of Environmental Quality.
- 32 Arizona Geological Society. 2007. *Spring 2007 Field Trip Guidebook*. Rosemont Mine Tour,
33 Saturday, April 28, 2007. Arizona Geological Society. April 28.
- 34 Arizona Geological Survey. 2010. Arizona Geological Survey reviewed Rosemont/Augusta
35 documents in regards to the Rosemont Copper Mine EIS. Letter from M. Lee Allison, State
36 Geologist and Director, Arizona Geological Survey, to T.A. Ciapusci, Staff Officer, Coronado
37 National Forest. April 30.
- 38 Armstrong, R.L., and P. Ward. 1991. Evolving geographic patterns of Cenozoic magmatism in the
39 North American cordillera: the temporal and spatial association of magmatism and
40 metamorphic core complexes. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 96(B8 July 30):13.201–224.
- 41 Augusta Resource Corporation. 2012. News release: Augusta announces 2012 feasibility study update
42 results. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. July 24.
- 43 Bureau of Land Management. 2007. *Potential Fossil Yield Classification (PFYC) System for*
44 *Paleontological Resources on Public Lands*. Instruction Memorandum No. 2008-009.
45 Washington, D.C.: Department of the Interior. October 15.
- 46 Cornoyer, J. 2011. *Caves Have Not Been Encountered in the Rosemont Deposit*. Memorandum to file.
47 Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. April 28.

- 1 DeBusk, J.L., J.H. Strauss, G. Knauss, and P.C. Murphy. 2011. *Paleontological Survey Report,*
 2 *Rosemont Copper Project, Pima County, Arizona.* Prepared for Coronado National Forest.
 3 Tucson, Arizona. : SWCA Environmental Consultants. August.
- 4 Drewes, H. 1971. *Mesozoic Stratigraphy of the Santa Rita Mountains, Southeast of Tucson, Arizona.*
 5 Professional Paper 658-C. Mesozoic Stratigraphy in Southeastern Arizona. Washington, D.C.:
 6 U.S. Geological Survey.
- 7 ———. 1972a. *Cenozoic Rocks of the Santa Rita Mountains, Southeast of Tucson, Arizona.*
 8 Professional Paper 746. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 9 ———. 1972b. *Structural Geology of the Santa Rita Mountains, Southeast of Tucson, Arizona.*
 10 Professional Paper 748. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- 11 DuBois, S.M., A.W. Smith, N.K. Nye, and T.A. Nowak Jr. 1982. *Arizona Earthquakes, 1776–1980.*
 12 Bulletin 193 State of Arizona, Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology, Geological
 13 Survey Branch.
- 14 Fenneman, N.M. 1931. *Physiography of Western United States.* New York, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 15 Ferguson, C. 2011. Email communication with C. Garrett, SWCA Environmental Consultants, and B.
 16 Everson, U.S. Forest Service. Geological unit definitions. August 26.
- 17 Ferguson, C.A. 2009. Bedrock geologic map of the northern part of the Empire Ranch 7 1/2'
 18 quadrangle, Pima County, Arizona (v. 1). Open-File Report 09-05. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona
 19 Geological Survey.
- 20 Ferguson, C.A., B.J. Johnson, P.A. Pearthree, J.E. Spencer, T.C. Shipman, and J.P. Cook. 2009.
 21 Geologic map of the Helvetia 7 1/2' quadrangle, Pima County, Arizona (v. 1.0). Open-File
 22 Report 09-06. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey. September.
- 23 Ferguson, C.A., A. Youberg, W.G. Gilbert, T.R. Orr, S.M. Richard, and J.E. Spencer. 2001. Geologic
 24 map of the Mount Fagan 7.5' quadrangle, eastern Pima County, Arizona. Digital Geologic
 25 Map 11. Scale 11:24,000. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey.
- 26 Garrett, C. 2012. *Agenda, Rosemont Copper Project, Cooperating Agency Discussion of Cave and*
 27 *Karst Issues, Tuesday, July 24, 2012.* Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 28 Hardy, J.J., Jr. 1997. Superimposed Laramide and Middle Tertiary Deformations in the Northern
 29 Santa Rita Mountains, Pima County. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Geology and
 30 Geological Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado.
- 31 Hayes, P.T. 1969. *Geology and Mineral Resources of Arizona.* Bulletin No. 180, Pt. 1. Arizona
 32 Bureau of Mines.
- 33 Hill, C.A. 1999. Overview of Kartchner Caverns, Arizona. *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies* 61(2,
 34 August):41–43.
- 35 Hoag, C., W.D. Peachey, and J. Rasmussen. 2012. *Pt. 1: SWCA Questions 4 through 6—Professional*
 36 *Opinions to Assess Impacts to Cave and Karst Formations.* Project No. 183100.020.
 37 Memorandum. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. August 3.
- 38 Huckell, B.B. 1980. *The ANAMAX-Rosemont Testing Project.* Review draft. Tucson, Arizona:
 39 Cultural Resource Management Section, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona. May
 40 23.
- 41 Huss, C. 2007. *Rosemont Copper Project Feasibility Study, Vol. 1: NI 43-101 Technical Report.*
 42 Project No. M3-PN06156. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3
 43 Engineering and Technology Corporation. August 24.
- 44 ———. 2009. *Rosemont Copper Project Updated Feasibility Study, Vol. 1: NI 43-101 Technical*
 45 *Report.* Project No. M3-PN08036. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson,
 46 Arizona: M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. January 14.
- 47 Johnson, B.J., and C.A. Ferguson. 2007. Geologic map of the Rosemont area, northern Santa Rita
 48 Mountains, Pima County, Arizona (v. 1.1). Digital Geologic Map 59. Scale 51:12,000.
 49 Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey. January.
- 50 M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: NI 43-101 Technical*
 51 *Report, Updated Feasibility Study, Pima County, Arizona, USA.* Revision 0. Project No. M3-

- 1 PN 08036. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering
2 and Technology Corporation. August 28.
- 3 Menges, C.M., and P.A. Pearthree. 1983. *Map of neotectonic (Latest Pliocene-Quaternary)*
4 *deformation in Arizona*. Open-File Report 83-22. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological
5 Survey.
- 6 ———. 1989. Late Cenozoic tectonism in Arizona and its impact on regional landscape evolution. In
7 *Geologic Evolution of Arizona*, edited by J.P. Jenney and S.J. Reynolds, pp. 649–680. Digest
8 No. 17. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Society.
- 9 Mindat. 2010a. Daylight-Narragansett Mines (Narragansett-Daylight Mines), Rosemont (Rosemont
10 Camp), Helvetia-Rosemont District, Santa Rita Mountains, Pima County, Arizona, USA.
11 Available at: <http://www.mindat.org/loc-36104.html>. Accessed October 4, 2010.
- 12 ———. 2010b. Santa Rita Quarry (Santa Rita limestone; calcium products of Arizona Quarry; Pfizer
13 Specialty Minerals Marble Quarry; Specialty Minerals Inc.; Sabado No. 1 - O.S. 1 & 2 -
14 Butch 1-3 & 5), Helvetia-Rosemont District, Santa Rita Mountains, Pima County, Arizona,
15 USA. Available at: <http://www.mindat.org/loc-36150.html>. Accessed August 6, 2010.
- 16 Mohseni, A. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Waste Rock Storage Area – Stability Analysis*. Document
17 No. 076/10-320832-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
18 Tech. March 12.
- 19 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
20 *Simulation of Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables*.
21 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August
22 30.
- 23 Nicholas, D., L. Standridge, and R. Pratt. 2012. *Memorandum: Slope Angle for the Planned*
24 *Rosemont Open-Pit Mine South Wall Tertiary Gravel Slope*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
25 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Call and Nicholas. July 20.
- 26 Olson, H.J. 1966. Oxidation of a sulfide body, Glove Mine, Santa Cruz County, Arizona. *Economic*
27 *Geology* 61:731-743.
- 28 Peachey, W. 2000. *Field Trip to Colossal Cave and the Surrounding Area, Pima County, Arizona*.
29 Arizona Geological Survey. February 19.
- 30 Pearthree, P.A., and S.S. Calvo. 1987. The Santa Rita Fault Zone: Evidence for large magnitude
31 earthquakes with very long recurrence intervals, Basin and Range Province of southeastern
32 Arizona. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 77(1, February):97–116.
- 33 Pearthree, P.A., C.M. Menges, and L. Mayer. 1983. *Distribution, Recurrence, and Possible Tectonic*
34 *Implications of Late Quaternary Faulting in Arizona*. Open-File Report 83-20. Tucson,
35 Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey. November.
- 36 Pratt, R., and D. Nicholas. 2009. *Memorandum: Preliminary Findings from Slope Stability Review*.
37 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Call and Nicholas, Inc. June 19.
- 38 Pratt, R., D. Nichols, and J. Davis. 2007. *Dewatering for the Planned Rosemont Mine (FS MPO*
39 *Comments—Item Nos. 1 and 4 [GW-1 and GW-3])*. Tucson, Arizona: Call and Nicholas and
40 E.L. Montgomery. November 16.
- 41 Rose, W.L. 2008. *2008 Mineral Resource Update for the Rosemont Project Pima County, Arizona,*
42 *USA*. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Lakewood, Colorado: WLR Consulting,
43 Inc. December 4.
- 44 Schrader, F.C. 1915. *Mineral Deposits of the Santa Rita and Patagonia Mountains, Arizona*. Bulletin
45 No. 582. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Geological Survey.
- 46 Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 1995. SVP News Bulletin. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology*
47 163(February).
- 48 ———. 1996. SVP News Bulletin. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology* 168(October).
- 49 Spencer, J. n.d. [2012]. *Potential for Dissolution Breccia in Paleozoic Carbonate Units in the*
50 *Rosemont Area of the Northern Santa Rita Mountains*. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological
51 Survey.

- 1 Stover, C.W., and J.L. Coffman. 1993. *Seismicity of the United States, 1568-1989 (Revised)*. U.S.
 2 Geological Survey Professional Paper 1527. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing
 3 Office.
- 4 Tetra Tech. 2007a. *Geologic Hazards Assessment*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta
 5 Resource Corporation. Golden, Colorado: Tetra Tech. June.
- 6 ———. 2007b. *Geotechnical Study*. Project No. 320617. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation.
 7 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. June.
- 8 ———. 2009a. *Geotechnical Addendum*. 3 vols. Project No. 320795. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 9 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. February.
- 10 ———. 2009b. *Plant Site Geotechnical Report, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320807.
 11 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. August.
- 12 Titley, S.R. 1995. Geological Summary and Perspective of Porphyry Copper Deposits in
 13 Southwestern North America. In *Porphyry Copper Deposits of the American Cordillera*,
 14 edited by F.W. Pierce and J.G. Bolm, pp. 6–20. Arizona Geological Society Digest 20.
- 15 Titley, S.R., and L. Zürcher. 2008. Regional geology and ore-deposit styles of the trans-border region,
 16 southwestern North America. In *Ores and Orogenesis: Circum-Pacific Tectonics, Geologic
 17 Evolution, and Ore Deposits* edited by J.E. Spencer and S.R. Titley, pp. 275–276 Digest 22.
 18 Arizona Geological Society.
- 19 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
 20 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 21 ———. 1990. Chapter 2372 - Areas Designated Administratively. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,
 22 Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
 23 National Headquarters.
- 24 ———. 2005. Chapter 2670 - Threatened, endangered and sensitive plants and animals. In *FSM 2600
 25 - Wildlife, Fish and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest
 26 Service National Headquarters.
- 27 ———. 2008a. Chapter 2360 - Heritage program management. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,
 28 Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
 29 National Headquarters. July 25.
- 30 ———. 2008b. Chapter 2882.03 - Policy. In *FSM 2800 - Minerals and Geology*. Amendment No.
 31 2800-2008-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 32 ———. 2008c. Chapter 2882.5 - Cave Resources and Ecosystems. In *FSM 2800 - Minerals and
 33 Geology*. Amendment No. 2800-2008-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
 34 Headquarters.
- 35 ———. 2011. Coronado National Forest March, 2011 Rosemont Copper Project Paleontological
 36 Survey. *Diggin' Deep* 295:2–3. June.
- 37 U.S. Geological Survey. 2013. Mining-induced events in the earthquake catalogs of the USGS/NEIC.
 38 Earthquake Hazards Program data from January 1973 through March 2000. Available at:
 39 http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eqarchives/mineblast/induced_pde.php. Accessed
 40 January 26, 2013.
- 41 Vector Arizona. 2006. *Technical Memorandum: Preliminary Trip Report and Phase 1 Sampling and
 42 Analysis Plan*. Document No. 088/06-05-1400-5.3. Prepared for Augusta Resource
 43 Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: Vector Arizona. July 26.
- 44 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
 45 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

46 Soils and Revegetation

- 47 AMEC Earth and Environmental Inc. 2009. *Technical Memorandum: Dry Stack Facility Stability
 48 Analysis*. Project No. 9420119100. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Englewood,
 49 Colorado: AMEC Earth and Environmental Inc. December 15.

- 1 Biedenbender, S. 2010a. *Greaterville Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File
2 Code No. 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 4.
3 ———. 2010b. *Rosemont Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code No.
4 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 11.
5 Brown, K. 2007. *Helvetia Allotment Proposed Action*. Memorandum File Code No. 2210. Nogales,
6 Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. February 4.
7 CDM Smith. 2012a. *Preliminary Reclamation and Closure Plan for the Barrel Alternative, Rosemont*
8 *Copper Company, July 2012, Rosemont Copper Project*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
9 Company. Tucson, Arizona: CDM Smith. July 9.
10 ———. 2012b. *Preliminary Soil Salvage Management Plan: Rosemont Copper Company, July 2012,*
11 *Rosemont Copper Project, Barrel Alternative*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
12 Tucson, Arizona: CDM Smith. July.
13 Chambers, J.C., and R.W. Brown. 1983. *Methods for Vegetation Sampling and Analysis on*
14 *Revegetated Mined Lands*. General Technical Report INT-151. Ogden, Utah: Intermountain
15 Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Station Branch, U.S. Forest Service.
16 Fehmi, J.S. 2007. *Final Report for Phase I*. Prepared for August Resource Corporation. Tucson,
17 Arizona: School of Natural Resources, University of Arizona. July 6.
18 Lawson, H.M. 2011. *Grassland Revegetation for Mine Reclamation in Southeast Arizona*. M.S.
19 thesis, School of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Arizona, Tucson,
20 Arizona.
21 Lockwood, S. 2007. *Thurber Allotment Proposed Action*. Memorandum File Code No. 2210.
22 Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. March 29.
23 ———. 2010a. *DeBaud Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code No.
24 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 11.
25 ———. 2010b. *Stone Springs Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code
26 No. 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. December 16.
27 Mohseni, A. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Waste Rock Storage Area – Stability Analysis*. Document
28 No. 076/10-320832-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
29 Tech. March 12.
30 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. *Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource*
31 *Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin*. Handbook 296 U.S.
32 Department of Agriculture
33 ———. 2010a. Ecological site description: plant communities. Available at:
34 <http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov>. Accessed September 10, 2010.
35 ———. 2010b. Web Soil Survey. Available at: usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. Accessed
36 September 10, 2010.
37 ———. 2013. Ecological Site Description System. Available at:
38 [http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov/ESDReport/fsReport.aspx?id=R041XC305AZ&rptLevel=commu](http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov/ESDReport/fsReport.aspx?id=R041XC305AZ&rptLevel=communities&approved=yes)
39 [nities&approved=yes](http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov/ESDReport/fsReport.aspx?id=R041XC305AZ&rptLevel=communities&approved=yes). Accessed May 10, 2013.
40 Pacific Southwest Inter-Agency Committee. 1968. *Factors Affecting Sediment Yield in the Pacific*
41 *Southwest Area*. In *Report of the Water Management Subcommittee on Factors Affecting*
42 *Sediment Yield in the Pacific Southwest Area and Selection and Evaluation of Measures for*
43 *Reduction of Erosion and Sediment Yield*. Pacific Southwest Inter-Agency Committee.
44 October.
45 Potyondy, J.P., and T.W. Geier. 2011. *Watershed Condition Classification Technical Guide*. FS-978.
46 U.S. Forest Service. July.
47 Rennick, B., and B. Antonioli. 2013. *Revised Revegetation Performance Measures*. Memorandum.
48 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Helena, Montana: CDM Smith. June 4.
49 Shaw, D., T. Robison, J. Gass, W. Moir, H.G. Brown III, D. Potter, C. Spann, R. Fletcher, G.
50 Robertson, R.G. Popp, W. Robbie, G. Miller, and O. Carleton. 1991. *General Ecosystem*
51 *Survey*. U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region. March.

- 1 Tetra Tech. 2007a. *Reclamation and Closure Plan: Rosemont Copper*. Project No. 320614-400.
 2 Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July.
 3 ———. 2007b. *Survey of Salvage Topsoil Resources*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta
 4 Resource Corporation. Salt Lake City, Utah: Tetra Tech. June.
 5 ———. 2010a. *Reclamation Concept Update: Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320832.
 6 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March.
 7 ———. 2010b. *Survey of Salvage Topsoil Resources for the Rosemont Mining Area—Revision 1,*
 8 *Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320891. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 9 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 10 U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. *Soil Survey Manual*. October.
 11 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
 12 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
 13 ———. 1990. Chapter 2840 - Reclamation. In *Forest Service Manual 2800 - Minerals and Geology.*
 14 *WO Amendment 2800-90-1*. U.S. Forest Service. Effective June 1, 1990.
 15 ———. 1999. *FSH 2509.18 - Soil Management Handbook*. R3 Supplement No. 2509.18-99-1.
 16 Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Forest Service. October 20.
 17 ———. 2008. Chapter 2070 - Vegetation Ecology. In *FSM 2000 - National Forest Resource*
 18 *Management*. Amendment No.: 2000-2008-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
 19 Headquarters. February 13.
 20 ———. 2009. Chapter 1940 - Inventory, monitoring, and assessment activities. In *FSM 1900 -*
 21 *Planning*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
 22 ———. 2010. Chapter 2550- Soil management. In *FSM 2500 - Watershed and Air Management.*
 23 *Amendment No. 2500-2010-1*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
 24 November 23.
 25 ———. 2012a. *Native Plant Materials Policy: A Strategic Framework*. FS-1006. Washington, D.C.:
 26 U.S. Forest Service. September.
 27 ———. 2012b. Watershed Condition Framework. Available at:
 28 <http://www.fs.fed.us/publications/watershed/>. Accessed January 9, 2013.
 29 ———. 2013. *Technical Guidance for Assessing and Monitoring Soil Quality in the Southwestern*
 30 *Region*. Memo from Robert L. Davis, Director, Ecosystem Analysis and Planning, U.S.
 31 Forest Service, to Forest Supervisors and Staff Directors, Southwestern Region, U.S. Forest
 32 Service. Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region. January 16.
- 33 Williams, B.K., R.C. Szaro, and C.D. Shapiro. 2009. *Adaptive Management: the U.S. Department of*
 34 *Interior Technical Guide*. Washington, D.C.: Adaptive Management Working Group, U.S.
 35 Department of Interior.
- 36 Winthers, E., D. Fallon, J. Haglund, T. DeMeo, G. Nowacki, D. Tart, M. Ferwerda, G. Robertson, A.
 37 Gallegos, A. Rorick, D.T. Cleland, and W. Robbie. 2005. *Terrestrial Ecological Unit*
 38 *Inventory Technical Guide*. General Technical Report WO-68. Washington, D.C.: U.S.
 39 Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington Office, Ecosystem Management
 40 Coordination Staff. September.
- 41 Zeller, M. 2012. *Baseline and Post Mine Hydrology and Sediment Delivery at USGS Gage for Barrel*
 42 *Alternative*. Document No.: 054/12-320929-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for
 43 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 11.
- 44 Zeller, M.E. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Baseline and Post-mining Conditions—*
 45 *Alternatives Sediment Delivery*. Document No. 122/10-320871. Prepared for Rosemont
 46 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 9.
 47 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Baseline and Post-mining Conditions—Sediment*
 48 *Delivery*. Document No. 121/10-320828-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 49 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 9.

1 Air Quality and Climate Change

- 2 Allen, E.B., and L.H. Geiser. 2011. North American Deserts. In *Assessment of Nitrogen Deposition*
 3 *Effects and Empirical Critical Loads of Nitrogen for Ecoregions of the United States*, edited
 4 by L.H. Pardo, M.J. Robin-Abbott, and C.T. Driscoll. Newton Square, Pennsylvania: U.S.
 5 Forest Service.
- 6 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2012. Permitting requirements in Arizona and Pima
 7 County with respect to jurisdiction. Letter on file, U.S. Forest Service, from E.C. Massey,
 8 Director, Air Quality Division, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to U. Kramer,
 9 Director, Pima County Department of Environmental Quality. August 3.
- 10 ———. 2013. *Air Quality Class II Synthetic Minor Permit*. Air Quality Control Permit No. 55223.
 11 Phoenix: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. January 31.
- 12 California Environmental Protection Agency Air Resources Board. 2002. Section 7.7: Building
 13 Construction Dust. Available at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/fullpdf/full7-7.pdf>.
 14 Accessed May 7, 2013.
- 15 ———. 2003. Summary: Section 7.7 Building Construction Dust. Available at:
 16 <http://arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/ONEHTM/ONE7-7.HTM>. Accessed May 3, 2013.
- 17 Carrasco, J. 2009. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Copper Project Design Storm and*
 18 *Precipitation Data/Design Criteria*. Document No. 057/09-320807-5.3. Prepared for
 19 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 7.
- 20 CDM Smith. 2012. *Preliminary Reclamation and Closure Plan for the Barrel Alternative, Rosemont*
 21 *Copper Company, July 2012, Rosemont Copper Project*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 22 Company. Tucson, Arizona: CDM Smith. July 9.
- 23 Cornoyer, J. 2011. *Mineralogy of the Rosemont Deposit*. Memorandum to file. Tucson, Arizona:
 24 Rosemont Copper Company. April 28.
- 25 E.H. Pechan and Associates Inc. 2005. *Documentation for the Draft 2002 Nonpoint Source National*
 26 *Emission Inventory for Criteria and Hazardous Air Pollutants (March 2005 Version)*. EPA
 27 Contract No. 68-D-02-063. Prepared for Emissions Inventory Group, Emissions, Monitoring
 28 and Analysis Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental
 29 Protection Agency. Durham, North Carolina: E.H. Pechan and Associates Inc. March.
- 30 Fenn, M.E., and E.B. Allen. 2011. Southern Semi-Arid Highlands. In *Assessment of Nitrogen*
 31 *Deposition Effects and Empirical Critical Loads of Nitrogen for Ecoregions of the United*
 32 *States*, edited by L.H. Pardo, M.J. Robin-Abbott, and C.T. Driscoll. Newton Square,
 33 Pennsylvania: U.S. Forest Service.
- 34 Hargis, D.R., and J.W. Harshbarger. n.d. [1978]. Hydrology of the Rosemont Area. In *An*
 35 *Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Volume I: The Present*
 36 *Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- 37 Harris, R.C. 2003. *Is Asbestos Present in Agua Fria River Sand and Gravel?* Arizona Geological
 38 Survey Open-File Report 03-06. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey. October.
- 39 Hockridge, B.J. 2011. Manager, Global Emissions Certification, Large Power Systems Division
 40 (MOS 11), Caterpillar Inc. Mossville, Illinois. Letter regarding Caterpillar's engine emissions
 41 tests.
- 42 Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments. 2012. IMPROVE and RHR Summary
 43 Data. Available at:
 44 http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/Data/IMPROVE/summary_data.htm. Accessed August
 45 29, 2012.
- 46 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2007. Summary for policymakers. In *Climate Change*
 47 *2007: The Physical Science Basis—Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth*
 48 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by S.
 49 Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H.L.
 50 Miller. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- 51 JBR Environmental Consultants Inc. 2011. *Rosemont Copper Company: Emission Inventory*
 52 *Information Years 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20. Volume I and II: Calculation Methodology and*

- 1 *Appendices A-H*. Submitted by Rosemont Copper Company to Arizona Department of
2 Environmental Quality. Tempe Arizona: JBr Environmental Consultants, Inc. November 15.
3 ———. 2012a. *Rosemont Copper Company Amendment to: Application for a Class II Permit and*
4 *Emission Inventory Information, Rosemont Copper Project, Southeastern Arizona*. Submitted
5 to Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. Tempe, Arizona: JBR Environmental
6 Consultants Inc. March 19.
7 ———. 2012b. *Rosemont Copper Company: AERMOD Modeling Protocol to Assess Ambient Air*
8 *Quality Impacts*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tempe, Arizona: JBR
9 Environmental Consultants, Inc. April 27.
10 ———. 2012c. *Rosemont Copper Company: CALPUFF Modeling Protocol to Assess Ambient Air*
11 *Quality Impacts*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tempe, Arizona: JBR
12 Environmental Consultants Inc. December.
13 ———. 2012d. *Rosemont Copper Project VISCREEN: Revised Visibility Impact Analysis at Saguaro*
14 *East National Park*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tempe, Arizona: JBR
15 Environmental Consultants Inc. December.
16 ———. 2012e. *Rosemont Copper Project: AERMOD Modeling Report to Assess Ambient Air Quality*
17 *Impacts*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tempe, Arizona: JBR Environmental
18 Consultants Inc. December.
19 ———. 2013a. *AERMOD Gaseous Deposition Results at Saguaro NP East*. Memorandum. Tempe,
20 Arizona: JBR Environmental Consultants Inc. April 19.
21 ———. 2013b. *CALPUFF Far-Field Analysis, Revised Impact Tables*. Boise, Idaho: JBR
22 Environmental Consultants Inc. March 26.
23 ———. 2013c. *Rosemont Copper Company: Revised CALPUFF Modeling Report to Assess Impacts*
24 *in Class I Areas*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tempe, Arizona: JBR
25 Environmental Consultants Inc. January.
26 ———. 2013d. Rosemont Copper Project - Request for Total Annualized Emissions. Email
27 communication from Louis C. Thanukos, Division Manager, JBR Environmental Consultants
28 Inc., to Brad Sohm, SWCA Environmental Consultants, dated February 28.
29 Karl, T.R., J.M. Melillo, and T.C. Peterson (eds.). 2009. *Global Climate Change Impacts in the*
30 *United States*. Cambridge University Press.
31 Midwest Research Institute. 2006. *Background Document for Revisions to Fine Fraction Ratios Used*
32 *for AP-42 Fugitive Dust Emissions Factors*. Prepared for Western Governors' Association,
33 Western Regional Air Partnership. Denver, Colorado: Midwest Research Institute. November
34 1.
35 Morris, R., and T. Moore. 2003. *Preliminary 2018 Reasonable Progress Visibility Target Values for*
36 *§309 (g) and §308 of the federal Regional Haze Rule* Environ and Western Regional Air
37 Partnership. June.
38 Pima County Department of Environmental Quality. 2009a. *2008 Air Quality Summary Report for*
39 *Pima County*. Tucson, Arizona. June.
40 ———. 2009b. *2009 Air Quality Summary Report Appendix*. Tucson, Arizona.
41 ———. 2009c. *2009 Ambient Air Monitoring Network Plan*. AQ 370. Prepared for the U.S.
42 Environmental Protection Agency. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County Department of
43 Environmental Quality.
44 ———. 2011. *2010 Air Quality Summary Report for Pima County, Arizona*. AQ-376. Tucson,
45 Arizona: Pima County Department of Environmental Quality. July.
46 ———. 2012. *Letter from Ursula Kramer, Control Officer, Pima County Air Quality Control District,*
47 *to Eric Massey, Air Quality Division Director, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.*
48 Rosemont Copper Company Air Quality Permit No. 55223. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County
49 Department of Environmental Quality. October 31.
50 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012a. *Response to August 20, 2012 Forest Service Letter*. Letter from
51 Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont

- 1 Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document
2 No. 063/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. October 9.
- 3 ———. 2012b. *Response to December 20, 2011 Forest Service Letter*. Letter on file, U.S. Forest
4 Service, from Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs,
5 Rosemont Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest.
6 Document No. 013/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. February 10.
- 7 Southwestern Region Climate Change and Forest Planning Work Group. 2010. *Southwestern Region
8 Climate Change Trends and Forest Planning: A Guide for Addressing Climate Change in
9 Forest Plan Revisions for Southwestern National Forests and National Grasslands*. Prepared
10 for U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region. May.
- 11 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2013. *Air Quality Related Values - Deposition Data Used for
12 Existing Conditions in EIS Analysis*. Memorandum to file from Chris Garrett, SWCA
13 Environmental Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. June 4.
- 14 Tetra Tech. 2009. *Aquifer Protection Permit Application*. 5 vols. Project No. 114-320794. Prepared
15 for Rosemont Copper Company. Submitted to Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
16 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. February.
- 17 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2001. *Procedures Document for National Emission Inventory,
18 Criteria Air Pollutants 1985-1999*. EPA-454/R-01-006. Research Triangle Park, North
19 Carolina: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Standards. March.
- 20 ———. 2003. *User's Guide to MOBILE6.1 and MOBILE6.2: Mobile Source Emission Factor Model*.
21 EPA420-R-03-010 Assessment and Standard Division, Office of Transportation and Air
22 Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. August.
- 23 ———. 2004. *User's Guide for the AMS/EPA Regulatory Model, AERMOD*. EPA-454/B-03-001.
24 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of
25 Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- 26 ———. 2006. AP 42, Fifth Edition, Volume I, Chapter 13: Miscellaneous Sources: 13.2.5 Industrial
27 Wind Erosion. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/ch13/index.html>. Accessed
28 May 13, 2012.
- 29 ———. 2011. AP 42, Fifth Edition, Volume I, Chapter 13: Miscellaneous Sources: 13.2.1 Paved
30 Roads. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/ch13/index.html>. Accessed May 7,
31 2013.
- 32 ———. 2012a. 2008 National Emissions Inventory Data. Available at:
33 <http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/net/2008inventory.html>. Accessed August 29, 2012.
- 34 ———. 2012b. Household Emissions Calculator. Available at:
35 <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/ind-calculator.html>. Accessed May 7, 2013.
- 36 ———. 2012c. *Light-Duty Automotive Technology, Carbon Dioxide Emissions, and Fuel Economy
37 Trends: 1975 Through 2011*. EPA-420-R-12-001 Transportation and Climate Division, Office
38 of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. March.
- 39 ———. 2012d. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Available at:
40 <http://www.epa.gov/air/criteria.html>. Accessed May 3, 2013.
- 41 U.S. Forest Service. 2013. *Revised Nitrogen Deposition Modeling for Saguaro East National Park*.
42 Memorandum from Brett Anderson, Atmospheric Modeling Coordinator, U.S. Forest Service,
43 to Michele Girard, Watershed Program Manager, Coronado National Forest. June 11.
- 44 U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2010. *Federal Land
45 Managers' Air Quality Related Values Work Group (FLAG): Phase 1 Report, Revised (2010)*.
46 Natural Resource Report NPS/NRPC/NRR 2010/232. Denver, Colorado: National Park
47 Service.
- 48 U.S. Global Change Research Program. 2009. *Climate Literacy: The Essential Principles of Climate
49 Sciences*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Global Change Research Program. March.
- 50 Western Regional Climate Center. 2009. Santa Rita Experimental Range, Arizona. Available at:
51 <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliNORMNCD2000.pl?azsant>. Accessed November 22,
52 2010.

- 1 ———. 2013a. Canelo 1 NW, Arizona. NCDC 1981-2010 Monthly Normals. Available at:
 2 <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliNORMNCDC2010.pl?azcane>. Accessed May 7, 2013.
 3 ———. 2013b. Helvetia Santa Rita Ran, Arizona, (023981). Period of Record Monthly Climate
 4 Summary. Available at: <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliRECTM.pl?azhelv>. Accessed May
 5 7, 2013.
 6 ———. 2013c. Santa Rita Exp Range, Arizona. NCDC 1981-2010 Monthly Normals. Available at:
 7 <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliNORMNCDC2010.pl?azsant>. Accessed May 7, 2013.
 8 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
 9 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

10 Groundwater Quantity

- 11 American Society for Testing and Materials. 2006. Standard guide for application of a ground-water
 12 flow model to a site-specific problem. Standard D 5447-04. In *ASTM Standards Related to*
 13 *Environmental Site Characterization*, pp. 491–497. 3rd ed. Stock No. ENVSITO6. West
 14 Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. September.
 15 Arizona Department of Water Resources. 2010. Land subsidence in the Sahuarita and Green Valley
 16 areas, Pima County. Time period of analysis: 0.9 Year, February 27, 2009, to January 2008,
 17 2010. Arizona Department of Water Resources.
 18 ———. 2011. Well registry data for Cienega Basin. Available at:
 19 <https://gisweb.azwater.gov/waterresourcedata/WellRegistry.aspx>. Accessed May 22, 2011.
 20 Barter, H., and M. Odom. 2011. *Additional Discussion of Rosemont Mine Water Supply Modeling and*
 21 *Analysis of Calibration*. Project No. 1232.05. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates.
 22 January 6.
 23 Barter, H.W. 2012a. *Response to May 3, 2012 Comments Provided by Coronado National Forest*
 24 *Service Regarding Groundwater Flow Modeling for the Rosemont Project*. Tucson, Arizona:
 25 Montgomery and Associates. June 29.
 26 ———. 2012b. *Summary of Additional Modeling Analyses Conducted at the Request of the U.S.*
 27 *Forest Service Following Submittal of the August 30th, 2010 Rosemont Mine EIS Modeling*
 28 *Report*. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates. November 30.
 29 Barter, H.W., and J.D. Whittier. 2011a. *Response to April 5, 2011 Comments Provided by U.S. Forest*
 30 *Service Regarding Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for the Rosemont Project*.
 31 Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates. May 5.
 32 ———. 2011b. *Second Response to April 5, 2011 Selected Comments Provided by U.S. Forest*
 33 *Service Regarding Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for the Rosemont Project*.
 34 Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates. May 17.
 35 Davis, J.S. 2010. *Comparison of Natural Fluctuation in Groundwater Level to Provisional*
 36 *Drawdown Projections, Rosemont Mine*. Technical memorandum. Project No. 1232.08.
 37 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates.
 38 March 1.
 39 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2009a. *Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
 40 *Simulation of Rosemont Copper's Proposed Mine Supply Pumping, Sahuarita, Arizona*.
 41 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and
 42 Associates, Inc. April 30.
 43 ———. 2009b. *Results of Phase 2 Hydrogeologic Investigations and Monitoring Program, Rosemont*
 44 *Project, Pima County, Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
 45 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc. February 26.
 46 ———. 2009c. *Technical Memorandum: Second Update to ADWR Model in Sahuarita/Green Valley*
 47 *Area*. Project No. 1232.0903. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Submitted to Arizona
 48 Department of Water Resources. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc.
 49 April 27.

- 1 ———. 2010. *Addendum to Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for Simulation of Rosemont*
2 *Copper's Proposed Mine Supply Pumping, Sahuarita, Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont
3 Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc. November 11.
- 4 Garrett, C. 2012a. *Agenda, Rosemont Copper Project, Cooperating Agency Discussion of Cave and*
5 *Karst Issues, Tuesday, July 24, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 6 ———. 2012b. *Agenda, Rosemont Copper Project, Cooperating Agency Discussion of Riparian*
7 *Mapping and Functional Assessments, Thursday, June 28, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA
8 Environmental Consultants.
- 9 ———. 2012c. *Meeting Minutes, April 19, 2012, SRK/Forest Call to Discuss Response to Modeling*
10 *Questions*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 11 ———. 2012d. *Proposed Rosemont Copper Project: Resolution Meeting for Groundwater Modeling*
12 *Issues, October 18, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 13 ———. 2012e. *Water Panel Meeting Notes, May 3, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
14 Consultants.
- 15 Glasser, S., J. Gauthier-Warinner, J. Gurrieri, J. Keely, P. Tucci, P. Summers, M. Wireman, and K.
16 McCormack. 2007. *FS-881 - Technical Guide to Managing Groundwater Resources*. U.S.
17 Forest Service, U.S. Geological Survey, Bureau of Land Management, and U.S.
18 Environmental Protection Agency. May.
- 19 Haws, N.W., and T. Leeson. 2010. *Technical Review of Response to Comments on Groundwater Flow*
20 *Modeling Conducted for Rosemont Copper Company Mine Supply Pumping*. Tempe,
21 Arizona: MWH Global. August 9.
- 22 ———. 2011. *Technical Review of Addendum to Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
23 *Rosemont Copper Company Mine Supply Pumping*. Reference No. 1005979. Tempe, Arizona:
24 MWH Americas, Inc. January 18.
- 25 Hoag, C., D. Bird, and S. Day. 2012a. *Rosemont Copper DEIS: Response to Specific Geochemistry*
26 *Modeling Concerns from CNF*. Project No. 183101.02. Memorandum. Prepared for SWCA
27 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting, Inc. July 18.
- 28 Hoag, C., W.D. Peachey, and J. Rasmussen. 2012b. *Pt. 1: SWCA Questions 4 through 6—Professional*
29 *Opinions to Assess Impacts to Cave and Karst Formations*. Project No. 183100.020.
30 Memorandum. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. August 3.
- 31 Hoag, C., M. Sieber, and J. Rasmussen. 2012c. *Rosemont Copper DEIS: Response to EPA*
32 *Geochemistry Comments; Final*. Project No. 183100.02. Memorandum. Prepared for SWCA
33 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting, Inc. July 18.
- 34 Kline, J., M. York, J. Rasmussen, and C. Hoag. 2012. *Memorandum: Pt. 2 SWCA Questions 7, 8, and*
35 *10 - Professional Opinions on Miscellaneous Public Comments*. Project No.
36 183100.020/Task 600. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona:
37 SRK Consulting, Inc. August 8.
- 38 Leake, S.A., and D.V. Claar. 1999. *Procedures and Computer Programs for Telescopic Mesh*
39 *Refinement Using MODFLOW*. Open-File Report 99-238. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Geological
40 Survey.
- 41 Mason, D.A., and L. Bota. 2006. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model of the Tucson Active*
42 *Management Area, Tucson, Arizona: Simulation and Application*. Modeling Report No. 13.
43 Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona Department of Water Resources, Hydrology Division.
- 44 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2009. *Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for Simulation of*
45 *Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Rosemont Project, Pima County,*
46 *Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and
47 Associates. October 28.
- 48 ———. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for Simulation of Proposed*
49 *Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables*. Prepared for Rosemont
50 Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August 30.

- 1 Myers, M., and T. Shipman. 2012. *The Potential For Future Colorado River Shortages to Impact*
 2 *Rosemont Operations*. Memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 3 Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Water Resource Consultants. January 13.
- 4 Myers, T. 2008. *Hydrogeology of the Santa Rita Rosemont Project Site Numerical Groundwater*
 5 *Modeling of the Conceptual Flow Model and Effects of the Construction of the Proposed*
 6 *Open Pit*. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Reno, Nevada. April.
 7 ———. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Review of the Proposed Rosemont Ranch Mine*
 8 *Hydrogeologic Analysis and Groundwater Model*. Prepared for Pima County. Reno, Nevada.
 9 February.
- 10 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Updated Groundwater Modeling Report Proposed*
 11 *Rosemont Open Pit Mining Project*. Prepared for Pima County and Pima County Regional
 12 Flood Control District. Reno, Nevada. April 21.
- 13 ———. 2012. *Revisions: Drawdown at U.S. Forest Service Selected Monitoring Points Myers*
 14 *Rosemont Groundwater Model Report*. Prepared for Pima County and Pima County Regional
 15 Flood Control District. June 1.
- 16 O'Brien, G. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Groundwater Flow Model Construction and Calibration*.
 17 Document No. 198/10-320874-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 18 Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 26.
- 19 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Hydrogeologic Framework Model*. Document No. 170/10-
 20 320874-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 9.
- 21 ———. 2010c. *Technical Memorandum: Predictive Groundwater Flow Modeling Results*. Document
 22 No. 201/10-320874-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
 23 Tech. July 30.
- 24 ———. 2010d. *Technical Memorandum: Steady-State Sensitivity Analyses*. Document No. 202/10-
 25 320874-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 30.
- 26 ———. 2012. *Response to Forest Service May 3, 2012 Request Regarding Groundwater Flow*
 27 *Models*. EA No. 110195, Task 101. Technical Memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 28 Company. Fort Collins, Colorado: Engineering Analytics, Inc. June 29.
- 29 ———. 2013. *External Groundwater Model Boundary Analysis and Summary of Groundwater*
 30 *Model Analyses*. Technical Memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Fort
 31 Collins, Colorado: Hydro-Logic LLC. January 11.
- 32 O'Brien, G., and P. Ridlen. 2011a. *Additional Rosemont Response to FS/BLM Comment ES-1 on Tetra*
 33 *Tech Groundwater Model*. Document No. 114/11-320878-5.3. Technical memorandum.
 34 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech and Engineering
 35 Analytics. May 18.
- 36 ———. 2011b. *Rosemont Response to FS/BLM Comments on Tetra Tech Groundwater Model*.
 37 Document No. 108/11-320878-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 38 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech and Engineering Analytics. May 6.
- 39 Pearce, M.J. 2010. Maguire and Pearce, PLLC. Phoenix, Arizona. Written communication to Dale
 40 Ortman, Consulting Engineer, and Kathy Arnold, Director of Environmental and Regulatory
 41 Affairs, Rosemont Copper Company. November 1.
- 42 Pima Association of Governments. 2003. *Contribution of Davidson Canyon to Base Flows in*
 43 *Cienega Creek*. Tucson, Arizona: Pima Association of Governments. November.
- 44 ———. 2010. *Evaluation of Riparian Habitat and Headcutting along Lower Cienega Creek*. Arizona
 45 Water Protection Fund Grant No. 07-144. Tucson, Arizona: Pima Association of
 46 Governments. March.
- 47 Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. 2005. *Unique Water Nomination for*
 48 *Davidson Canyon*. Draft. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Tucson,
 49 Arizona: Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. February.
- 50 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012. *Response to May 3, 2012 Forest Service Letter*. Letter from
 51 Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont

- 1 Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document
2 No. 045/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. June 30.
- 3 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2012. *Documentation of Background Groundwater Fluctuations*
4 *along Cienega Creek and Empire Gulch*. Letter to file from Chris Garrett, SWCA
5 Environmental Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. December
6 26.
- 7 Tetra Tech. 2010a. *Davidson Canyon Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Assessment of Spring*
8 *Impacts*. Project No. 114-320869. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
9 Tech. July.
- 10 ———. 2010b. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
11 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 12 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 2012. *Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study:*
13 *Executive Summary*. Pre-production Copy. Reclamation: Managing Water in the West. U.S.
14 Bureau of Reclamation. December.
- 15 U.S. Forest Service. 1992a. Chapter 2520 - Watershed Protection and Management. In FSM 2500 -
16 Watershed and Air Management. R-8 Supplement 2500-92-1. Available at:
17 <http://www.fs.fed.us/im/directives/field/r8/fsm/2500/2520.html>. Accessed January 5, 2010.
- 18 ———. 1992b. Chapter 2530 - Water Resource Management. In FSM 2500 - Watershed and Air
19 Management. R-8 Supplement 2500-92-1. Available at:
20 <http://www.fs.fed.us/im/directives/field/r8/fsm/2500/2530.html>. Accessed January 5, 2010.
- 21 ———. 2008. Chapter 2880 - Geologic resources, hazards, and services. In *FSM 2800 - Minerals*
22 *and Geology*. Amendment No. 2800-2008-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
23 Headquarters. September 25.
- 24 ———. 2011. *Items for inclusion in Final Environmental Impact Statement*. File Code 1950/2810.
25 Letter report to Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest Service, Coronado
26 National Forest. December 20.
- 27 ———. 2012a. *Additional Documentation Required Regarding Groundwater Flow Modeling*. File
28 Code 1950/2810. Letter report to Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest
29 Service, Coronado National Forest. May 3.
- 30 ———. 2012b. *Information Neded from Rosemont so that the Coronado National Forest Can*
31 *Respond to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Comments on the Draft*
32 *Environmental Impact Statement*. File Code 1950/2810. Letter report to Rosemont Copper
33 Company. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. March 3.
- 34 ———. 2012c. *Request for Additional Items from Pima County*. File Code 1950/2810. Letter report
35 to Pima County. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. May 3.
- 36 ———. 2012d. *Request for Pima County and Dr. Myers Groundwater Model Information*. File Code
37 1950/2810. Letter report to Pima County. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest Service, Coronado
38 National Forest. March 2.
- 39 Ugorets, V. 2011. *Technical Memorandum: Technical Review of Tetra Tech Report: Regional*
40 *Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 183101/2300. Tucson,
41 Arizona: SRK Consulting. January 13.
- 42 Ugorets, V., and L. Cope. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Technical Review of Groundwater Flow*
43 *Modeling Report (M and A, 2010b)*. Project No. 183101/2000. Prepared for SWCA
44 Environmental Consultants and the Coronado National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SRK
45 Consulting. October 22.
- 46 Ugorets, V., L. Cope, and C. Hoag. 2012a. *Pt. 3: SWCA Questions 1 through 3—Professional*
47 *Opinions to Assess Impacts to Distant Surface Waters and Modeling Certainty*. Technical
48 memorandum. Project No. 183100.020/Task 600. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. August
49 8.
- 50 Ugorets, V., L. Cope, and M. Sieber. 2012b. *Responses to Comments Provided in SWCA*
51 *Memorandum of Feb 17th, 2012, Request for Professional Opinion of Specific Groundwater*

- 1 *Modeling Concerns*. Technical Memorandum. Project No. 183100.020. Tucson, Arizona:
2 SRK Consulting. July 18.
- 3 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
4 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.
- 5 Wilson, J.L., and H. Guan. n.d. [2004]. Mountain-Block Hydrology and Mountain-Front Recharge.
6 Available at:
7 http://www.utsa.edu/lrsg/staff/luade/publications/WilsonandGuan_MBH_preprint.pdf.
8 Accessed September 21, 2012.
- 9 Zeller, M.E. 2011. *Predicted Regulatory (100-Yr) Hydrology and Average-Annual Runoff*
10 *Downstream of the Rosemont Copper Project*. Technical memorandum. Tucson, Arizona:
11 Tetra Tech. July 11.

12 **Groundwater Quality and Geochemistry**

- 13 Arizona Corporation Commission. 2012. Arizona's Water Companies Map. Available at:
14 <http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/water.asp>. Accessed July 27, 2012.
- 15 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2010. LUST database search results. Available at:
16 <http://www.azdeq.gov/databases/lustsearch.html>. Accessed November 3, 2010.
- 17 ———. 2012. *State of Arizona Aquifer Protection Permit No. P-106100*. Place ID 135845, LTF
18 49639. Issued to Rosemont Copper Company. April 3.
- 19 Day, S., and C. Hoag. 2011. *Technical Review of Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Modeling*
20 *Report—Revision 1, Part 2: Geochemical Fate and Transport Modeling*. Project No. 183101.
21 Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. April 14.
- 22 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2009a. *Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
23 *Simulation of Rosemont Copper's Proposed Mine Supply Pumping, Sahuarita, Arizona*.
24 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and
25 Associates, Inc. April 30.
- 26 ———. 2009b. *Results of Phase 2 Hydrogeologic Investigations and Monitoring Program, Rosemont*
27 *Project, Pima County, Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
28 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc. February 26.
- 29 ———. 2010. *Addendum to Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for Simulation of Rosemont*
30 *Copper's Proposed Mine Supply Pumping, Sahuarita, Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont
31 Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc. November 11.
- 32 Ferguson, K.D., and S.M. Leask. 1988. *The Export of Nutrients From Surface Coal Mines*. Regional
33 Program Report 87-12. West Vancouver, British Columbia: Environment Canada,
34 Conservation and Protection, Environmental Protection, Pacific and Yukon Region. March.
- 35 Forsyth, B., A. Cameron, and S. Miller. n.d. [1995]. *Explosives and Water Quality*. Vancouver, B.C.;
36 Sudbury, Ontario; Denver, Colorado: Golder Associates Ltd.
- 37 Garrett, C. 2012a. *Comparison of Pit Lake Water Quality to Surface Water Quality Standards*.
38 Memorandum. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. July 29.
- 39 ———. 2012b. *Water Panel Meeting Notes, May 3, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
40 Consultants.
- 41 Hoag, C., D. Bird, and S. Day. 2012a. *Rosemont Copper DEIS: Response to Specific Geochemistry*
42 *Modeling Concerns from CNF*. Project No. 183101.02. Memorandum. Prepared for SWCA
43 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting, Inc. July 18.
- 44 Hoag, C., M. Sieber, and J. Rasmussen. 2012b. *Rosemont Copper DEIS: Response to EPA*
45 *Geochemistry Comments; Final*. Project No. 183100.02. Memorandum. Prepared for SWCA
46 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting, Inc. July 18.
- 47 Hudson, A.L., and M.A. Williamson. 2011. *Rosemont Facility Fate and Transport Modeling*
48 *Response to Comments*. Document No. 113/11-320878-5.3. Technical memorandum. Tucson,
49 Arizona: Tetra Tech. May 16.

- 1 Kline, J., M. York, J. Rasmussen, and C. Hoag. 2012. *Memorandum: Pt. 2 SWCA Questions 7, 8, and*
2 *10 - Professional Opinions on Miscellaneous Public Comments*. Project No.
3 183100.020/Task 600. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona:
4 SRK Consulting, Inc. August 8.
- 5 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
6 *Simulation of Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables*.
7 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August
8 30.
- 9 Morin, K.A., and N.M. Hutt. 2009. Mine-water leaching of nitrogen species from explosives residues.
10 *GeoHalifax2009*:1549-1553.
- 11 Myers, T. 2008. *Hydrogeology of the Santa Rita Rosemont Project Site Numerical Groundwater*
12 *Modeling of the Conceptual Flow Model and Effects of the Construction of the Proposed*
13 *Open Pit*. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Reno, Nevada. April.
14 ———. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Review of the Proposed Rosemont Ranch Mine*
15 *Hydrogeologic Analysis and Groundwater Model*. Prepared for Pima County. Reno, Nevada.
16 February.
- 17 Nelson, E. 2012. *Conceptual Design of Heap Leach Pump Back Riser Pipe*. EA No. 110253.
18 Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Fort Collins, Colorado:
19 Engineering Analytics, Inc. June 25.
- 20 Pommen, L.W. 1983. *The Effect on Water Quality of Explosives Use in Surface Mining. Volume 1:*
21 *Nitrogen Sources, Water Quality, and Prediction and Management of Impacts*. Ministry of
22 Environment Technical Report 4. Victoria: British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Water
23 Management Branch. May.
- 24 Revey, G.F. 1996. Technical papers: Practical methods to control explosives losses and reduce
25 ammonia and nitrate levels in mine water. *Mining Engineering*:61-64.
- 26 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012a. *Integrated Watershed Summary*. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont
27 Copper Company. June.
28 ———. 2012b. *Response to December 20, 2011 Forest Service Letter*. Letter on file, U.S. Forest
29 Service, from Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs,
30 Rosemont Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest.
31 Document No. 013/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. February 10.
- 32 Sieber, M. 2011. *Technical Review of Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Report—Revision 1,*
33 *Part 1: Infiltration and Seepage Model Components*. Project No. 183101. Prepared for
34 SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. February 14.
- 35 Sieber, M., S. Day, and V. Ugorets. 2010. *Technical Review of Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and*
36 *Transport Modeling Report, Tetra Tech, 2010*. Project No. 183101. Prepared for Rosemont
37 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. April 30.
- 38 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2013. *Estimates of Explosive Residue, Potential Nitrogen*
39 *Concentrations in Water, and Applicable Standards*. Memorandum to file from Chris Garrett,
40 SWCA Environmental Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
41 June 26.
- 42 Tetra Tech. 2010a. *Geochemical Pit Lake Predictive Model—Revision 1*. Project No. 114-320884.
43 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
44 ———. 2010b. *Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Modeling Report—Revision 1*. Project No.
45 114-320884. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. August.
46 ———. 2010c. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
47 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
48 ———. 2012. *Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Modeling Report, Revision 2, Rosemont*
49 *Copper Project*. Tetra Tech Project No. 114-320884. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
50 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. June.
- 51 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2012a. Safe Drinking Water Information System: Active
52 water systems in Pima County. Available at:

- 1 http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin
 2 [g&fac_county=PIMA&pop_serv=500&pop_serv=3300&pop_serv=10000&pop_serv=10000](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin)
 3 [0&pop_serv=100001&sys_status=active&pop_serv=&wsys_id=&fac_state=AZ&last_fac_na](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin)
 4 [me=&page=1&query_results=&total_rows_found=](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin). Accessed July 27, 2012.
- 5 ———. 2012b. Safe Drinking Water Information System: Active water systems in Santa Cruz
 6 County. Available at:
 7 http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin
 8 [g&fac_county=SANTA+CRUZ&pop_serv=500&pop_serv=3300&pop_serv=10000&pop_se](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin)
 9 [rv=100000&pop_serv=100001&sys_status=active&pop_serv=&wsys_id=&fac_state=AZ&la](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin)
 10 [st_fac_name=&page=1&query_results=&total_rows_found=](http://oaspub.epa.gov/enviro/sdw_query_v2.get_list?wsys_name=&fac_search=fac_beginnin). Accessed July 27, 2012.
- 11 Ugorets, V., and S. Day. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Technical Review of (Tetra Tech, 2010)*
 12 *Geochemical Pit Lake Predictive Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 183101.
 13 Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants and the Coronado National Forest. Tucson,
 14 Arizona: SRK Consulting. May 3.
- 15 Williamson, M.A. 2012. *Response to Forest Service Information Request*. Project: No. 1002.1.
 16 Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Loveland, Colorado:
 17 Geochemical Solutions, LLC. February 9.

18 Surface Water Quantity

- 19 Arizona Department of Water Resources. 2005. Statement of Claimant Adjudications Database CD.
- 20 ———. 2011a. Surface water conditions in the Cienega Creek Basin. Available at:
 21 <http://www.azwater.gov/AzDWR/StatewidePlanning/WaterAtlas/SEArizona/Streams/Cienega>
 22 [Creek.htm](http://www.azwater.gov/AzDWR/StatewidePlanning/WaterAtlas/SEArizona/Streams/Cienega). Accessed May 24, 2011.
- 23 ———. 2011b. Surface Water Filings Database. Available at: <http://www.azwater.gov/azdwr/GIS/>.
 24 Accessed September 7, 2011.
- 25 Arroyo Engineering LLC. 2007. *PC-HYDRO User Guide: A Computer Program for Predicting Peak*
 26 *Discharges of Surface Runoff from Small Semi-Arid Watersheds in Pima County, Arizona*.
 27 PC-HYDRO V 5: Pima County Hydrology Procedures. Prepared for Pima County Regional
 28 Flood Control District. Tucson, Arizona: Arroyo Engineering, LLC. March.
- 29 Baker Jr., M.B., P.F. Ffolliott, L.R. DeBano, and D.G. Neary (eds.). 2004. *Riparian Areas of the*
 30 *Southwestern United States: Hydrology, Ecology, and Management*. Washington, D.C.: Lewis
 31 Publishers.
- 32 Chee, R. 2010. *Technical Memorandum: Expanded Barrel Only Alternative Stormwater Assessment*.
 33 Document No. 0/10-320878-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
 34 Tetra Tech. September 15.
- 35 Chee, R., and G. Hemmen. 2010. *Rosemont Hydrology Method Justification*. Document No.: 033/10-
 36 320842-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 37 Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 27.
- 38 Hargis, D.R., and J.W. Harshbarger. n.d. [1978]. Hydrology of the Rosemont Area. In *An*
 39 *Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Volume I: The Present*
 40 *Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- 41 Krizek, D.R. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel and McCleary Alternative Stormwater*
 42 *Assessment*. Document No. 058/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 43 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.
- 44 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel Only Stormwater Assessment*. Document No.
 45 059/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech.
 46 March 5.
- 47 ———. 2010c. *Technical Memorandum: Mine Plan of Operations Stormwater Assessment*.
 48 Document No. 062/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 49 Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.

- 1 ———. 2010d. *Technical Memorandum: Scholefield Tailings and McCleary Waste Alternative*
2 *Stormwater Assessment*. Document No. 060/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
3 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.
- 4 Pearce, M.J. 2007. Water rights data. Maguire and Pearce, PLLC, Phoenix, Arizona. Letter to J.
5 Derby, Coronado National Forest. November 20.
- 6 Pima Association of Governments. 2012. *Cienega Creek Natural Preserve Surface Water and*
7 *Groundwater Monitoring Annual Report for the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year*. Memorandum from
8 Claire Zucker, Director, Sustainable Environment Program, and Mead Mier, Senior
9 Watershed Planner, Pima Association of Governments to Suzanne Shields, Director, Pima
10 County Regional Flood Control District. Tucson, Arizona: Pima Association of Governments.
11 February.
- 12 Pima County. 2004. Introduction: the Conservation Lands System. Available at:
13 <http://www.pimaxpress.com/Planning/Conservation/PlamAmendCLS.htm>. Accessed April
14 16, 2013.
- 15 ———. 2009. An Overview of the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan. Available at:
16 <http://www.pima.gov/com/sdcp/intro.html>. Accessed April 16, 2013.
- 17 ———. 2012. *Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Rosemont Copper Project, A Proposed*
18 *Mining Operation, Coronado National Forest, Pima County, Arizona, September 2011*. Letter
19 from C.H. Huckelberry, Pima County Administrator, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor,
20 Coronado National Forest. . Tucson, Arizona: Pima County. January 18.
- 21 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2012. *Method for Estimating Flow in Davidson Canyon*.
22 Memorandum to file from DeAnne Rietz, SWCA Environmental Consultants. Phoenix,
23 Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. August 28.
- 24 ———. 2013a. *Estimates of Phasing of Stormwater Reductions during Operations*. Memorandum to
25 file from Chris Garrett, SWCA Environmental Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA
26 Environmental Consultants. April 5.
- 27 ———. 2013b. *Incorporation of Geomorphic Reclamation Techniques and Concepts into the*
28 *Rosemont Copper Project*. Draft Memorandum to file by Chris Garrett and Melissa Polm,
29 SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
30 June 22.
- 31 Tetra Tech. 2007. *Survey of Salvage Topsoil Resources*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta
32 Resource Corporation. Salt Lake City, Utah: Tetra Tech. June.
- 33 ———. 2010a. *Davidson Canyon Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Assessment of Spring*
34 *Impacts*. Project No. 114-320869. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
35 Tech. July.
- 36 ———. 2010b. *Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Modeling Report*. Project No. 114-320794.
37 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. February.
- 38 ———. 2010c. *Site Water Management Update*. Project No. 114-320828. 5 vols. Prepared for
39 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 40 ———. 2012. *Preliminary Site Water Management Plan for the Barrel Alternative, Rosemont Copper*
41 *Project*. Project No. 114-320929. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
42 Tetra Tech. July.
- 43 U.S. Forest Service. 2011. Water body: Coronado National Forest GIS data. Available at:
44 http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/gis/cor/water_bodies.htm. Accessed May 18, 2011.
- 45 U.S. Geological Survey. 2010. USGS 09484580 Barrel Canyon near Sonoita. Available at:
46 http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/nwisman/?site_no=09484580&agency_cd=USGS. Accessed
47 September 7, 2010.
- 48 ———. 2011. USGS surface-water daily statistics for Arizona. Available at:
49 [http://waterdata.usgs.gov/az/nwis/dvstat/?referred_module=sw&site_no=09484550&por_094](http://waterdata.usgs.gov/az/nwis/dvstat/?referred_module=sw&site_no=09484550&por_09484550_1=1956146,00060,1,2001-0)
50 [84550_1=1956146,00060,1,2001-0](http://waterdata.usgs.gov/az/nwis/dvstat/?referred_module=sw&site_no=09484550&por_09484550_1=1956146,00060,1,2001-0). Accessed February 9, 2011.
- 51 ———. 2013a. Geographic Names Information System: Query Form for the United States and Its
52 Territories. Available at:

- 1 <http://geonames.usgs.gov/pls/gnispublic/f?p=132:1:4343805825570097>. Accessed May 3,
2 2013.
- 3 ———. 2013b. The National Map. Available at: <http://nationalmap.gov/>. Accessed May 3, 2013.
- 4 ———. 2013c. USGS Water Data for Arizona. Available at: <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/az/nwis/nwis>.
5 Accessed April 16, 2013.
- 6 WestLand Resources Inc. 2010a. *CWA Section 404(B)(1) Alternatives Analysis (SPL-2008-00816-
7 MB)*. Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
8 WestLand Resources, Inc. April.
- 9 ———. 2010b. *Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination for the Rosemont Project, Pima County,
10 Arizona*. Rev. Project No. 1049.14 800 800. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
11 Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. October 29.
- 12 ———. 2010c. *Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination: Santa Rita Road Waterline for the
13 Rosemont Project Pima County, Arizona*. Rev. Project No. 1049.14 CCO3 806. Prepared for
14 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. October 29.
- 15 ———. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: Conservation Measures – Water Features*. Project No.
16 1049.21. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources,
17 Inc. November 12.
- 18 Zeller, M.E. 2011a. *Predicted Regulatory (100-Yr) Hydrology and Average-Annual Runoff
19 Downstream of the Rosemont Copper Project*. Technical memorandum. Tucson, Arizona:
20 Tetra Tech. July 11.
- 21 ———. 2011b. *Technical Memorandum: Response to PCRFC D Comments Regarding Hydrology*.
22 Document No. 140/11-320878-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
23 Arizona: Tetra Tech. August 18.

24 Surface Water Quality

- 25 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2004. *Arizona Mining Guidance Manual BADCT*.
26 Aquifer Protection Program. Publication No. TB-04-01. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona
27 Department of Environmental Quality.
- 28 ———. 2009. Title 18, Environmental Quality; Chapter 11, Department of Environmental Quality
29 Water Quality Standards; Article 1, Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters. Available at:
30 [http://www.azdeq.gov/environ/water/standards/download/SWQ_Standards-1-09-
31 unofficial.pdf](http://www.azdeq.gov/environ/water/standards/download/SWQ_Standards-1-09-unofficial.pdf). Accessed July 9, 2010.
- 32 Duan, J.G., A. Acharya, M. Yaeger, S. Zhang, and M. Salguero. 2008. *Evaluation of Flow and
33 Sediment Models for the Rillito River*. Final report submitted to the Pima County Regional
34 Flood Control District. Tucson, Arizona: Department of Civil Engineering and Engineering
35 Mechanics, University of Arizona. July 5.
- 36 Hudson, A.L., and M.A. Williamson. 2011. *Rosemont Facility Fate and Transport Modeling
37 Response to Comments*. Document No. 113/11-320878-5.3. Technical memorandum. Tucson,
38 Arizona: Tetra Tech. May 16.
- 39 Krizek, D. 2011. *Rosemont Waste Rock Segregation Plan - Revision 1*. Document No.: 010/10-
40 320877-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
41 Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 25.
- 42 Levick, L.R., D.C. Goodrich, M. Fernandez, J. Fonseca, D.J. Semmens, J. Stromberg, M. Tluczek,
43 R.A. Leidy, M. Scianni, D.P. Guertin, and W.G. Kepner. 2008. *The Ecological and
44 Hydrological Significance of Ephemeral and Intermittent Streams in the Arid and Semi-arid
45 American Southwest*. EPA/600/R-08/134; ARS/233046. U.S. Environmental Protection
46 Agency and Agricultural Research Service Southwest Watershed Research Center.
- 47 Levy, D. 2010. *Rosemont Site Surface Soils Geochemistry*. Doc #: 030/10-320841-5.3. Technical
48 memorandum prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. Janury
49 2010.

- 1 Metesh, J.J., T. Jarrell, and S. Oravetz. 1998. *Treating Acid Mine Drainage from Abandoned Mines in*
2 *Remote Areas*. Project No. 7E72G71. Missoula, Montana: U.S. Forest Service, Technology
3 and Development Program. October.
- 4 Pacific Southwest Inter-Agency Committee. 1968. Factors Affecting Sediment Yield in the Pacific
5 Southwest Area. In *Report of the Water Management Subcommittee on Factors Affecting*
6 *Sediment Yield in the Pacific Southwest Area and Selection and Evaluation of Measures for*
7 *Reduction of Erosion and Sediment Yield*. Pacific Southwest Inter-Agency Committee.
8 October.
- 9 Patterson, J., and G. Annandale. 2012. *Geomorphic Assessment of Barrel Creek*. Project No.: 093-
10 81962.0007. Technical memorandum. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants.
11 Lakewood, Colorado: Golder Associates Inc. July 18.
- 12 Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. 2005. *Unique Water Nomination for*
13 *Davidson Canyon*. Draft. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Tucson,
14 Arizona: Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. February.
- 15 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012a. *Integrated Watershed Summary*. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont
16 Copper Company. June.
- 17 ———. 2012b. *Response to December 4, 2012 Forest Service Letter*. Letter from Katherine Ann
18 Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont Copper Company,
19 to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Document No. 072/12-
20 15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. December 14.
- 21 ———. 2012c. *Response to December 20, 2011 Forest Service Letter*. Letter on file, U.S. Forest
22 Service, from Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and Regulatory Affairs,
23 Rosemont Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest.
24 Document No. 013/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. February 10.
- 25 Ruff, P.F., D.W. Dust, and M.T. Bowers. 1986. Application of HEC-6 to ephemeral streams. In
26 *Proceedings of the Fourth Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference, March 24–27,*
27 *1986, Las Vegas, Nevada*, pp. 6-85 to 6-94. 2 vols. Available at:
28 http://pubs.usgs.gov/misc/FISC_1947-2006/pdf/1st-7thFISCs-CD/4thFISC/4Fisc-
29 [V1/4Fsc1TOC.PDF](http://pubs.usgs.gov/misc/FISC_1947-2006/pdf/1st-7thFISCs-CD/4thFISC/4Fisc-V1/4Fsc1TOC.PDF). Accessed April 15, 2013.
- 30 Tetra Tech. 2009. *Aquifer Protection Permit Application*. 5 vols. Project No. 114-320794. Prepared
31 for Rosemont Copper Company. Submitted to Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
32 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. February.
- 33 ———. 2010a. *Davidson Canyon Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Assessment of Spring*
34 *Impacts*. Project No. 114-320869. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
35 Tech. July.
- 36 ———. 2010b. *Geochemical Pit Lake Predictive Model*. Project No. 114-320777. Prepared for
37 Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. February.
- 38 ———. 2010c. *Geochemical Pit Lake Predictive Model—Revision 1*. Project No. 114-320884.
39 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 40 ———. 2010d. *Infiltration, Seepage, Fate and Transport Modeling Report—Revision 1*. Project No.
41 114-320884. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. August.
- 42 ———. 2010e. *Site Water Management Update*. Project No. 114-320828. 5 vols. Prepared for
43 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 44 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2008a. *Jurisdictional Determinations*. Regulatory Guidance Letter.
45 June 26.
- 46 ———. 2008b. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid*
47 *West Region (Version 2.0)*. ERDC/EL TR-08-28. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer
48 Research and Development Center. September.
- 49 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2012. Secondary Drinking Water Regulations: Guidance for
50 Nuisance Chemicals. Available at:
51 <http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/secondarystandards.cfm>. Accessed January 19, 2013.

- 1 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
 2 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
 3 ———. 2005. Chapter 2670 - Threatened, endangered and sensitive plants and animals. In *FSM 2600*
 4 *- Wildlife, Fish and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest
 5 Service National Headquarters.
 6 WestLand Resources Inc. 2010a. *Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination for the Rosemont Project,*
 7 *Pima County, Arizona*. Rev. Project No. 1049.14 800 800. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of
 8 Engineers. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. October 29.
 9 ———. 2010b. *Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination: Santa Rita Road Waterline for the*
 10 *Rosemont Project Pima County, Arizona*. Rev. Project No. 1049.14 CCO3 806. Prepared for
 11 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. October 29.
 12 ———. 2012a. *Addendum to the Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination For Rosemont's Santa*
 13 *Rita Road Utility Line: 38 Acres Near the Helvetia Townsite, Pima County, Arizona*. Project
 14 No.: 1049.32 806 806. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Tucson, Arizona:
 15 WestLand Resources, Inc. March 13.
 16 ———. 2012b. *Addendum to the Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination For Rosemont's Santa*
 17 *Rita Road Utility Line: Approximately 49.7 Acres Along Corto, Country Club, Santa Rita,*
 18 *and Dawson Roads, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No.: 1049.29 805 805. Prepared for U.S.
 19 Army Corps of Engineers. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. March 15.
 20 Williamson, M.A. 2012. *Response to Forest Service Information Request*. Project: No. 1002.1.
 21 Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Loveland, Colorado:
 22 Geochemical Solutions, LLC. February 9.
 23 Zeller, M. 2012. *Baseline and Post Mine Hydrology and Sediment Delivery at USGS Gage for Barrel*
 24 *Alternative*. Document No.: 054/12-320929-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for
 25 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 11.
 26 Zeller, M.E. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Baseline and Post-mining Conditions—*
 27 *Alternatives Sediment Delivery*. Document No. 122/10-320871. Prepared for Rosemont
 28 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 9.
 29 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Baseline and Post-mining Conditions—Sediment*
 30 *Delivery*. Document No. 121/10-320828-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 31 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 9.
 32 ———. 2011. *Technical Memorandum: Response to PCRFC D Comments Regarding Hydrology*.
 33 Document No. 140/11-320878-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 34 Arizona: Tetra Tech. August 18.

35 **Seeps, Springs, and Riparian Areas**

- 36 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2010. *Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination*
 37 *System General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity –*
 38 *Mineral Industry to Waters of the United States*. Permit No. AZMSG2010-003. Effective
 39 February 1, 2011, to January 31, 2016. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona Department of
 40 Environmental Quality, Water Quality Division. December 20.
 41 ———. 2012. Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Field Form (FY 2012). Rev. January 2012. Phoenix,
 42 Arizona: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
 43 ———. 2013. Water Quality Division: Permits: Clean Water Act (CWA) 401 Water Quality
 44 Certification Program. Available at:
 45 <http://www.azdeq.gov/environ/water/permits/cwa401.html>. Accessed May 1, 2013.
 46 Arizona Department of Water Resources. 2005. Statement of Claimant Adjudications Database CD.
 47 Busch, D.E., and S.D. Smith. 1995. Mechanisms associated with decline of woody species in riparian
 48 ecosystems of the southwestern U.S. *Ecological Monographs* 65(3):347–370.
 49 Capon, S.J. 2003. Plant community responses to wetting and drying in a large arid floodplain. *River*
 50 *Research and Applications* 19:509–520.

- 1 Cataldo, J., C. Behr, F. Montalto, and R.J. Pierce. 2004. *A Summary of Published Reports of*
2 *Transmission Losses in Ephemeral Streams in the U.S.* Prepared for the National Center for
3 Housing and the Environment. West New York, New Jersey: eDesign Dynamics, LLC;
4 Poolesville, Maryland: Wetland Science Applications, Inc. August 5.
- 5 Elmore, A.J., J.F. Mustard, and S.J. Manning. 2003. Regional patterns of plant community response
6 to changes in water. *Ecological Applications* 13(2):443–460.
- 7 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2009. *Results of Phase 2 Hydrogeologic Investigations and*
8 *Monitoring Program, Rosemont Project, Pima County, Arizona.* Prepared for Rosemont
9 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and Associates, Inc. February 26.
- 10 Fonseca, J., M. Block, M. Longsworth, and J. Boggs. 1990. *Unique Waters Final Nomination Report*
11 *for Cienega Creek Natural Preserve, Pima County, Arizona.* Prepared for Arizona
12 Department of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Standards Unit. Pima County
13 Department of Transportation and Flood Control District, Pima Association of Governments,
14 and Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. November 28.
- 15 Garrett, C. 2012a. *Proposed Rosemont Copper Project Cooperating Agency Discussion of Riparian*
16 *Mapping and Functional Assessments, June 28, 2012.* Tucson, Arizona: SWCA
17 Environmental Consultants.
- 18 ———. 2012b. *Water Panel Meeting Notes, May 3, 2012.* Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
19 Consultants.
- 20 Horton, J.L., and J.L. Clark. 2001. Water table decline alters growth and survival of *Salix gooddingii*
21 and *Tamarix chinensis* seedlings. *Forest Ecology and Management* 140:239–247.
- 22 Johnson, R.R., S.W. Carothers, and J.M. Simpson. 1984. A riparian classification system. In
23 *California Riparian Systems: Ecology, Conservation, and Productive Management*, edited by
24 R.E. Warner and K.M. Hendrix, pp. 375–382. Berkeley, California: University of California
25 Press.
- 26 Krizek, D.R. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel and McCleary Alternative Stormwater*
27 *Assessment.* Document No. 058/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
28 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.
- 29 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel Only Stormwater Assessment.* Document No.
30 059/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech.
31 March 5.
- 32 ———. 2010c. *Technical Memorandum: Mine Plan of Operations Stormwater Assessment.*
33 Document No. 062/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
34 Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.
- 35 ———. 2010d. *Technical Memorandum: Scholefield Tailings and McCleary Waste Alternative*
36 *Stormwater Assessment.* Document No. 060/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
37 Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March 5.
- 38 Leenhouts, J.M., J.C. Stromberg, and R.L. Scott (eds.). 2006. *Hydrologic requirements of and*
39 *consumptive ground-water Use by riparian vegetation along the San Pedro River, Arizona.*
40 Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5163. Prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Land
41 Management, Arizona Department of Water Resources, City of Sierra Vista, U.S. Department
42 of Defense, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Reston, Virginia: U.S. Geological
43 Survey.
- 44 Lite, S.J. 2004. San Pedro River riparian vegetation across water availability and flood disturbance
45 gradients. *Arizona Riparian Council* 17(2):1, 3–5.
- 46 Lite, S.J., and J.C. Stromberg. 2005. Surface water and ground-water thresholds for maintaining
47 *Populus–Salix* forests, San Pedro River, Arizona. *Biological Conservation* 125:153–167.
- 48 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
49 *Simulation of Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables.*
50 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August
51 30.

- 1 Myers, T. 2008. *Hydrogeology of the Santa Rita Rosemont Project Site Numerical Groundwater*
2 *Modeling of the Conceptual Flow Model and Effects of the Construction of the Proposed*
3 *Open Pit*. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Reno, Nevada. April.
- 4 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2011. Ecological Site Information System Database:
5 Ecological Site Description User Guide. Rev. January 4, 2011. Available at:
6 <http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov/Welcome/pgESDWelcome.aspx>. Accessed January 18, 2013.
- 7 Pearce, M.J. 2007. Water rights data. Maguire and Pearce, PLLC, Phoenix, Arizona. Letter to J.
8 Derby, Coronado National Forest. November 20.
- 9 Pima Association of Governments. 2010. *Evaluation of Riparian Habitat and Headcutting along*
10 *Lower Cienega Creek*. Arizona Water Protection Fund Grant No. 07-144. Tucson, Arizona:
11 Pima Association of Governments. March.
- 12 ———. 2012. Cienega Creek hydrologic research and findings. Available at:
13 <http://www.pagnet.org/tabid/1012/default.aspx>. Accessed September 19, 2012.
- 14 Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. 2005. *Unique Water Nomination for*
15 *Davidson Canyon*. Draft. Prepared for Pima County Regional Flood Control District. Tucson,
16 Arizona: Pima Association of Governments Watershed Planning. February.
- 17 Pima County Regional Flood Control District. 2010. *Regulated Riparian Habitat Mitigation*
18 *Standards and Implementation Guidelines*. Supplement to Title 16, Chapter 16.30, of the
19 Watercourse and Riparian Habitat Protection and Mitigation Requirements Ordinance No.
20 2005-FC2. Tucson, Arizona. January.
- 21 ———. 2011. *Regulated Riparian Habitat Mitigation Standards and Implementation Guidelines*.
22 Supplement to Title 16, Chapter 16.30, of the Watercourse and Riparian Habitat Protection
23 and Mitigation Requirements, Ordinance No. 2010 FC5. Tucson, Arizona. November.
- 24 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012. *Response to December 20, 2011 Forest Service Letter*. Letter on
25 file, U.S. Forest Service, from Katherine Ann Arnold, Vice President, Environmental and
26 Regulatory Affairs, Rosemont Copper Company, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor,
27 Coronado National Forest. Document No. 013/12-15.3.1. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper
28 Company. February 10.
- 29 Scott, M.L., P.B. Shafroth, and G.T. Auble. 1999. Responses of riparian cottonwoods to alluvial water
30 table declines. *Environmental Management* 23(3):347–358.
- 31 Stacey, P.B., A.L. Jones, J.C. Catlin, D.A. Duff, L.E. Stevens, and C. Gourley. 2006. *User's Guide for*
32 *the Rapid Assessment of the Functional Condition of Stream-Riparian Ecosystems in the*
33 *American Southwest*. Salt Lake City: Wild Utah Project.
- 34 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2012a. *Method for Estimating Flow in Davidson Canyon*.
35 Memorandum to file from DeAnne Rietz, SWCA Environmental Consultants. Phoenix,
36 Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. August 28.
- 37 ———. 2012b. *Summary of References Used in the Analysis of Seeps/Springs/Riparian Section*.
38 Letter to file from DeAnne Rietz and Chris Garrett, SWCA Environmental Consultants.
39 Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. December 27.
- 40 Tetra Tech. 2010a. *Davidson Canyon Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model and Assessment of Spring*
41 *Impacts*. Project No. 114-320869. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
42 Tech. July.
- 43 ———. 2010b. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
44 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 45 U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. Hydrologic requirements of and evapotranspiration by riparian
46 vegetation along the San Pedro River, Arizona. Fact sheet 2006-3027. Prepared in
47 cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey.
48 May.
- 49 ———. 2013a. Geographic Names Information System: Query Form for the United States and Its
50 Territories. Available at:
51 <http://geonames.usgs.gov/pls/gnispublic/f?p=132:1:4343805825570097>. Accessed May 3,
52 2013.

- 1 ———. 2013b. The National Map. Available at: <http://nationalmap.gov/>. Accessed May 3, 2013.
- 2 Ugorets, V., L. Cope, and C. Hoag. 2012. *Pt. 3: SWCA Questions 1 through 3—Professional Opinions*
3 *to Assess Impacts to Distant Surface Waters and Modeling Certainty*. Technical
4 memorandum. Project No. 183100.020/Task 600. Tucson, Arizona: SRK Consulting. August
5 8.
- 6 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Rosemont Project Preliminary Springs Assessment [Issue No. SW-2;*
7 *Item No. 12]*. Project No. 1049.10.770.770. Prepared for U.S. Forest Service, Coronado
8 National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. December 3.
- 9 ———. 2010a. *CWA Section 404(B)(1) Alternatives Analysis (SPL-2008-00816-MB)*. Project No.
10 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources,
11 Inc. April.
- 12 ———. 2010b. *Onsite Riparian Habitat Assessment, Rosemont Project*. Project No. 1049.14.
13 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. April.
- 14 ———. 2011a. *Offsite Riparian Habitat Analysis and Mapping*. Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for
15 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. August 17.
- 16 ———. 2011b. *Rosemont Copper Project: July 2011 Seeps and Springs Survey*. Project No. 1049.14.
17 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc.
18 August 19.
- 19 ———. 2012a. *Rosemont Copper Project: Seeps and Springs Survey, 2011-2012*. Project No.
20 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources,
21 Inc. July 11.
- 22 ———. 2012b. *Trip Report for Cienega Creek Site Visit Conducted on October 26-28, 2011 and*
23 *November 3, 2011*. Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
24 Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. May 4.
- 25 Zeller, M. 2012. *Baseline and Post Mine Hydrology and Sediment Delivery at USGS Gage for Barrel*
26 *Alternative*. Document No.: 054/12-320929-5.3. Technical memorandum. Prepared for
27 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July 11.
- 28 Zeller, M.E. 2011. *Predicted Regulatory (100-Yr) Hydrology and Average-Annual Runoff*
29 *Downstream of the Rosemont Copper Project*. Technical memorandum. Tucson, Arizona:
30 Tetra Tech. July 11.

31 **Biological Resources**

- 32 Akins, C.M. 2012. Amphibians and Reptiles Program, Ranid Frogs Project, Arizona Game and Fish
33 Department, Nongame Branch. Santa Rita Management Area—April Chiricahua Leopard
34 Frog Trip Briefing. Email communication to Larry Jones, U.S. Forest Service. May 29.
- 35 Alves, P.L.D.C.A., A.C.N. Magalhães, and P.R. Barja. 2002. The phenomemon of photoinhibition of
36 photosynthesis and its importance in reforestation. *The Botanical Review* 68(2):193–208.
- 37 Andersen, D.E., O.J. Rongstad, and W.R. Mytton. 1989. Response of nesting red-tailed hawks to
38 helicopter overflights. *The Condor* 91:296–299.
- 39 Arizona Department of Agriculture. 2005. Protected Arizona native plants list. Available at:
40 <http://www.azda.gov/ESD/nativeplants.htm>. Accessed July 22, 2010.
- 41 Arizona Game and Fish Department. 1996. *Wildlife of Special Concern in Arizona*. Draft. Phoenix,
42 Arizona: Arizona Game and Fish Department. March 16.
- 43 ———. 2000a. *Buteo plagiatus* (Schlegel 1862). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
44 Heritage Data Management System.
- 45 ———. 2000b. *Carex ultra* L.H. Bailey. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
46 Data Management System.
- 47 ———. 2000c. *Muhlenbergia dubioides* C. Gooding. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by
48 the Heritage Data Management System.
- 49 ———. 2001a. *Aspidoscelis burti stictogrammus*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
50 Heritage Data Management System.

- 1 ———. 2001b. *Buteo swainsoni*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
2 Management System.
- 3 ———. 2001c. *Erigeron arisolius* Nesom. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
4 Data Management System.
- 5 ———. 2001d. *Graptopetalum bartramii* Rose. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
6 Heritage Data Management System.
- 7 ———. 2001e. *Heterotheca rutteri* (Rothr.) Shinnars. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by
8 the Heritage Data Management System.
- 9 ———. 2001f. *Lilium parryi* Wats. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
10 Management System.
- 11 ———. 2001g. *Poeciliopsis occidentalis occidentalis*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by
12 the Heritage Data Management System.
- 13 ———. 2002a. *Coccyzus americanus occidentalis*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
14 Heritage Data Management System.
- 15 ———. 2002b. *Gila intermedia*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
16 Management System.
- 17 ———. 2002c. *Myotis velifer*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
18 Management System.
- 19 ———. 2003a. *Amoreuxia gonzalezii*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
20 Data Management System.
- 21 ———. 2003b. *Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by
22 the Heritage Data Management System.
- 23 ———. 2003c. *Lilaeopsis schaffneriana* (Schlecht) var. *recurva* (A.W. Hill) Affolter. Unpublished
24 abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System.
- 25 ———. 2003d. *Pectis imberbis* Gray. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
26 Management System.
- 27 ———. 2003e. *Sigmodon ochrognathus*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
28 Data Management System.
- 29 ———. 2004a. *Hexalectris revoluta* Correll. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
30 Heritage Data Management System.
- 31 ———. 2004b. *Leopardus pardalis*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
32 Management System.
- 33 ———. 2004c. *Stevia lemmonii* Gray. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
34 Data Management System.
- 35 ———. 2004d. *Tragia laciniata*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
36 Management System.
- 37 ———. 2005. *Buteogallus anthracinus* Deppe. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
38 Heritage Data Management System.
- 39 ———. 2006a. *Agosia chrysogaster* Girard. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
40 Heritage Data Management System.
- 41 ———. 2006b. *Choeronycteris mexicana*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
42 Data Management System.
- 43 ———. 2006c. *Rana chiricahuensis*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
44 Management System.
- 45 ———. 2008. *Sonorella magdalenensis* (Stearns, 1890). Unpublished abstract compiled and edited
46 by the Heritage Data Management System.
- 47 ———. 2009a. *Echinomastus erectocentrus* var. *erectocentrus* (Coult.) Britton & Rose. Unpublished
48 abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data Management System.
- 49 ———. 2009b. The Story of Macho B. Available at: http://www.azgfd.gov/w_c/jaguar/machob.shtml.
50 Accessed July 26, 2011.
- 51 ———. 2010a. *Ammodramus savannarum ammoregus*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by
52 the Heritage Data Management System.

- 1 ———. 2010b. *Leopardus pardalis*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
2 Management System.
- 3 ———. 2011a. *Amoreuxia gonzalezii*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
4 Data Management System.
- 5 ———. 2011b. *Coccyzus americanus* L. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
6 Data Management System.
- 7 ———. 2011c. Game and Fish confirms report of jaguar in southern Arizona. Available at:
8 [http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-confirms-report-of-jaguar-in-](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-confirms-report-of-jaguar-in-southern-Arizona.shtml)
9 [southern-Arizona.shtml](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-confirms-report-of-jaguar-in-southern-Arizona.shtml). Accessed December 19, 2011.
- 10 ———. 2011d. Game and Fish gets another photo of rare ocelot. Available at:
11 [http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-gets-another-photo-of-rare-](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-gets-another-photo-of-rare-ocelot.shtml)
12 [ocelot.shtml](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Game-and-Fish-gets-another-photo-of-rare-ocelot.shtml). Accessed July 14, 2011.
- 13 ———. 2011e. *Lasiurus blossevillii*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage Data
14 Management System.
- 15 ———. 2011f. *Myotis thysanodes* Miller. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the Heritage
16 Data Management System.
- 17 ———. 2011g. *Nyctinomops femorosaccus*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
18 Heritage Data Management System.
- 19 ———. 2012a. *Data for Roadkill and Culvert Study for State Route 83*. Data emailed from Michael
20 Ingraldi, Arizona Game and Fish Department Project Supervisor, to Larry Jones, Coronado
21 National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Game and Fish Department. October 17.
- 22 ———. 2012b. *Heritage Database Management System: Updated List of Special Status Species*
23 *Occurring Within the Biological Resources Analysis Area for the Proposed Rosemont Copper*
24 *Project*. Tucson: Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 25 ———. 2012c. *The Pima County Wildlife Connectivity Assessment: Report on Stakeholder Input*.
26 Arizona Game and Fish Department. February.
- 27 ———. 2012d. Probable ocelot sighting in Arizona on Feb. 5. Available at:
28 [http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Probable-ocelot-sighting-in-Arizona-on-Feb-](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Probable-ocelot-sighting-in-Arizona-on-Feb-5.shtml)
29 [5.shtml](http://azgfd.net/artman/publish/NewsMedia/Probable-ocelot-sighting-in-Arizona-on-Feb-5.shtml). Accessed June 4, 2012.
- 30 ———. 2012e. *Thamnophis eques megalops*. Unpublished abstract compiled and edited by the
31 Heritage Data Management System.
- 32 Arizona Rare Plant Committee. n.d. [2000]. *Arizona Rare Plant Field Guide*. Arizona Rare Plant
33 Committee.
- 34 Averill-Murray, R.C., and C.M. Klug. 2000. *Monitoring and Ecology of Sonoran Desert Tortoises in*
35 *Arizona*. Technical Report No. 161. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona Game and Fish Department,
36 Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program. November 17.
- 37 Avian Power Line Interaction Committee. 2006. *Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power*
38 *Lines: The State of the Art in 2006*. Pier Final Project Report CEC-500-2006-022.
39 Washington, D.C. and Sacramento, California: Edison Electric Institute, Avian Power Line
40 Interaction Committee, and the California Energy Commission.
- 41 Baker, M.A. 2003. *Sensitive Plant Survey for the Coronado National Forest, Final Report*. Project
42 No. 2003-01-FLORA. Chino Valley, Arizona: U.S. Forest Service. December 19.
- 43 Barber, J., and B. Andersson. 1992. Too much of a good thing: Light can be bad for photosynthesis.
44 *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 17(2):61–66.
- 45 Beier, P. 2006. Effects of artificial night lighting on terrestrial mammals. In *Ecological Consequences*
46 *of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 19–42. Washington, D.C.:
47 Island Press.
- 48 Beier, P., E. Garding, and D. Majka. 2008. *Arizona Missing Linkages: Patagonia–Santa Rita Linkage*
49 *Design*. Submitted to Arizona Game and Fish Department. School of Forestry, Northern
50 Arizona University.

- 1 Beier, P., D. Majka, and T. Bayless. 2006a. *Arizona Missing Linkages: Rincon-Santa Rita-Whetstone*
 2 *Linkage Design*. Rev. Submitted to Arizona Game and Fish Department. School of Forestry,
 3 Northern Arizona University.
- 4 ———. 2006b. *Arizona Missing Linkages: Santa Rita-Tumacacori Linkage Design*. Rev. Submitted
 5 to Arizona Game and Fish Department. School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University.
 6 June 22.
- 7 ———. 2007. *Arizona Missing Linkages: Rincon-Santa Rita-Whetstone Linkage Design*. Rev.
 8 Submitted to Arizona Game and Fish Department. School of Forestry, Northern Arizona
 9 University. May 30.
- 10 Bennett, J.H., and A.C. Hill. 1973. Absorption of Gaseous Air Pollutants By a Standardized Plant
 11 Canopy. *Journal of Air Pollution Control Association* 23(3):203-206.
- 12 Bequaert, J.C., and W.B. Miller. 1973. *The Mollusks of the Arid Southwest: With an Arizona Check*
 13 *List*. Tucson, Arizona: University of Arizona Press.
- 14 Biota Information System of New Mexico (BISON-M). 2008a. New Mexico Department of Game
 15 and Fish Species: Coati, White-nosed. Available at: [http://bison-](http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050165)
 16 [m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050165](http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050165). Accessed April 11, 2011.
- 17 ———. 2008b. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Species: Mouse, Harvest, Fulvous.
 18 Available at: <http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050390>. Accessed April 11, 2011.
- 19 ———. 2008c. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Species: Mouse, Harvest, Plains.
 20 Available at: <http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050395>. Accessed April 11, 2011.
- 21 ———. 2008d. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Species: Mouse, Pygmy, Northern.
 22 Available at: <http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050475>. Accessed April 11, 2011.
- 23 ———. 2008e. New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Species: Skunk, Hooded. Available at:
 24 <http://bison-m.org/booklet.aspx?id=050740>. Accessed April 11, 2011.
- 25 Bissonette, J.A., and C.A. Kassar. 2008. Locations of deer-vehicle collisions are unrelated to traffic
 26 volume or posted speed limit. *Human-Wildlife Conflicts* 2(1):122-130.
- 27 Bodner, G., J. Simms, and D. Gori. 2007. *State of the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area: Gila*
 28 *Topminnow Population Status and Trends 1989-2005*. The Nature Conservancy. July.
- 29 Bodner, G., and K. Simms. 2008. *State of the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area; Part 3:*
 30 *Condition and Trend of Riparian Target Species, Vegetation and Channel Geomorphology*.
 31 The Nature Conservancy; Tucson, Arizona: Bureau of Land Management, Tucson Field
 32 Office. January.
- 33 Boydston, E.E., and C.A. López-González. 2005. Sexual differentiation in the distribution potential
 34 of northern jaguars (*Panthera onca*). In *Connecting Mountain Islands and Desert Seas:*
 35 *Biodiversity and Management of the Madrean Archipelago II*, edited by G.J. Gottfried, B.S.
 36 Gebow, L.G. Eskew, and C.B. Edminster, pp. 51-56. RMRS-P-36. Fort Collins, Colorado:
 37 Rocky Mountain Research Station, U.S. Forest Service. September.
- 38 Brandt, C.J., and R.W. Rhoades. 1972. Effects of limestone dust accumulation on composition of a
 39 forest community. *Environmental Pollution* 3:217-225.
- 40 Brennan, T.C., and A.T. Holycross. 2006. *A Field Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles in Arizona*.
 41 Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 42 Brown, D.E. (ed.). 1994. *Biotic Communities: Southwestern United States and Northwestern Mexico*.
 43 Salt Lake City, Utah: University of Utah Press.
- 44 Brown, D.E., and C.A. López-González. 2001. *Borderland Jaguars; Tigres de la Frontera*. Logan,
 45 Utah: University of Utah Press.
- 46 Buchanan, B.W. 1993. Effects of enhanced lighting on the behaviour of nocturnal frogs. *Animal*
 47 *Behaviour* 45(5):893-899.
- 48 ———. 2006. Observed and potential effects of artificial night lighting on Anuran amphibians. In
 49 *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp.
 50 192-220. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- 51 Buecher, D.C., and R. Sidner. 2013. *Rosemont Project 2012 Bat Roost Surveys*. Project No. 1049.37.
 52 Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. March 22.

- 1 Buecher, D.C., R. Sidner, A.L. Best, and G.F. Diamond. 2012. *Rosemont Project 2011 Bat Roost*
2 *Surveys*. Project No. 1049.23 B Task 300. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
3 Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. February 3.
- 4 Buecher, D.C., R. Sidner, T. Strong, and A.L. Best. 2010. *Rosemont Holdings 2009 Bat Roost Survey*.
5 Project No. 1049.14 CCO2 350B. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. January 14.
- 6 ———. 2011. *Rosemont Project 2010 Bat Roost Surveys*. Project No. 1049.18 A 345. Tucson,
7 Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. September.
- 8 Bureau of Land Management. 2005. Arizona BLM sensitive species list. Dated November 4, 2005.
9 Available at:
10 <http://www.blm.gov/pgdata/etc/medialib/blm/az/pdfs/efoia/2006ims.Par.53959.File.dat/IMA>
11 [Z-2006-002.pdf](http://www.blm.gov/pgdata/etc/medialib/blm/az/pdfs/efoia/2006ims.Par.53959.File.dat/IMA). Accessed October 9, 2012.
- 12 ———. 2008. *Manual 6840 - Special Status Species Management*. Washington, D.C.: Department of
13 the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. December 12.
- 14 ———. 2012. *Agency Review of the Public Draft of the Rosemont Copper Project DEIS*. Tucson,
15 Arizona: Bureau of Land Management.
- 16 Burnett, M.R., P.V. August, J.H. Brown, Jr., and K.T. Killingbeck. 1998. The influence of
17 geomorphological heterogeneity on biodiversity; I. A patch-scale perspective.
18 *Conservation Biology* 12(2):363–370.
- 19 Catling, P.M. 2004. A synopsis of the genus *Hexalectris* in the United States and a new variety of
20 *Hexalectris revoluta*. *The Native Orchid Conference Journal* 1(2):5–25.
- 21 CDM Smith. 2012. *Preliminary Reclamation and Closure Plan for the Barrel Alternative, Rosemont*
22 *Copper Company, July 2012, Rosemont Copper Project*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
23 Company. Tucson, Arizona: CDM Smith. July 9.
- 24 Center for Biological Diversity. 2010a. *Petition to List Coleman's Coral-Root, Hexalectris colemanii,*
25 *as Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act*. Flagstaff, Arizona: Center
26 for Biological Diversity. September 8.
- 27 ———. 2010b. *Petition to List Two Arizona Plants from the Sky Islands as Threatened or*
28 *Endangered under the Endangered Species Act*. Flagstaff, Arizona: Center for Biological
29 Diversity. July 7.
- 30 ———. 2010c. *Petition to List Two Talus Snail Species from the Sky Islands of Arizona as*
31 *Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act*. Flagstaff, Arizona: Center for
32 Biological Diversity. June 24.
- 33 Center for Science in Public Participation. 2010. A Literature Review of Effects of Ammonia on Fish.
34 Available at:
35 http://www.nature.org/cs/groups/webcontent/@web/@alaska/documents/document/prd_0263
36 [08.pdf](http://www.nature.org/cs/groups/webcontent/@web/@alaska/documents/document/prd_0263). Accessed June 27, 2013.
- 37 Chambers, M., G. David, C. Ray, B. Leitner, and P. Pyle. 2011. Habitats and conservation of molt-
38 migrant birds in southeastern Arizona. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 56(2):204–211.
- 39 Chaston, K., and D. Doley. 2006. Mineral particulates and vegetation: Effects of coal dust,
40 overburden and flyash on light interception and leaf temperature. *Clean Air and*
41 *Environmental Quality* 40(1):40-44.
- 42 Clevenger, A.P., B. Chruszcz, and K.E. Gunson. 2003. Spatial patterns and factors influencing small
43 vertebrate fauna road-kill aggregations. *Biological Conservation* 109(2003):15–26.
- 44 Cole, F.R., and D.E. Wilson. 2006. *Leptoncyteris yerbabuena*. *Mammalian Species*(797):1-7.
- 45 Coleman, R.A. 2002. *The Wild Orchids of Arizona and New Mexico*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell
46 University Press.
- 47 Committee on Standard English and Scientific Names. 2012. Scientific and Standard English Names
48 of Amphibians and Reptiles of North America North of Mexico, With Comments Regarding
49 Confidence in our Understanding. Seventh Edition. Available at:
50 http://home.gwu.edu/~rpyron/publications/Crother_et_al_2012.pdf. Accessed May 13, 2012.
- 51 Corman, T. 2009. Lower Cienega Creek. Written communication to K. Kertell, SWCA Environmental
52 Consultants. June 15.

- 1 Corman, T.E., and C. Wise-Gervais (eds.). 2005. *Arizona Breeding Bird Atlas*. Albuquerque, New
2 Mexico: University of New Mexico Press.
- 3 Dark Sky Partners LLC. 2010. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operations at the*
4 *Rosemont Copper Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona*. Draft report. Prepared for
5 SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC. September.
- 6 Davis, R.P., and J.R. Callahan (eds.). n.d. [1977]. *An Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area*
7 *in Southern Arizona, Vol. I: The Present Environment*. Tucson, Arizona: University of
8 Arizona.
- 9 de Molenaar, J.G., M.E. Sanders, and D.A. Jonkers. 2006. Road lighting and grassland birds: Local
10 influence of road lighting on a Black-Tailed Godwit population. In *Ecological Consequences*
11 *of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 114-136. Washington
12 D.C.: Island Press.
- 13 Delaney, D.K., T.G. Grubb, P. Beier, L.L. Pater, and M.H. Reiser. 1999. Effects of Helicopter Noise
14 on Mexican Spotted Owls. Available at: [http://oak.ucc.nau.edu/pb1/vitae/Delaney_etal1999b-](http://oak.ucc.nau.edu/pb1/vitae/Delaney_etal1999b-Noise-MSO.pdf)
15 [Noise-MSO.pdf](http://oak.ucc.nau.edu/pb1/vitae/Delaney_etal1999b-Noise-MSO.pdf). Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 16 Doley, D., and L. Rossato. 2010. Mineral particulates and vegetation: Modelled effects of dust on
17 photosynthesis in plan canopies. *Air Quality and Climate Change* 44(2):22-27.
- 18 Durst, S.L., M.K. Sogge, S.D. Stump, H.A. Walker, B.E. Kus, and S.J. Sferra. 2008. *Southwestern*
19 *Willow Flycatcher Breeding Site and Territory Summary-2007*. U.S. Geological Survey
20 Open-File Report 2008-1303. Reston, Virginia.
- 21 eBird. 2013a. Abert's Towhee. Available at: [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/abetow?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/abetow?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5726983357277&env.minY=31.287859798744115&env.maxX=-109.8203789997902&env.maxY=32.15693313966438&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
22 [111.5726983357277&env.minY=31.287859798744115&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/abetow?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5726983357277&env.minY=31.287859798744115&env.maxX=-109.8203789997902&env.maxY=32.15693313966438&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
23 [109.8203789997902&env.maxY=32.15693313966438&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/abetow?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5726983357277&env.minY=31.287859798744115&env.maxX=-109.8203789997902&env.maxY=32.15693313966438&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
24 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/abetow?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5726983357277&env.minY=31.287859798744115&env.maxX=-109.8203789997902&env.maxY=32.15693313966438&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
- 25 ———. 2013b. Bird Observations: 1/1 - 12/30, 1900-2013. Available at:
26 [http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=01&eMonth=12&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=90&continue.y=14)
27 [AZ_903&parentState=US-](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=01&eMonth=12&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=90&continue.y=14)
28 [AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=01&eMonth=12&bYear=1900&eYear](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=01&eMonth=12&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=90&continue.y=14)
29 [=2013&continue.x=90&continue.y=14](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=01&eMonth=12&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=90&continue.y=14). Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 30 ———. 2013c. Bird Observations: 12/1 - 2/28, 1900-2013. Available at:
31 [http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=12&eMonth=02&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=37&continue.y=6)
32 [AZ_903&parentState=US-](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=12&eMonth=02&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=37&continue.y=6)
33 [AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=12&eMonth=02&bYear=1900&eYear](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=12&eMonth=02&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=37&continue.y=6)
34 [=2013&continue.x=37&continue.y=6](http://ebird.org/ebird/GuideMe?src=changeDate&getLocations=ibas&ibas=US-AZ_903&parentState=US-AZ&reportType=location&monthRadio=on&bMonth=12&eMonth=02&bYear=1900&eYear=2013&continue.x=37&continue.y=6). Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 35 ———. 2013d. Broad-billed Hummingbird. Available at:
36 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/brbhum?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/brbhum?neg=true&env.minX=-111.59192440994644&env.minY=31.213895799456285&env.maxX=-110.1005303669777&env.maxY=32.083658708817396&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
37 [111.59192440994644&env.minY=31.213895799456285&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/brbhum?neg=true&env.minX=-111.59192440994644&env.minY=31.213895799456285&env.maxX=-110.1005303669777&env.maxY=32.083658708817396&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
38 [110.1005303669777&env.maxY=32.083658708817396&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/brbhum?neg=true&env.minX=-111.59192440994644&env.minY=31.213895799456285&env.maxX=-110.1005303669777&env.maxY=32.083658708817396&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
39 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/brbhum?neg=true&env.minX=-111.59192440994644&env.minY=31.213895799456285&env.maxX=-110.1005303669777&env.maxY=32.083658708817396&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
- 40 ———. 2013e. Common Black-Hawk. Available at:
41 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/comblh1?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/comblh1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.297247905483196&env.maxX=-109.85745785721207&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
42 [111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.297247905483196&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/comblh1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.297247905483196&env.maxX=-109.85745785721207&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
43 [109.85745785721207&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/comblh1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.297247905483196&env.maxX=-109.85745785721207&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
44 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/comblh1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.297247905483196&env.maxX=-109.85745785721207&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
- 45 ———. 2013f. Grasshopper Sparrow. Available at:
46 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/graspa?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/graspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5177666951027&env.minY=31.339482811775333&env.maxX=-109.7654473591652&env.maxY=32.20807402362437&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
47 [111.5177666951027&env.minY=31.339482811775333&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/graspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5177666951027&env.minY=31.339482811775333&env.maxX=-109.7654473591652&env.maxY=32.20807402362437&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
48 [109.7654473591652&env.maxY=32.20807402362437&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/graspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5177666951027&env.minY=31.339482811775333&env.maxX=-109.7654473591652&env.maxY=32.20807402362437&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
49 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/graspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.5177666951027&env.minY=31.339482811775333&env.maxX=-109.7654473591652&env.maxY=32.20807402362437&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
- 50 ———. 2013g. Gray Hawk. Available at: [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/gryhaw2?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/gryhaw2?neg=true&env.minX=-111.10168211441555&env.minY=31.633757975901105&env.maxX=-)
51 [111.10168211441555&env.minY=31.633757975901105&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/gryhaw2?neg=true&env.minX=-111.10168211441555&env.minY=31.633757975901105&env.maxX=-)

- 1 110.22277586441555&env.maxY=32.003086157805086&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-
2 12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013. Accessed June 10, 2013.
3 ———. 2013h. Northern Beardless-Tyrannulet. Available at:
4 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/nobtyr?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/nobtyr?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
5 [111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/nobtyr?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
6 [109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/nobtyr?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
7 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/nobtyr?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
8 ———. 2013i. Roufous-winged Sparrow. Available at:
9 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/ruwspa?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/ruwspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
10 [111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/ruwspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
11 [109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/ruwspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
12 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/ruwspa?neg=true&env.minX=-111.61939023025894&env.minY=31.443815520821832&env.maxX=-109.86707089432144&env.maxY=32.31143020340431&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
13 ———. 2013j. Swainson's Hawk. Available at:
14 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/swahaw?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/swahaw?neg=true&env.minX=-111.16792080887222&env.minY=31.671711528461053&env.maxX=-110.28901455887222&env.maxY=32.01818542558111&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
15 [111.16792080887222&env.minY=31.671711528461053&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/swahaw?neg=true&env.minX=-111.16792080887222&env.minY=31.671711528461053&env.maxX=-110.28901455887222&env.maxY=32.01818542558111&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
16 [110.28901455887222&env.maxY=32.01818542558111&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/swahaw?neg=true&env.minX=-111.16792080887222&env.minY=31.671711528461053&env.maxX=-110.28901455887222&env.maxY=32.01818542558111&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
17 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/swahaw?neg=true&env.minX=-111.16792080887222&env.minY=31.671711528461053&env.maxX=-110.28901455887222&env.maxY=32.01818542558111&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
18 ———. 2013k. Varied Bunting. Available at:
19 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/varbun?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/varbun?neg=true&env.minX=-111.74023983963394&env.minY=31.29724790548322&env.maxX=-110.2488457966652&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
20 [111.74023983963394&env.minY=31.29724790548322&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/varbun?neg=true&env.minX=-111.74023983963394&env.minY=31.29724790548322&env.maxX=-110.2488457966652&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
21 [110.2488457966652&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/varbun?neg=true&env.minX=-111.74023983963394&env.minY=31.29724790548322&env.maxX=-110.2488457966652&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
22 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/varbun?neg=true&env.minX=-111.74023983963394&env.minY=31.29724790548322&env.maxX=-110.2488457966652&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
23 ———. 2013l. Whiskered Screech-Owl. Available at:
24 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/whsowl1?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/whsowl1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.7759454060402&env.minY=31.355902380672002&env.maxX=-110.0236260701027&env.maxY=32.22434009748931&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
25 [111.7759454060402&env.minY=31.355902380672002&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/whsowl1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.7759454060402&env.minY=31.355902380672002&env.maxX=-110.0236260701027&env.maxY=32.22434009748931&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
26 [110.0236260701027&env.maxY=32.22434009748931&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/whsowl1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.7759454060402&env.minY=31.355902380672002&env.maxX=-110.0236260701027&env.maxY=32.22434009748931&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
27 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/whsowl1?neg=true&env.minX=-111.7759454060402&env.minY=31.355902380672002&env.maxX=-110.0236260701027&env.maxY=32.22434009748931&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
28 ———. 2013m. Yellow-billed Cuckoo. Available at:
29 [http://ebird.org/ebird/map/yebcuc?neg=true&env.minX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/yebcuc?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.361765817717213&env.maxX=-111.0178887654152&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
30 [111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.361765817717213&env.maxX=-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/yebcuc?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.361765817717213&env.maxX=-111.0178887654152&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
31 [111.0178887654152&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/yebcuc?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.361765817717213&env.maxX=-111.0178887654152&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013)
32 [12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013](http://ebird.org/ebird/map/yebcuc?neg=true&env.minX=-111.60977719314957&env.minY=31.361765817717213&env.maxX=-111.0178887654152&env.maxY=32.16623361866002&zh=true&gp=false&mr=1-12&bmo=1&emo=12&yr=1900-2013&byr=1900&eyr=2013). Accessed April 25, 2013.
33 Ehret, S., and J. Simms. n.d. [2009]. *Gila chub Monitoring in Cienega Creek in 2005, 2007, and 2008*
34 *with notes on Gila Topminnow, Longfin Dace, Sonoran Mud Turtle, and Huachuca Water*
35 *Umbel*. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona Game and Fish Department and Bureau of Land
36 Management.
37 Eigenbrod, F., S.J. Hecnar, and L. Fahrig. 2009. Quantifying the road-effect zone: Threshold effects
38 of a motorway on anuran populations in Ontario, Canada. *Ecology and Society* 14(1):1–18.
39 Eisenbeis, G. 2006. Artificial night lighting and insects: Attraction of insects to streetlamps in a rural
40 setting in Germany. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C.
41 Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 281-304. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
42 Ellis, L.A., D.M. Weddle, S.D. Stump, H.C. English, and A.E. Graber. 2008. *Southwestern Willow*
43 *Flycatcher Final Survey and Nest Monitoring Report*. Research Technical Guidance Bulletin
44 No. 10. Phoenix, Arizona: Research Branch, Wildlife Management Division, Arizona Game
45 and Fish Department. February.
46 Enature. 2007. Fulvous Harvest Mouse: *Reithrodontomys fulvescens*. Available at:
47 <http://www.enature.com/fieldguidesidetail.asp?recnum=MA0398>. Accessed July 26, 2010.
48 English, H.C., A.E. Graber, S.D. Stump, H.E. Telle, and L.A. Ellis. 2006. *Southwestern Willow*
49 *Flycatcher 2005 Survey and Nest Monitoring Report*. Technical Report No. 248. Phoenix:
50 Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program, Arizona Game and Fish Department. March.
51 Eshelman, B.D., and G.N. Cameron. 1987. Baiomys taylori. *Mammalian Species*(285):1-7.

- 1 Fahrig, L., and T. Rytwinski. 2009. Effects of roads on animal abundance: An empirical review and
2 synthesis. *Ecology and Society* 14(1):1–20.
- 3 Farmer, A.M. 1993. The effects of dust on vegetation—a review. *Environmental Pollution* 79:63–75.
- 4 Fehmi, J.S. 2007. *Final Report for Phase I*. Prepared for August Resource Corporation. Tucson,
5 Arizona: School of Natural Resources, University of Arizona. July 6.
- 6 Fehmi, J.S., T.M. Kong, and L. Wood. 2008. *Phase II—Final Project Report*. Prepared for August
7 Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: School of Natural Resources, University of Arizona.
8 December 17.
- 9 Fenton, M.B., and G.K. Morris. 1976. Opportunistic feeding by desert bats (*Myotis* spp.). *Canadian*
10 *Journal of Zoology* 54:526–530.
- 11 Forman, R.T.T., and L.E. Alexander. 1998. Roads and Their Major Ecological Effects. *Annual Review*
12 *of Ecology and Systematics* 29:207–231.
- 13 Francis, C.D., N.J. Kleist, C.P. Ortega, and A. Cruz. 2012. Noise pollution alters ecological services:
14 Enhanced pollination and disrupted seed dispersal. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
15 *Biological Sciences* 279(1739):2727–2735
- 16 Frank, K.D. 2006. Effects of artificial night lighting on moths. In *Ecological Consequences of*
17 *Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 305–344. Washington, D.C.:
18 Island Press.
- 19 Ganey, J.L., and R.P. Balda. 1989. Distribution and habitat use of Mexican spotted owls in Arizona.
20 *The Condor* 91:355–361.
- 21 ———. 1994. Habitat selection by Mexican spotted owls in northern Arizona. *The Auk* 111(1):162–
22 169.
- 23 Gardner, E. 2011. Email communication regarding possible sighting of a jaguar in the Santa Rita
24 Mountains. Email between Larry Jones, Coronado National Forest and Eric Gardner,
25 Nongame Branch Chief, Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 26 Gauthreaux Jr., S.A., and C.G. Belser. 2006. Effects of artificial night lighting on migrating birds. In
27 *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp.
28 67–93. Washington D.C.: Island Press.
- 29 Gerow, K., N.C. Kline, D.E. Swann, and M. Pokorny. 2010. Estimating annual vertebrate mortality
30 on roads at Saguaro National Park, Arizona. *Human–Wildlife Interactions* 4(2):283–292.
- 31 Glinski, R.L. 1998. Gray Hawk: *Buteo nitidus*. In *The Raptors of Arizona*, edited by R.L. Glinksi.
32 Tucson, Arizona: The University of Arizona Press.
- 33 Goodquarry. 2011. Dust impacts: Ecology and agriculture. Available at:
34 <http://www.goodquarry.com/article.aspx?id=56&navid=2>. Accessed May 3, 2011.
- 35 Gordon, S.D., and G.W. Uetz. 2012. Environmental interference: impact of acoustic noise on seismic
36 communication and mating success. *Behavioral Ecology*:707–714.
- 37 Gorenzel, W.P., and T.P. Salmon. 1995. Characteristics of American crow urban roosts in California.
38 *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 59(4):638–645.
- 39 Graber, A.E., D.M. Weddle, H.C. English, S.D. Stump, H.E. Telle, and L.A. Ellis. 2007. *Southwestern*
40 *Willow Flycatcher 2006 Survey and Nest Monitoring Report*. Technical Report No. 249.
41 Phoenix: Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program, Arizona Game and Fish Department.
42 March.
- 43 Greaver, T.L., T.J. Sullivan, J.D. Herrick, M.C. Barber, J.S. Baron, B.J. Cosby, M.E. Deerhake, R.L.
44 Dennis, J.-J.B. Dubois, C.L. Goodale, A.T. Herlihy, G.B. Lawrence, L. Liu, J.A. Lynch, and
45 K.J. Novak. 2012. Ecological effects of nitrogen and sulfur air pollution in the US: What do
46 we know? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 10(7):365–372.
- 47 Grigione, M.M., and R. Mrykalo. 2004. Effects of artificial night lighting on endangered ocelots
48 (*Leopardus paradalis*) and nocturnal prey along the United States-Mexico border: A literature
49 review and hypotheses of potential impacts. *Urban Ecosystems* 7:65–77.
- 50 Grubb, T.G., and R.M. King. 1991. Assessing human disturbance of breeding bald eagles with
51 classification tree models. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 55(3):500–511.

- 1 Gutiérrez-García, D., M. Gómez-Ramírez, C. Gutiérrez-González, and C.A. López-González. 2012.
 2 Mammalian community comparisons related to cattle presence. Paper presented at the
 3 Biodiversity and Management of the Madrean Archipelago III and 7th Conference on
 4 Research and Resource Management in the Southwestern Deserts: Merging Science and
 5 Management in a Rapidly Changing World, May 1–5, Tucson, Arizona.
- 6 Hale, S.F., P.C. Rosen, J.L. Jarchow, and G.A. Bradley. 2005. Effects of the Chytrid Fungus on the
 7 Tarahumara Frog (*Rana tarahumarae*) in Arizona and Sonora, Mexico. Available at:
 8 http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_p036/rmrs_p036_407_411.pdf. Accessed June 5, 2012.
- 9 Harmsen, B.J., R.J. Foster, S.C. Silver, L.E.T. Ostro, and C.P. Doncaster. 2011. Jaguar and puma
 10 activity patterns in relation to their main prey. *Mammalian Biology* 76:320–324.
- 11 Hatten, J.R., A. Averill-Murray, and W.E. Van Pelt. 2003. *Characterizing and Mapping Potential*
 12 *Jaguar Habitat in Arizona*. Technical Report No. 203. Phoenix, Arizona: Nongame and
 13 Endangered Wildlife Program, Arizona Game and Fish Department. January.
- 14 ———. 2005. A spatial model of potential jaguar habitat in Arizona. *Journal of Wildlife Management*
 15 69(3):1024-1033.
- 16 Havaux, M. 1992. Stress tolerance of Photosystem II in vivo: Antagonistic effects of water, heat, and
 17 photoinhibition stresses. *Plant Physiology* 100:424–432.
- 18 Herrera-Montes, M.I., and T.M. Aide. 2011. Impacts of traffic noise on anuran and bird communities.
 19 *Urban Ecosystems* 14(3):415–427.
- 20 Hill, P.S.M. 2008. *Vibrational Communication in Animals*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard
 21 University Press.
- 22 ———. 2009. How do animals use substrate-borne vibrations as an information source?
 23 *Naturwissenschaften* 96:1355-1371.
- 24 Hinman, K.E., and T.K. Snow (eds.). 2003. *Arizona Bat Conservation Strategic Plan*. Technical
 25 Report 213. Phoenix, Arizona: Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program, Arizona Game
 26 and Fish Department. August.
- 27 Hoffman, J.E., L.H. Gilbertson, and R.S. Fritz. 2012. Synonymy of *Sonorella rosemontensis* Pilsbry
 28 1939 with *Sonorella walkeri walkeri* Pilsbry and Ferriss, 1915 (Pulmonata:
 29 Helminthoglyptidae) from the Santa Rita Mountains, Arizona, U.S.A. *American*
 30 *Malacological Bulletin* 30(2):1–6.
- 31 Hoffmeister, D.F. 1986. *Mammals of Arizona*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press and the Arizona
 32 Game and Fish Department.
- 33 Hudson, W.E. (ed.). 1991. *Landscape Linkages and Biodiversity*. Washington D.C.: Island Press.
- 34 Hughes, K. 2011. Lesser long-nosed bat information for the Helvetia area. Email communication
 35 between Keith Hughes, Bureau of Land Management, Tucson Office, and Geoff Soroka,
 36 Biologist/Project Manager, SWCA Environmental Consultants. March 29.
- 37 Institute for Bird Populations. 2006. MAPS breeding status information. Available at:
 38 <http://www.birdpop.org/nbii2006/status/statusresults.asp?strStation=12334>. Accessed May
 39 27, 2011.
- 40 Jaeger, J.A.G., J. Bowman, J. Brennan, L. Fahrig, D. Bert, J. Bouchard, N. Charbonneau, K. Frank, B.
 41 Gruber, and K. Tluk von Toschanowitz. 2005. Predicting when animal populations are at risk
 42 from roads: An interactive model of road avoidance behavior. *Ecological Modelling*
 43 185(2005):329–348.
- 44 Jaguar Recovery Team, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. Recovery Outline for the Jaguar
 45 (*Panthera onca*). Report dated April 2012. Available at:
 46 [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/Jaguar/049777%20-](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/Jaguar/049777%20-%20Jaguar%20Recovery%20Outline.pdf)
 47 [%20Jaguar%20Recovery%20Outline.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/Jaguar/049777%20-%20Jaguar%20Recovery%20Outline.pdf). Accessed June 2, 2012.
- 48 Jenkins, P. 2010. Plant species. Email communication between Phil Jenkins, and L. Jones, U.S. Forest
 49 Service. July 7.
- 50 Jones, L. 2012. Black Hawk in Box Canyon. Email communication between Larry Jones, Coronado
 51 National Forest, U.S. Forest Service, and Angela Barclay, Senior Natural Resources
 52 Specialist, SWCA Environmental Consultants Inc.

- 1 ———. 2013. Green ratsnake common in Box Canyon. Email communication between Larry Jones,
2 Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species Program Assistant (Acting), Southwest
3 Region, U.S. Forest Service, and Angela Barclay, Senior Natural Resources Specialist,
4 SWCA Environmental Consultants Inc.
- 5 Kesner, B.R., and P.C. Marsh. 2010. *Central Arizona Project Fish Monitoring: Final Report. Analysis*
6 *of Fish Population Monitoring Data for Selected Waters of the Gila River Basin, Arizona, for*
7 *the Five Year Period 2005-2009*. Contract No. R09PD32013. Submitted to U.S. Bureau of
8 Reclamation. Tempe, Arizona: Marsh and Associates, LLC.
- 9 Lao, Y., Y.-J. Wu, J. Corey, and Y. Wang. 2011. Modeling animal-vehicle collisions using diagonal
10 inflated bivariate Poisson regression. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 43(2011):220–227.
- 11 Lawson, H. 2012. *Rosemont Reclamation Treatments*. Memorandum to file. Document No. 069/12.
12 Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. July 18.
- 13 Lazaroff, D.W., P.C. Rosen, and C.H. Lowe. 2006. *Amphibians, Reptiles, and Their Habitats at*
14 *Sabino Canyon*. The Southwest Center Series. Tucson, Arizona: University of Arizona Press.
- 15 Lenart, M. 2007. *Global Warming in the Southwest: Projections, Observations and Impacts*. Tucson,
16 Arizona: The Climate Assessment Project for the Southwest (CLIMAS) Institute for the
17 Study of Planet Earth, the University of Arizona. April.
- 18 Lloyd, J.E. 2006. Stray light, fireflies, and fireflyers. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night*
19 *Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 345-364. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- 20 Longcore, T., and C. Rich. 2004. Ecological light pollution. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
21 *Environment* 2(4):191–198.
- 22 ———. 2006. Synthesis. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich
23 and T. Longcore, pp. 413-430. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- 24 Lowe, C.H., and T.B. Johnson. n.d. [1977]. Fishes, amphibians, and reptiles of the Rosemont site. In
25 *An Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Vol. 1: The Present*
26 *Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan, pp. 116–166.
- 27 Madrean Archipelago Biodiversity Assessment. 2013. Specimens and Observations Records.
28 Available at:
29 http://www.madrean.org/maba/symbfauna/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Senticolis+triaspis&country=&state=&county=&local=&upperlat=&upperlat_NS=N&bottomlat=&bottomlat_NS=N&leftlong=&leftlong_EW=W&rightlong=&rightlong_EW=W&pointlat=&pointlat_NS=N&pointlong=&pointlong_EW=W&radius=temp=&radiusunits=mi&radius=&collector=&collnum=&eventdate=&reset=1&display1.x=28&display1.y=31. Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 34 Marsh, P.C., and B.R. Kesner. 2010. *Central Arizona Project Fish Monitoring Final Annual Report:*
35 *Summary of Sample Year 2009 Fish Surveys in Behalf of a Long-Term Monitoring Plan for*
36 *Fish Populations in Selected Waters of the Gila River Basin, Arizona*. Contract Number
37 R09PD32013. Submitted to Bureau of Reclamation. Tempe, Arizona: Marsh and Associates,
38 LLC. July 26.
- 39 ———. 2011. *Central Arizona Project Fish Monitoring Final Annual Report: Summary of Sample*
40 *Year 2010 Fish Surveys in Behalf of a Long-Term Monitoring Plan for Fish Populations in*
41 *Selected Water of the Gila River Basin, Arizona*. Contract No. R09PD32013. Submitted to
42 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Tempe, Arizona: Marsh and Associates, LLC. July 12.
- 43 McCain, E.B., and J.L. Childs. 2008. Evidence of resident jaguars (*Panthera onca*) in the
44 southwestern United States and the implications for conservation. *Journal of Mammalogy*
45 89(1):1–10.
- 46 McLaughlin, S., and W. Van Asdall. n.d. [1977]. Flora and vegetation of the Rosemont area. In *An*
47 *Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Vol. 1: The Present*
48 *Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan, pp. 64–98. Tucson: University of
49 Arizona.
- 50 McLaughlin, S.P., and J.E. Bowers. 1990. A floristic analysis and checklist for the northern Santa Rita
51 mountains, Pima Co., Arizona. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 35(1):61-75.

- 1 Miller, W.B. n.d. [1977]. Invertebrates (other than insects) of the Rosemont area. In *An*
2 *Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Vol. 1: The Present*
3 *Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan.
- 4 Monrad, C.K., J. Benya, and D.L. Crawford. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution*
5 *Mitigation Recommendation Report*. Revision 1. Monrad Engineering Inc. June 18.
- 6 Montevecchi, W.A. 2006. Influences of artificial light on marine birds. In *Ecological Consequences*
7 *of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 94-113. Washington D.C.:
8 Island Press.
- 9 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
10 *Simulation of Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables*.
11 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August
12 30.
- 13 Moore, M.V., S.J. Kohler, and M.S. Cheers. 2006. Artificial light at night in freshwater habitats and
14 its potential ecological effects. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*,
15 edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 365-384. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- 16 Murphy, R.W., K.H. Berry, T. Edwards, A.E. Leviton, A. Lathrop, and J.D. Riedle. 2011. The dazed
17 and confused identity of Agassiz's land tortoise, *Gopherus agassizii* (Testudines,
18 Testudinidae) with the description of a new species, and its consequences for conservation.
19 *ZooKeys* 113:39-71.
- 20 National Audubon Society. 2010. Arizona's Important Bird Areas Program. Available at:
21 <http://iba.audubon.org/iba/viewState.do?state=US-AZ>. Accessed July 22, 2010.
- 22 ———. 2012. Audubon WatchList: Abert's towhee (*Pipilo aberti*). Available at:
23 <http://audubon2.org/watchlist/viewSpecies.jsp?id=1>. Accessed July 28, 2012.
- 24 NatureServe. 2010. *Agosia chrysogaster* - Girard, 1856 - Longfin Dace. Available at:
25 http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/servlet/NatureServe?sourceTemplate=tabular_report.wmt&loadTemplate=species_RptComprehensive.wmt&selectedReport=RptComprehensive.wmt&summaryView=tabular_report.wmt&elKey=104658&paging=home&save=true&startIndex=1&nextStartIndex=1&reset=false&offPageSelectedElKey=104658&offPageSelectedElType=species&offPageYesNo=true&post_processes=&radiobutton=radiobutton&selectedIndexes=104658&selectedIndexes=791508&selectedIndexes=791510. Accessed August 29, 2011.
- 31 ———. 2011a. *Amoreuxia gonzalezii* - Santa Rita Yellowshow. Available at:
32 http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/servlet/NatureServe?sourceTemplate=tabular_report....
33 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 34 ———. 2011b. *Gopherus agassizii* pop. 2 - Desert Tortoise, Sonoran Population. Available at:
35 http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/servlet/NatureServe?sourceTemplate=tabular_report....
36 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 37 ———. 2011c. *Senticolis triaspis* - Green Ratsnake. Available at:
38 http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/servlet/NatureServe?sourceTemplate=tabular_report....
39 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 40 ———. 2013. *Peucaea carpalis* - Rufous-winged Sparrow. Available at:
41 http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/servlet/NatureServe?sourceTemplate=tabular_report.wmt&loadTemplate=species_RptComprehensive.wmt&selectedReport=RptComprehensive.wmt&summaryView=tabular_report.wmt&elKey=SYN.115136&paging=home&save=true&startIndex=1&nextStartIndex=1&reset=false&offPageSelectedElKey=SYN.115136&offPageSelectedElType=species_synonymn&offPageYesNo=true&post_processes=&radiobutton=radiobutton&selectedIndexes=SYN.115136. Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 47 NoiseQuest. n.d. [2012]. What Does Noise Affect? Available at:
48 <http://www.noisequest.psu.edu/NoiseAffect.Wildlife.html>. Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 49 Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center. 2006. Forest and Rangeland Birds of the United States:
50 Natural History and Habitat Use. Rufous-winged Sparrow - *Aimophila carpalis*. Available at:
51 <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/birds/forest/species/aimocarp.htm>. Accessed July 26,
52 2010.

- 1 Orłowski, G., and L. Nowak. 2006. Factors influencing mammal roadkills in the agricultural
2 landscape of south-western Poland. *Polish Journal of Ecology* 54(283–294).
- 3 Pardo, L.H., M.E. Fenn, C.L. Goodale, L.H. Geiser, C.T. Driscoll, E.B. Allen, J.S. Baron, R.
4 Bobbink, W.D. Bowman, C.M. Clark, B. Emmett, F.S. Gilliam, T.L. Greaver, S.J. Hall, E.A.
5 Lilleskov, L. Liu, J.A. Lynch, K.J. Nadelhoffer, S.S. Perakis, M.J. Robin-Abbott, J.L.
6 Stoddard, K.C. Weathers, and R.L. Dennis. 2011. Effects of nitrogen deposition and empirical
7 nitrogen critical loads for ecoregions of the United States. *Ecological Applications*
8 21(8):3049-3082.
- 9 Parris, K.M., and A. Schneider. 2009. Impacts of traffic noise and traffic volume on birds of roadside
10 habitats. *Ecology and Society* 14(1):1–23.
- 11 Partners in Flight. 2006. Map of the bird conservation regions of the United States: Mexican
12 Highlands. Available at: <http://www.partnersinflight.org/bcps/pifplans.htm>. Accessed July 1,
13 2010.
- 14 Pater, L.L., T.G. Grubb, and D.K. Delaney. 2009. Recommendations for improved assessment of
15 noise impacts on wildlife. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73(5):788-795.
- 16 Patricelli, G.L., and J.L. Blickley. 2006. Avian communication in urban noise: Causes and
17 consequences of vocal adjustment. *The Auk* 123(3):639–649.
- 18 Perry, G., and R.N. Fisher. 2006. Night lights and reptiles: Observed and potential effects. In
19 *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp.
20 169-191. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- 21 Peterson, M.A. 1982. *The Effects of Air Pollution and Acid Rain on Fish, Wildlife, and Their*
22 *Habitats: Urban Ecosystems*. Air Pollution and Acid Rain Report 10. FWS/OBS-80/40.10.
23 FWS Contract No. 14-16-0009-80-085. Prepared as part of the Federal Interagency Energy
24 Environment Research and Development Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
25 for the Eastern Energy and Land Use Team, Office of Biological Services, U.S. Fish and
26 Wildlife Service. Rockville, Maryland: Dynamac Corporation. June.
- 27 Pima County. 2001. *Huachuca Water Umbel Report: Sonoran Desert Conservation and*
28 *Comprehensive Land Use Plan Summer 2001*. Tucson, Arizona.
- 29 ———. 2009. An Overview of the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan. Available at:
30 <http://www.pima.gov/cmo/sdcp/intro.html>. Accessed April 16, 2013.
- 31 ———. 2010. *Pima County Multi-species Conservation Plan*. Administrative draft. Submitted to the
32 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County. December 8.
- 33 ———. 2012a. *GIS Layer: Conservation Lands System*. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County Information
34 Technology Department.
- 35 ———. 2012b. Pima County Multi-species Conservation Plan. Public Draft. Available at:
36 http://www.pima.gov/cmo/sdcp/MSCP/MSCPdocs/MSCP_Public_Draft.pdf. Accessed May
37 9, 2013.
- 38 ———. 2012c. Priority Vulnerable Species: Abert's towhee (*Pipilo aberti*). Available at:
39 <http://www.pima.gov/cmo/sdcp/species/fsheets/vuln/at.html>. Accessed August 16, 2012.
- 40 ———. 2013. *GIS Layer: Riparian Habitat/IRA Underlying Classifications MapGuide*. Tucson,
41 Arizona: Pima County Information Technology Department.
- 42 Pima County Department of Transportation and Flood Control District. 2001. Regulated Riparian
43 Habitat Mitigation Standards and Implementation Guidelines. Supplement to Title 16
44 Chapter 16.54 of the Watercourse and Riparian Habitat Protection and Mitigation
45 Requirements. Available at: <http://rfcd.pima.gov/wrd/riparian/pdfs/mitigationstds.pdf>.
46 Accessed May 9, 2013.
- 47 Pima County Regional Flood Control District. 2011. *Regulated Riparian Habitat Mitigation*
48 *Standards and Implementation Guidelines*. Supplement to Title 16, Chapter 16.30, of the
49 Watercourse and Riparian Habitat Protection and Mitigation Requirements, Ordinance No.
50 2010 FC5. Tucson, Arizona. November.

- 1 Poff, B., K.A. Koestner, D.G. Neary, and V. Henderson. 2011. Threats to riparian ecosystems in
2 western North America: An analysis of existing literature. *Journal of the American Water*
3 *Resources Association* 47(6):1241–1254.
- 4 Pyle, P., W.A. Leitner, L. Lozano-Angulo, F. Avilez-Teran, H. Swanson, E. Gómez-Limón, and M.K.
5 Chambers. 2009. Temporal, spatial, and annual variation in the occurrence of molt-migrant
6 passerines in the Mexican monsoon region. *The Condor* 111(4):583–590.
- 7 Rabinowitz, A. 1999. Present status of jaguars (*Panthera onca*) in the southwestern United States.
8 *Southwestern Naturalist* 44(1):96–100.
- 9 Radke, W.R., and J.W. Malcom. 2005. Ecology and natural history of the green rat snake at Leslie
10 Canyon National Wildlife Refuge, Cochise County, Arizona *USDA Forest Service*
11 *Proceedings RMRS-P-36*:434-437.
- 12 ———. 2009. Notes on the ecology of green ratsnakes (*Senticolis triaspis*) in southeastern Arizona.
13 *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 4(1):9-13.
- 14 Rai, R., M. Rajput, M. Agrawal, and S.B. Agrawal. 2011. Gaseous air pollutants: A review on current
15 and future trends of emissions and impact on agriculture. *Journal of Scientific Research*
16 55:77–102.
- 17 Reinthal, P. 2009. Email communication between Peter Reinthal, Fish collection curator, University
18 of Arizona, and Ken Kertell, SWCA Environmental Consultants. June 23. Written
19 communication to Ken Kertell, SWCA Environmental Consultants. June 23.
- 20 Roller, P. 1996. *Pima Pineapple Cactus: 3 Tier Survey Methods*.
- 21 Rorabaugh, J. 2008. Lowland Leopard Frog (*Lithobates yavapaiensis*). Available at:
22 <http://www.reptilesfafaz.org/Turtle-Amphibs-Subpages/h-l-yavapaiensis.html>. Accessed April
23 11, 2011.
- 24 ———. 2010. Written communication between J. Rorabaugh, Biologist, U.S. Fish and Wildlife
25 Service, and K. Kertell, SWCA Environmental Consultants. February 2.
- 26 Rorabaugh, J.C., S.F. Hale, M.J. Sredl, and C. Ivanyi. 2005. Return of the Tarahumara Frog to
27 Arizona. Available at: http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_p036/rmrs_p036_345_348.pdf.
28 Accessed June 5, 2012.
- 29 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012. *Preliminary Invasive Species Management Plan*. Tucson,
30 Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. July.
- 31 Rosen, P.C. 2009. Canyon Spotted Whiptail: *Aspidocelis burti* (Taylor, 1938). In *Lizards of the*
32 *American Southwest*, edited by L.L.C. Jones and R.E. Lovich. Tucson, Arizona: Rio Nuevo
33 Publishers.
- 34 ———. 2010. *Annual Report to Bureau of Land Management for: 2010 CWP-UA Native Frog*
35 *Conservation, Las Cienegas National Conservation Area (LCNCA)*. Tucson, Arizona:
36 University of Arizona School of Natural Resources and the Environment. February 1.
- 37 Rosen, P.C., and D.J. Caldwell. 2004. *Aquatic and Riparian Herpetofauna of Las Cienegas National*
38 *Conservation Area, Empire-Cienega Ranch, Pima County, Arizona*. Prepared for Bureau of
39 Land Management, Tucson Office. September 1.
- 40 Roth, E.L. n.d. [1977]. Mammals of the Rosemont Region. In *An Environmental Inventory of the*
41 *Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Vol 1: The Present Environment*, edited by R. Davis and
42 J.R. Callahan, pp. 195-217. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- 43 Russell, S.M., G.S. Mills, and J.R. Silliman. n.d. [1977]. An inventory of the birds of the Rosemont
44 area. In *An Environmental Inventory of the Rosemont Area in Southern Arizona, Vol. 1: The*
45 *Present Environment*, edited by R. Davis and J.R. Callahan.
- 46 Rydell, J. 2006. Bats and their insect prey at streetlights. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial*
47 *Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 43-60. Washington, D.C.: Island
48 Press.
- 49 Salmon, M. 2006. Protecting sea turtles from artificial night lighting at Florida's oceanic beaches. In
50 *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp.
51 141-168. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

- 1 Schmalzel, R.J., and R. Archer. 2010. *Rosemont Mine Project: Talus Snails (Sonorella,*
2 *Helminthoglyptidae) and Talus Slopes of the North Ridge of the Santa Rita Mountains, Pima*
3 *County, Arizona.* Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
4 Resources, Inc. April 15.
- 5 Scott Jr., N., and R.D. Jennings. 1985. The tadpoles of five species of New Mexican Leopard frogs.
6 *Occasional Papers the Museum of Southwestern Biology* 3:1-21.
- 7 Seamans, M.E., and R.J. Gutierrez. 1995. Breeding habitat of the Mexican spotted owl in the
8 Tularosa Mountains, New Mexico. *The Condor* 97:944–952.
- 9 Sebesta, D.K. 2012. Yellow-billed Cuckoo. Email communication between Deborah Sebesta, Nogales
10 Ranger District Biologist, U.S. Forest Service and Angela Barclay, Senior Natural Resources
11 Specialist, SWCA Environmental Consultants Inc.
- 12 Servoss, J., B. Burger, and Y. Cage. 2007. *Trip Report: Mexican Gartersnake Survey/Collection*
13 *Effort, Las Cienegas National Conservation Area, September 6-8.*
- 14 Sharifi, M.R., A.C. Gibson, and P.W. Rundel. 1997. Surface dust impacts on gas exchange in Mojave
15 Desert shrubs. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 34(4):837–846.
- 16 Shepard, D.B., and F.T. Burbrink. 2008. Lineage diversification and historical demography of a sky
17 island salamander, *Plethodon ouachitae*, from the Interior Highlands. *Molecular Ecology*
18 17:5313-5335.
- 19 Siemers, B.M., and A. Schaub. 2011. Hunting at the highway: Traffic noise reduces foraging
20 efficiency in acoustic predators. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
21 278:1646–1652.
- 22 Simms, J., and J. Anderson. 2011. *Huachuca Water Umbel data.* Wet/dry surveys of Cienega Creek.
23 Tucson, Arizona: Bureau of Land Management. June.
- 24 Simms, J.R. 2009. Email communication between J. Simms, Fishery Biologist, Bureau of Land
25 Management, and K. Kertell, SWCA Environmental Consultants. June 10.
- 26 Sky Island Alliance, and Sonoran Joint Venture. 2011. News Release: New Natural Protected Area in
27 northern Mexico a haven for regional wildlife. Available at:
28 <http://www.skyislandalliance.org/misc/EI%20Arribabi%20Natural%20Protected%20Area.pdf>.
29 Accessed June 2, 2012.
- 30 Slabbekoorn, H., and E.A.P. Ripmeester. 2007. Birdsong and anthropogenic noise: Implications and
31 applications for conservation. *Molecular Ecology* 17(1):72–83.
- 32 Sogge, M.K., D. Ahlers, and S.J. Sferra. 2010. *A Natural History Summary and Survey Protocol for*
33 *the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher.* Chapter 10 of Section A, Biological Science, Book 2,
34 Collection of Environmental Data, Techniques and Methods 2A-10. U.S. Geological Survey,
35 Bureau of Reclamation, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 36 Sogge, M.K., R.M. Marshall, S.J. Sferra, and T.J. Tibbitts. 1997. *A Southwestern Willow Flycatcher*
37 *Natural History Summary and Survey Protocol.* Technical Report NPS/NAUCPRS/NRTR-
38 97/12 National Park Service. May.
- 39 Southwest Endangered Species Act Team. 2008. *Chiricahua leopard frog (Lithobates [Rana]*
40 *chiricahuensis): Considerations for making effects determinations and recommendations for*
41 *reducing and avoiding adverse effects.* Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife
42 Service New Mexico Field Ecological Services Field Office.
- 43 Southwest Environmental Information Network. 2011a. Arid throne flea bane (*Erigeron arisolius*
44 Nesom). Available at:
45 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Erigeron+arisolius&cou>.
46 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 47 ———. 2011b. Arizona manihot (*Manihot davisiae* Croizat). Available at:
48 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Manihot+davisiae&cou>.
49 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 50 ———. 2011c. Bartram stonecrop (*Graptopetalum bartramii* Rose). Available at:
51 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Graptopetalum+bartrami>.
52 Accessed May 28, 2011.

- 1 ———. 2011d. Needle-spined pineapple cactus (*Echinomastus erectocentrus* var. *erectocentrus*).
2 Available at:
3 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Echinomastus+erectocen>.
4 Accessed October 6, 2011.
- 5 ———. 2011e. Santa Rita yellowshow (*Amoreuxia gonzalezii*). Available at:
6 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Amoreuxia+gonzalezii&>.
7 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 8 ———. 2011f. Sonoran noseburn (*Tragia laciniata*). Available at:
9 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Tragia+laciniata&count>.
10 Accessed May 28, 2011.
- 11 ———. 2011g. Sycamore Canyon muhly. Available at:
12 <http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/individual/index.php?occid=732667&clid=0>.
13 Accessed August 31, 2011.
- 14 ———. 2013a. Box Canyon muhly (*Muhlenbergia dubioides*). Available at:
15 http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Muhlenbergia+dubioides&country=&state=&county=&local=&upperlat=&upperlat_NS=N&bottomlat=&bottomlat_NS=N&leftlong=&leftlong_EW=W&rightlong=&rightlong_EW=W&pointlat=&pointlat_NS=N&pointlong=&pointlong_EW=W&radiustemp=&radiusunits=mi&radius=&collector=&collnum=&eventdate1=&eventdate2=&catnum=&reset=1&display1.x=62&display1.y=39.
16 Accessed June 18, 2013.
- 17 ———. 2013b. Chiricahua Mountain Brookweed (*Samolus vagans*). Available at:
18 http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Samolus+vagans&country=&state=&county=&local=&upperlat=&upperlat_NS=N&bottomlat=&bottomlat_NS=N&leftlong=&leftlong_EW=W&rightlong=&rightlong_EW=W&pointlat=&pointlat_NS=N&pointlong=&pointlong_EW=W&radiustemp=&radiusunits=mi&radius=&collector=&collnum=&eventdate1=&eventdate2=&catnum=&reset=1&display1.x=52&display1.y=28. Accessed June
19 10, 2013.
- 20 ———. 2013c. Southwestern muhly (*Muhlenbergia palmeri*). Available at:
21 http://swbiodiversity.org/seinet/collections/list.php?type=1&taxa=Muhlenbergia+palmeri&country=&state=&county=&local=&upperlat=&upperlat_NS=N&bottomlat=&bottomlat_NS=N&leftlong=&leftlong_EW=W&rightlong=&rightlong_EW=W&pointlat=&pointlat_NS=N&pointlong=&pointlong_EW=W&radiustemp=&radiusunits=mi&radius=&collector=&collnum=&eventdate1=&eventdate2=&catnum=&reset=1&display1.x=43&display1.y=24.
22 Accessed June 18, 2013.
- 23 Sredl, M.J., J.M. Howland, J.E. Wallace, and L.S. Saylor. 1997. Status and distribution of Arizona's
24 native ranid frogs. In *Ranid Frog Conservation and Management*, edited by M.J. Sredl, pp.
25 45–101. Technical Report No. 121 Arizona Game and Fish Department, Nongame and
26 Endangered Wildlife Program.
- 27 Sredl, M.J., and L.S. Saylor. 1998. Conservation and management zones and the role of earthen cattle
28 tanks in conserving Arizona leopard frogs on large landscapes. In *Proceedings of Symposium
29 on Environmental, Economic, and Legal Issues Related to Rangeland Water Developments*,
30 pp. 211–225. Tempe, Arizona.
- 31 Stebbins, R.C. 2003. *A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians*. 3rd ed. Boston,
32 Massachusetts: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- 33 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2011a. *Draft Biological Evaluation, Rosemont Copper Project,
34 Santa Rita Mountains, Nogales Ranger District*. Prepared for U.S. Forest Service, Coronado
35 National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. July.
- 36 ———. 2011b. *Draft Biologists' Report on the Affected Environment and Identification of Species for
37 Disclosure of Effects, Rosemont Copper Mine Project, Pima County, Arizona*. Prepared for
38 U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
39 Consultants. July.

- 1 ———. 2011c. *Draft Management Indicator Species Report, Rosemont Copper Project, Coronado*
2 *National Forest, Pima County, Arizona*. Prepared for U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National
3 Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. July.
- 4 ———. 2011d. *Migratory Bird Analysis, Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Nogales Ranger District,*
5 *Coronado National Forest*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. July.
- 6 ———. 2012a. *Biological Assessment, Rosemont Copper Company Project, Santa Rita Mountains,*
7 *Nogales Ranger District*. Project No.: 11204. Prepared for U.S. Forest Service, Coronado
8 National Forest. Submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA
9 Environmental Consultants. June.
- 10 ———. 2012b. *Supplement to the Biological Assessment Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine Santa*
11 *Rita Mountains Coronado National Forest*. Project No.: 11204. Prepared for U.S. Forest
12 Service, Coronado National Forest. Submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Tucson,
13 Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. October.
- 14 Tetra Tech. 2007. *Reclamation and Closure Plan: Rosemont Copper*. Project No. 320614-400.
15 Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. July.
- 16 ———. 2008. *Background Ambient Noise Study*. Project No. 114-320776 (100-SFO-T22436).
17 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. October.
- 18 ———. 2009. *Supplemental Noise Study, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320794 (100-
19 SFO-T22436; 100-SFO-T23373). Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
20 Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 21 ———. 2010a. *Reclamation Concept Update: Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320832.
22 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. March.
- 23 ———. 2010b. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
24 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 25 The Arizona Wildlife Linkages Workgroup. 2006. Arizona's Wildlife Linkages Assessment. Available
26 at:
27 http://www.azdot.gov/inside_adot/OES/AZ_WildLife_Linkages/PDF/assessment/arizona_wildlife_linkages_assessment.pdf. Accessed May 30, 2013.
- 28
- 29 Thompson, J.R., P.W. Mueller, W. Flückiger, and A.J. Rutter. 1984. The effect of dust on
30 photosynthesis and its significance for roadside plants. *Environmental Pollution (Series A)*
31 34(1984):171–190.
- 32 Tucson Electric Power. 2011. Rosemont 138kV Transmission Line Project: Preferred Route and
33 Alternatives. Draft. Available at:
34 [http://www.tep.com/Company/News/Rosemont/docs/Map_of_Preferred_and_Alternative_Routes_\(May_2011\).pdf](http://www.tep.com/Company/News/Rosemont/docs/Map_of_Preferred_and_Alternative_Routes_(May_2011).pdf). Accessed August 24, 2011.
- 35
- 36 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. *Mercury Study Report to Congress: An Ecological*
37 *Assessment for Anthropogenic Mercury Emissions in the United States. Volume VI*. EPA-
38 452/R-97-008 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and
39 Standards and Office of Research and Development. December.
- 40 ———. 2004. *Draft Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Selenium - 2004*. EPA-822-D-04-001.
41 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Office of Science
42 and Technology. November.
- 43 ———. 2011. Ecological Toxicity Information. Available at:
44 <http://www.epa.gov/reg5sfun/ecology/toxprofiles.htm>. Accessed June 28, 2013.
- 45 ———. 2013. Lead in Air: Health. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/airquality/lead/health.html>.
46 Accessed January 14, 2013.
- 47 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1967. Native fish and wildlife: endangered species. *Federal Register*
48 32(48):4001.
- 49 ———. 1982. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; endangered status for U.S. population
50 of the ocelot. *Federal Register* 47(140):31670–31672.

- 1 ———. 1984. Sonoran Topminnow (Gila and Yaqui) Recovery Plan. Available at:
2 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/GilaTopminnow/USFWS>
3 [%201984.PDF](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/GilaTopminnow/USFWS). Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 4 ———. 1988. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Determination of endangered status for
5 two long-nosed bats. *Federal Register* 53(190):38456–33860.
- 6 ———. 1990a. *Listed Cats of Texas and Arizona Recovery Plan (with Emphasis on the Ocelot)*.
7 Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 2.
- 8 ———. 1990b. *Ocelot Recovery Plan (Leopardus pardalis)*. Draft First Revision. Albuquerque, New
9 Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Region. August 22.
- 10 ———. 1993a. Determination of endangered status for the plant PPC (*Coryphantha scheeri* var.
11 *robustispina*). *Federal Register* 58(183):49875–49880.
- 12 ———. 1993b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Final rule to list the Mexican Spotted
13 Owl as a threatened species. *Federal Register* 58(49):14248–14271.
- 14 ———. 1995a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Final rule determining endangered
15 status for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher. *Federal Register* 60(38):10694–10715.
- 16 ———. 1995b. Nongame birds of management concern. Available at:
17 <http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/NewReportsPublications/SpecialTopics/specon/NGBird>
18 [MgmtConcern.html](http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/NewReportsPublications/SpecialTopics/specon/NGBird). Accessed July 22, 2010.
- 19 ———. 1995c. *Recovery Plan for the Mexican Spotted Owl (Strix occidentalis lucida)*. Albuquerque,
20 New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. December.
- 21 ———. 1997a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of endangered status
22 for three wetland species found in southern Arizona and northern Sonora, Mexico *Federal*
23 *Register* 62(3):665–689.
- 24 ———. 1997b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Final determination of critical habitat
25 for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher. *Federal Register* 62(140):39129–39147.
- 26 ———. 1997c. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Final determination of critical habitat
27 for the Southwestern Willow Flycatcher; Correction. *Federal Register* 62(161):44228.
- 28 ———. 1997d. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final rule to extend endangered status
29 for the jaguar in the United States. *Federal Register* 62(140):39147–39157.
- 30 ———. 1997e. *Lesser Long-nosed Bat Recovery Plan*. Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and
31 Wildlife Service, Region 2.
- 32 ———. 1998. *Gila Topminnow, Poeciliopsis occidentalis occidentalis*. Revised recovery plan.
33 Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. December.
- 34 ———. 1999. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Designation of critical habitat for the
35 Huachuca Water Umbel, a plant. *Federal Register* 64(132):37441–37453.
- 36 ———. 2000. Jaguar (*Panthera onca*). Available at:
37 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Redbook/Jaguar%20RB.pdf>. Accessed
38 June 29, 2011.
- 39 ———. 2001a. Biological Opinion, Gila topminnow reestablishment in Empire Gulch. Tech memo.
40 AESO/SE 2-21-02-F-014. Prepared for the Bureau of Land Management Tucson Field Office
41 by David L. Harlow, Field Supervisor, Arizona Ecological Services Office, U.S. Fish and
42 Wildlife Service, Phoenix, Arizona. October 19.
- 43 ———. 2001b. Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*). Available at:
44 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Yellow.htm>. Accessed April 12, 2011.
- 45 ———. 2002a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; listing of the Chiricahua leopard frog
46 (*Rana chiricahuensis*); Final Rule. *Federal Register* 67(114):40790–40811.
- 47 ———. 2002b. *Final Recovery Plan: Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (Empidonax traillii Estimus)*.
48 Prepared by Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Recovery Team Technical Subgroup.
49 Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. August.
- 50 ———. 2004. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final designation of critical habitat for
51 the Mexican Spotted Owl; Final Rule. *Federal Register* 69(168):53182–53298.

- 1 ———. 2005a. *Cienega Creek Preserve Trip Report, 23 June 2005*. Provided to SWCA
 2 Environmental Consultants by Doug Duncan, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, on June 11,
 3 2009.
- 4 ———. 2005b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Designation of critical habitat for the
 5 Southwestern Willow Flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*); Final rule *Federal Register*
 6 70(201):60886–61009.
- 7 ———. 2005c. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Listing Gila Chub as endangered with
 8 critical habitat; Final rule. *Federal Register* 70(211):66664–66721.
- 9 ———. 2006. *Cienega Creek Natural Preserve Trip Report, 06-01-06*. Provided to SWCA
 10 Environmental Consultants by Doug Duncan, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, on June 11,
 11 2009.
- 12 ———. 2007a. 5-year Review: Summary and Evaluation. Species reviewed: Lesser Long-nosed
 13 Bat/*Leptonycteris curasoae yerbabuena*. Available at:
 14 [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/LLNB/LLNB_5yr_Final.](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/LLNB/LLNB_5yr_Final.pdf)
 15 pdf. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 16 ———. 2007b. *Chiricahua Leopard Frog (Rana chiricahuensis) Final Recovery Plan*. Albuquerque,
 17 New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Region.
- 18 ———. 2007c. Five-year review for Pima pineapple cactus (*Coryphantha scheeri* var. *robustispina*).
 19 Available at: <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/pima.htm>. Accessed September 12,
 20 2011.
- 21 ———. 2008a. Biological and Conference Opinion on the Malpai Borderlands Habitat Conservation
 22 Plan, Arizona and New Mexico (AESO/SE 22410-2006-F-0408). Available at:
 23 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Biological.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 24 ———. 2008b. Biological opinion for the proposed buffelgrass control on the Santa Rita
 25 Experimental Range (AESO/SE 22410-2008-F-0130). Available at:
 26 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Biological.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 27 ———. 2008c. Biological Opinion for the Proposed Changes to the Term Permit to Graze Livestock
 28 on the Little Green Valley Allotments. Letter report dated July 1, 2008. AESO/SE 22410-
 29 1999-F-0300-R2. Available at:
 30 http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Biol_Opin/990300_R2_LittleGreenVall
 31 [eyComplex.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Biol_Opin/990300_R2_LittleGreenVall). Accessed June 4, 2012.
- 32 ———. 2008d. Biological opinion on Secure Border Initiative (SBI)net Tucson West Tower Project,
 33 Ajo, Tucson, Casa Grande, Nogales, and Sonoita Stations Area of Operation, U.S. Border
 34 Patrol, Tucson Sector, Arizona (AESO/SE 22410-2008-F-0373). Available at:
 35 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Biological.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 36 ———. 2008e. Biological opinion on the Chitty Creek restoration project (AESO/SE 22410-04-F-
 37 0006-R001). Available at: <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Biological.htm>.
 38 Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 39 ———. 2008f. *Birds of Conservation Concern 2008*. Arlington, Virginia: U.S. Fish and Wildlife
 40 Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management.
- 41 ———. 2008g. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 12-month finding on a petition to list
 42 the northern Mexican gartersnake (*Thamnophis eques megalops*) as threatened or
 43 endangered with critical habitat; Proposed Rule. *Federal Register* 73(228):71788–71826.
- 44 ———. 2008h. Fish and Wildlife Service completes determination on jaguars. Available at:
 45 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Jaguar.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 46 ———. 2008i. Mexican Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis lucida*). Available at:
 47 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/MSO.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.
- 48 ———. 2008j. Reinitiated biological opinion on transportation and delivery of Central Arizona
 49 Project water to the Gila River Basin in Arizona and New Mexico and its potential to
 50 introduce and spread nonindigenous aquatic species (AESO/SE 02-21-90-F-119, 02-21-91-F-
 51 406, 22410-2007-F-0081). Available at:
 52 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Biological.htm>. Accessed June 30, 2011.

- 1 ———. 2010a. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Determination that designation of
2 critical habitat is prudent for the jaguar. *Federal Register* 75(8):1741-1744.
- 3 ———. 2010b. *Ocelot Recovery Plan (Leopardus pardalis): Draft First Revision*. Albuquerque, New
4 Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Region.
- 5 ———. 2011a. *Chiricahua Leopard Frog (Lithobates[=Rana] chiricahuaensis), 5-Year Review:
6 Summary and Evaluation*. Phoenix, Arizona: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Arizona
7 Ecological Services. January.
- 8 ———. 2011b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Designation of revised critical habitat
9 for Southwestern Willow Flycatcher; Proposed rule. *Federal Register* 76(157):50542-50629.
- 10 ———. 2011c. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Listing and designation of critical
11 habitat for the Chiricahua leopard frog; Proposed rule. *Federal Register* 76(50):14126-14207.
- 12 ———. 2012a. Beardless Chinch weed: *Pectis imberbis*. Available at:
13 [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Redbook/Beardless%20chinch%20wee
14 d%20RB.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Redbook/Beardless%20chinch%20weed%20RB.pdf). Accessed April 22, 2013.
- 15 ———. 2012b. Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Pima County Multi-Species Habitat
16 Conservation Plan; Pima County, AZ. *Federal Register* 77(236):73045-73048.
- 17 ———. 2012c. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 90-day finding on a petition to list
18 *Graptopetalum bartramii* (Bartram stonecrop) and *Pectis imberbis* (beardless Chinch weed)
19 as endangered or threatened and designate critical habitat. *Federal Register* 77(153):47352-
20 47356.
- 21 ———. 2012d. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; 90-day finding on a petition to list the
22 Sonoran Talussnail as endangered or threatened. *Federal Register* 77(142):4321-43222.
- 23 ———. 2012e. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Designation of critical habitat for
24 jaguar. *Federal Register* 77(161):50214-50242.
- 25 ———. 2012f. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; Listing and designation of critical
26 habitat for the Chiricahua leopard frog. *Federal Register* 77(54):16324-16424.
- 27 ———. 2012g. Mexican Spotted Owl Recovery Plan, First Revision (*Strix occidentalis lucida*).
28 Available at:
29 [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/MSO/2012MSO_Recover
30 y_Plan_First_Revision_Final.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/MSO/2012MSO_Recovery_Plan_First_Revision_Final.pdf). Accessed April 26, 2013.
- 31 ———. 2012h. Ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*). Available at:
32 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Ocelot.htm>. Accessed September 29, 2010.
- 33 ———. 2012i. Sonoran Talussnail: *Sonorella magdalenensis*. Available at:
34 [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Redbook/Sonoran%20talussnail%20R
35 B.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/Redbook/Sonoran%20talussnail%20RB.pdf). Accessed April 26, 2013.
- 36 ———. 2013a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 12-Month Finding on a Petition to
37 List the Rosemont Talussnail as Endangered or Threatened. *Federal Register* 78(60):18936-
38 18938.
- 39 ———. 2013b. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; designation of critical habitat for
40 southwestern willow flycatcher; Final rule. *Federal Register* 78(2):344-534.
- 41 ———. 2013c. Special Status Species List: Pima County. Available at:
42 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/CountyLists/Pima.pdf>. Accessed May
43 9, 2013.
- 44 ———. 2013d. Special Status Species List: Santa Cruz County. Available at:
45 <http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/CountyLists/SantaCruz.pdf>. Accessed
46 May 9, 2013.
- 47 ———. n.d. [2012]. The Effects of Noise on Wildlife. Available at:
48 <http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/docs/Noise.pdf>. Accessed May 10, 2013.
- 49 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, University of Arizona, and Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2012.
50 Jaguar and Ocelot Recently Photographed by Monitoring Cameras in Southern Arizona.
51 Available at: [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/Jaguar/fNR-
52 jaguar-pics_Dec_2012B.docx.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/Documents/SpeciesDocs/Jaguar/fNR-jaguar-pics_Dec_2012B.docx.pdf). Accessed May 16, 2013.

- 1 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
 2 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 3 ———. 1991. *FSM 2600 - Wildlife, Fish, and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management*. Amendment No.
 4 2600-91-5. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 5 ———. 2005. Chapter 2670 - Threatened, endangered and sensitive plants and animals. In *FSM 2600*
 6 *- Wildlife, Fish and Sensitive Plant Habitat Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest
 7 Service National Headquarters.
- 8 ———. 2007a. U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region Sensitive Animals. Available at:
 9 http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsbdev3_021328.pdf. Accessed May
 10 27, 2011.
- 11 ———. 2007b. U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region sensitive plants (9/21/07). Available at:
 12 http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsbdev3_021246.pdf. Accessed May
 13 27, 2011.
- 14 ———. 2008. *Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest*
 15 *Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to Promote the Conservation of Migratory*
 16 *Birds*. Forest Service Agreement No. 08-MU-1113-2400-264.
- 17 ———. 2009. *Coronado National Forest Ecological Sustainability Report*. Southwestern Region.
 18 February.
- 19 ———. 2011. *Coronado National Forest Management Indicator Species Population Status and*
 20 *Trends*. Revised May 2011. U.S. Forest Service Southwestern Region, Coronado National
 21 Forest.
- 22 U.S. Forest Service, and SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2013. *Supplement to the Biological*
 23 *Assessment Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine Santa Rita Mountains, Pima County, Arizona,*
 24 *Nogales Ranger District*. Submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Tucson, Arizona: U.S.
 25 Forest Service, Coronado National Forest and SWCA Environmental Consultants. February.
- 26 Unitt, P. 1987. *Empidonax traillii extimus*: An endangered subspecies. *Western Birds* 18(3):137–162.
- 27 Walker, D.A., and K.R. Everett. 1987. Road dust and its environmental impact on Alaskan taiga and
 28 tundra. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 19(4):479–489.
- 29 Walker, J.M., and J.E. Cordes. 2011. Taxonomic implications of color pattern and meristic variation
 30 in *Aspidoscelis burti burti*, a Mexican Whiptail lizard. *Herpetological Review* 42(1):33-39.
- 31 Water and Earth Technologies. 2012. *Davidson Canyon Conceptual Surface-Water Monitoring Plan*.
 32 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Fort Collins, Colorado: Water and Earth
 33 Technologies. March.
- 34 Weedman, D., A.L. Girmendonk, and K. Young. 1996. *Status Review of Gila Chub, Gila intermedia,*
 35 *in the United States and Mexico*. Technical Report No. 91. Nongame and Endangered
 36 Wildlife Program, Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 37 Weedman, D.A. 1998. *Gila Topminnow, Poeciliopsis occidentalis occidentalis, Revised Recovery*
 38 *Plan*. Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. December.
- 39 Weisenberger, M.E., P.R. Krausman, M.C. Wallace, D.W. De Young, and O.E. Maughan. 1996.
 40 Effects of simulated jet aircraft noise on heart rate and behavior of desert ungulates. *The*
 41 *Journal of Wildlife Management* 60(1):52–61.
- 42 Werner, C., O. Correia, and W. Beyschlag. 2002. Characteristic patterns of chronic and dynamic
 43 photoinhibition of different functional groups in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *Functional*
 44 *Plant Biology* 29:999–1011.
- 45 WestLand Resources Inc. 2009a. *2008 Ranid Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity*. Project
 46 No. 1049.10.350.350B. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
 47 WestLand Resources, Inc. April 24.
- 48 ———. 2009b. *2009 Ranid Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity*. Project No. 1049.14
 49 CCO2 350A. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
 50 Resources Inc. December 14.

- 1 ———. 2009c. *Agave Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity*. Project No. 1049.10 350 350.
2 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. March
3 11.
- 4 ———. 2009d. *Lesser Long-nosed Bat Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity*. Project No.
5 1049.10 330 330A. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
6 Resources, Inc. March 11.
- 7 ———. 2009e. *Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey of the Proposed Rosemont Project Waterline*
8 *Alignment*. Project No. 1049.10 350 350. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
9 Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. March 11.
- 10 ———. 2009f. *Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey: Proposed Rosemont Project Santa Rita Road*
11 *Waterline*. Project No. 1049.14 CCO3 342. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
12 Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. December 18.
- 13 ———. 2009g. *Revised Status Report: Talussnail Surveys and Literature Review in the Rosemont*
14 *Project Area and Vicinity*. Project No. 1049.14 350 350. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
15 Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. September 17.
- 16 ———. 2010a. *Evaluation of Seven Forest Sensitive Plant Species*. Project No. 1049.21. Prepared for
17 Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. November 12.
- 18 ———. 2010b. *Field Surveys for Hexalectris colemanii in Southeastern Arizona, May–June 2010*.
19 Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
20 Resources, Inc. July 28.
- 21 ———. 2010c. *Onsite Riparian Habitat Assessment, Rosemont Project*. Project No. 1049.14.
22 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. April.
- 23 ———. 2010d. *Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey for the Rosemont Mine Southern Utility Line*
24 *Alternative, East of Sahuarita, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 1049.17 342 342. Prepared
25 for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. August 13.
- 26 ———. 2011a. *Addendum to the Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey: Proposed Rosemont Project Santa*
27 *Rita Road Alignment Report: Approximately 38 Acres Around a Utility Line Pinch Point Near*
28 *the Helvetia Townsite, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No.: 1049.32 342 342. Prepared for
29 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. October 31.
- 30 ———. 2011b. *Addendum to the Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey: Proposed Rosemont Project Santa*
31 *Rita Road Alignment Report: Approximately 46.2 Acres Along Corto, Country Club, Santa*
32 *Rita, and Dawson Roads, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No.: 1049.29 342 342. Prepared for
33 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. October 7.
- 34 ———. 2011c. *Comments on the Coronado National Forest Determination of Effect of the Rosemont*
35 *Project on Jaguar and Ocelot*. Project No.: 1049.21. Prepared for Coronado National Forest.
36 Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. January 10.
- 37 ———. 2011d. *Preliminary 2011 Ranid Survey Summary of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity*.
38 Project No. 1049.23 B 300. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
39 WestLand Resources Inc. October 27.
- 40 ———. 2011e. *Preliminary Report of Forest Sensitive Plant Surveys on the Rosemont Holdings*
41 *Focusing on Amoreuxia gonzalezii*. Project No. 1049.24. Prepared for Rosemont Copper.
42 Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. August 18.
- 43 ———. 2011f. *Preliminary Summary of 2011 Rosemont Bat Roost Survey*. Project No. 1049.23 B
44 300. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc.
45 October 28.
- 46 ———. 2011g. *Rosemont Copper Project: July 2011 Seeps and Springs Survey*. Project No. 1049.14.
47 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc.
48 August 19.
- 49 ———. 2011h. *Table 1. Ranid Survey Results for the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity - 2008 through*
50 *2011*. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc.
- 51 ———. 2012a. *Environmental Certification for a T-Intersection and Associated Improvements Along*
52 *State Route 83 ADOT ROW, WestLand Project No. 1049.26 700 700*. Letter from Amanda L.

- 1 Best, Senior Project Manager, WestLand Resources Inc, to Mick Hont, Assistant District
 2 Engineer, Arizona Department of Transportation, Tucson District. Tucson, Arizona:
 3 WestLand Resources Inc. July 6.
- 4 ———. 2012b. *Revised Addendum to the Rosemont Mine State Protected Plant and Noxious Weed*
 5 *Inventory on ASLD Lands of Sahuarita, Pima County, Arizona Report: Approximately 42.4*
 6 *Acres Along Corto, Country Club, Santa Rita, and Dawson Roads, Pima County, Arizona.*
 7 Project No.: 1049.29 323 323. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona:
 8 WestLand Resources Inc. March 13.
- 9 ———. 2012c. *Rosemont Copper Company: Habitat Characteristics of Two Hexalectris Species in*
 10 *Southern Arizona.* Project No.: 1049.35. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 11 Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. September.
- 12 ———. 2012d. *Rosemont Copper Project: Biological Assessment Supplement – Lesser Long-nosed*
 13 *Bat Forage and Roost Mitigation Measures.* Project No. 1049.21. Prepared for Rosemont
 14 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. December 21.
- 15 ———. 2012e. *Rosemont Copper Project: Biology and Life History of Coleman's Coralroot*
 16 *(Hexalectris colemanii) and Surveys for Hexalectris in 2011.* Project No.: 1049.24. Prepared
 17 for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. September.
- 18 ———. 2012f. *Rosemont Copper Project: Potential Effects of Lighting Associated with the Rosemont*
 19 *Project on Endangered Species.* Project No. 1049.21. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 20 Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. December 7.
- 21 ———. 2012g. *Rosemont Copper Project: Seeps and Springs Survey, 2011-2012.* Project No.
 22 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources,
 23 Inc. July 11.
- 24 ———. 2012h. *Surveys for Forest Sensitive Plant Species on the Rosemont Project Area 2011.*
 25 Project No.: 1049.24. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
 26 Resources, Inc. August.
- 27 ———. 2013. *Biological Evaluation: Proposed Arizona Trail Reroute Northeastern Foothills of the*
 28 *Santa Rita Mountains Pima County, Arizona.* Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont
 29 Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. January 16.
- 30 Wise, S. 2007. Studying the ecological impacts of light pollution on wildlife: Amphibians as models.
 31 In *StarLight: A Common Heritage; Proceedings of the StarLight 2007 Conference;*
 32 *International Initiative in Defence of the Quality of the Night Sky and the Right to Observe*
 33 *the Stars*, edited by C. Marín and J. Jafari, pp. 209–218. La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain:
 34 StarLight Initiative; Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Available at:
 35 [http://www.starlight2007.net/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=198%3Astar](http://www.starlight2007.net/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=198%3Astarlight-conference-2007&catid=62%3Athe-initiative&Itemid=150&lang=en)
 36 [light-conference-2007&catid=62%3Athe-initiative&Itemid=150&lang=en](http://www.starlight2007.net/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=198%3Astarlight-conference-2007&catid=62%3Athe-initiative&Itemid=150&lang=en). Accessed January
 37 28, 2013.
- 38 Wise, S., and B.W. Buchanan. 2006. Influence of artificial illumination on the nocturnal behavior and
 39 physiology of salamanders. In *Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting*, edited
 40 by C. Rich and T. Longcore, pp. 221-251. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

41 Landownership and Boundary Management

- 42 Bureau of Land Management. 2008. *National Environmental Policy Act.* Handbook H-1790-1.
 43 Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Land Management. January.
- 44 ———. 2009a. Chapters 1 through 4 and 11. In *Departmental Manual Part 516: National*
 45 *Environmental Policy Act of 1969.* Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance.
 46 September 1.
- 47 ———. 2009b. *Manual of Surveying Instructions: For the Survey of Public Lands of the United*
 48 *States.* Denver, Colorado: Bureau of Land Management.
- 49 McKay, G. 2010. Rosemont mine boundary management mitigation. SWCA Environmental
 50 Consultants, Tucson, Arizona.

- 1 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
2 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
3 ———. 2000. Chapter 7150 - Surveying. In *FSM 7100 - Engineering Management*. Washington,
4 D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
5 ———. 2003. Chapter 5570 - Sales, grants, and special acts. In *FSM 5500 - Landownership Title*
6 *Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.

7 **Livestock Grazing**

- 8 Biedenbender, S. 2010a. *Greaterville Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File
9 Code No. 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 4.
10 ———. 2010b. *Rosemont Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code No.
11 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 11.
12 Brown, D.E. (ed.). 1994. *Biotic Communities: Southwestern United States and Northwestern Mexico*.
13 Salt Lake City, Utah: University of Utah Press.
14 Brown, K. 2007. *Helvetia Allotment Proposed Action*. Memorandum File Code No. 2210. Nogales,
15 Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. February 4.
16 ———. 2009. Range Specialist, Coronado National Forest. Verbal communication with Geoff
17 Soroka, SWCA Environmental Consultants. January 29.
18 Cooperative Extension Service, U.S. Forest Service, Grazing Land Technology Institute, and Bureau
19 of Land Management. 1999. *Sampling Vegetation Attributes*. Rev. ed. Technical Reference
20 No. 1734-4. Denver, Colorado: Bureau of Land Management.
21 Fehmi, J.S., T.M. Kong, and L. Wood. 2008. *Phase II—Final Project Report*. Prepared for August
22 Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: School of Natural Resources, University of Arizona.
23 December 17.
24 Lockwood, S. 2007. *Thurber Allotment Proposed Action*. Memorandum File Code No. 2210.
25 Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. March 29.
26 ———. 2010a. *DeBaud Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code No.
27 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. January 11.
28 ———. 2010b. *Stone Springs Allotment Rangeland Monitoring Analysis*. Memorandum File Code
29 No. 2210. Nogales, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. December 16.
30 Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2010. Ecological site description: plant communities.
31 Available at: <http://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov>. Accessed September 10, 2010.
32 Smith, L., G. Ruyle, J. Maynard, S. Barker, W. Meyer, D. Stewart, B. Coulloudon, S. Williams, and J.
33 Dyess. 2007. *Principles of Obtaining and Interpreting Utilization Data on Rangelands*.
34 Tucson, Arizona: University of Arizona Cooperative Extension. May.
35 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2012. *Reconciling Springs and Stock Tanks Inventory to Forest*
36 *Service Grazing Allotment Maps*. Letter to file from DeAnne Rietz, SWCA Environmental
37 Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. October 11.
38 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
39 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
40 ———. 1996. *Rangeland Analysis and Management Training Guide*. Denver, Colorado: Rocky
41 Mountain Region, U.S. Forest Service. August.
42 ———. 1999. *FSH 2509.18 - Soil Management Handbook*. R3 Supplement No. 2509.18-99-1.
43 Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Forest Service. October 20.
44 ———. 2002. *Term Grazing Permit—Parts 1, 2, and 3*. Multiple allotments.
45 ———. 2005. *FSM 2200 - Range Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
46 Headquarters.
47 ———. 2008. *Thurber Allotment Management Plan*. Nogales Ranger District, Coronado National
48 Forest, Santa Cruz County, Arizona.

1 Dark Skies

- 2 Cinzano, P. 2000. Disentangling artificial sky brightness from single sources in diffusely urbanized
3 areas. *Journal of the Italian Astronomical Society* 71(1, Special Issue: Measuring and
4 Modelling Light Pollution):113–130.
- 5 Dark Sky Partners LLC. 2010. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operations at the*
6 *Rosemont Copper Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona*. Draft report. Prepared for
7 SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC. September.
- 8 ———. 2011. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operation at the Rosemont Copper*
9 *Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona*. Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants.
10 Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC. January.
- 11 ———. 2012. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operations at the Rosemont Copper*
12 *Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona: II. The 2012 Lighting Plan*. Prepared for SWCA
13 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC. November.
- 14 M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. 2011. *Technical Memorandum: Rosemont Mine*
15 *Outdoor Lighting and Pima County Outdoor Lighting Code*. M3-PN08036. Rev. Prepared for
16 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation.
17 January.
- 18 Massey, P., and C.B. Foltz. 2000. The spectrum of the night sky over Mount Hopkins and Kitt Peak:
19 Changes after a decade. *Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 112:566-573.
- 20 Monrad, C.K. 2012. *Rosemont Mine - Mitigation Recommendation Report Addendum*. Memo to
21 Rosemont Copper distributing Page 26 Recap A / Lumen Summary, Revision 2. Tucson,
22 Arizona: Monrad Engineering Inc. August 17.
- 23 Monrad, C.K., J. Benya, and D.L. Crawford. 2012a. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution*
24 *Mitigation Recommendation Report*. Revision 1. Monrad Engineering Inc. June 18.
- 25 ———. 2012b. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution Mitigation Recommendation Report*.
26 Tucson, Arizona: Monrad Electrical Engineering Inc. January 24.
- 27 National Park Service. 2007. *Interim Outdoor Lighting Guidelines (Draft)*. Version 1.0. National Park
28 Service Night Sky Team. January 30.
- 29 U.S. Forest Service. 2003. Chapter 2380 - Landscape management. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,*
30 *Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
31 National Headquarters.

32 Visual Resources

- 33 Arizona National Forests Socioeconomic Assessment Team. 2005. *Socio-Economic Assessment for*
34 *the Coronado National Forest*. Prepared for the U.S. Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
35 Tucson, Arizona: School of Natural Resources, University of Arizona.
- 36 Arizona State Parks. 1995. *Arizona Trail Management Guide*. Prepared for Arizona Trail Partners and
37 the Arizona Trail Association. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona State Parks. October 20.
- 38 ———. 2007. *2008 Arizona Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan*. Phoenix, Arizona:
39 Planning Unit, Resources Management Section, Arizona State Parks. September.
- 40 Bureau of Land Management. 1986. *Visual Resource Contrast Rating*. BLM Manual Handbook 8431-
41 1. Bureau of Land Management. January 17.
- 42 ———. 2003. *Approved Las Cienegas Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision*.
43 BLM/AZ/PL-03/010. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management.
44 July 25.
- 45 ———. 2011. Arizona National Scenic Trail. Available at:
46 http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/az-scenic-trail.html. Accessed August
47 20, 2011.
- 48 Dark Sky Partners LLC. 2011. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operation at the*
49 *Rosemont Copper Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona*. Prepared for SWCA
50 Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC. January.

- 1 Keyes, W. 2013. Sycamore Connector Road and Visibility from 83. Email communication between
2 Walt Keyes, Road Manager, Coronado National Forest, Debby Kriegel, Landscape Architect,
3 Coronado National Forest, and Pam Cecere, SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 4 Laford, R. 2010. Rosemont EIS: VMS vs. SMS. R. Laford, U.S. Forest Service, response to email
5 from D. Kriegel, U.S. Forest Service. August 2.
- 6 National Scenic Byways Program. 2010. Patagonia-Sonoita Scenic Road. Available at:
7 <http://www.byways.org/explore/byways/11288/>. Accessed December 14, 2010.
- 8 Swanson, S., D. Sunderman, B. Snow, and C. Lonardo. 2010. *A Cultural Resources Survey of the*
9 *46kV Alternative and Additional Areas for the Rosemont Project 138kV Line, Pima County,*
10 *Arizona*. Cultural Resource Services Technical Paper No. 2010-0017. Prepared for Rosemont
11 Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental Planning Group. September.
- 12 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2012. *Assessment of the Proposed Arizona Trail Realignment.*
13 SWCA Project NO. 11204.17 Phase 6. Technical memorandum from David Barr, SWCA
14 Environmental Consultants, to Debby Kriegel, Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest
15 Service. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants. April 10.
- 16 U.S. Department of Homeland Security. 2009. *2008 Yearbook of Immigration Statistics*. Washington,
17 D.C.: U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Office of Immigration Statistics. August.
- 18 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
19 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 20 ———. 1995. *Landscape Aesthetics: A Handbook for Scenery Management*. Agriculture Handbook
21 No. 701. U.S. Forest Service. December.
- 22 ———. 2003. Chapter 2380 - Landscape management. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation, Wilderness, and*
23 *Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
24 Headquarters.
- 25 ———. 2006. Chapter 2330 - Publicly managed recreation opportunities. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,*
26 *Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
27 National Headquarters.
- 28 ———. 2008. *FSH 2309.18 - Trails Management Handbook*. Amendment No. 2309.18-2008-4.
29 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters. October 16.
- 30 ———. 2010. *Coronado National Forest Monitoring and Evaluation Trend Analysis, 1986 to 2009.*
31 U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National Forest.
- 32 ———. 2012. *Visitor Use Report*. National Visitor Use Monitoring: Data collected FY 2007 U.S.
33 Forest Service, Region 3, Coronado National Forest. May 23.
- 34 Warshall, P. 1995. The Madrean Sky Island Archipelago: A planetary overview. In *Biodiversity and*
35 *Management of the Madrean Archipelago: The Sky Islands of Southwestern United States and*
36 *Northwestern Mexico*. General Technical Report RM-GTR-264. Tucson, Arizona: U.S. Forest
37 Service. July.
- 38 Wheat Scharf Associates. 2003. *Corridor Management Plan for the Patagonia-Sonoita Scenic Road.*
39 Submitted to the Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division
40 Transportation Enhancement/Scenic Roads Section. Tucson, Arizona: Wheat Scharf
41 Associates. January.

42 Recreation and Wilderness Areas

- 43 Arizona Department of Commerce. 2006. 2006–2055 commerce population projections. Available at:
44 <http://www.azcommerce.com/econinfo/demographics/Population%20Projections.html>.
45 Accessed September 2, 2010.
- 46 Arizona Game and Fish Department. 2011. 2011–2012 hunting and trapping regulations. Available at:
47 http://www.azgfd.gov/h_f/hunting_rules.shtml. Accessed May 24, 2011.
- 48 ———. 2012. *Arizona Game and Fish comments on the Rosemont Copper Draft Environmental*
49 *Impact Statement*. Letter from Josh Avey, Habitat Branch Chief, Arizona Game and Fish

- 1 Department, to Jim Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. Phoenix,
2 Arizona. January 18.
- 3 Arizona Scenic Roads. 2009. Patagonia-Sonoita Scenic Road. Available at:
4 <http://www.arizonascenicroads.com/contentScenicRoadDetail.aspx?ScenicRoadId=21>.
5 Accessed September 2, 2010.
- 6 Arizona State Parks. 1995. *Arizona Trail Management Guide*. Prepared for Arizona Trail Partners and
7 the Arizona Trail Association. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona State Parks. October 20.
- 8 ———. 2007. *2008 Arizona Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan*. Phoenix, Arizona:
9 Planning Unit, Resources Management Section, Arizona State Parks. September.
- 10 ———. 2009. *Arizona Trails 2010: A Statewide Motorized and Non-motorized Recreational Trails*
11 *Plan*. Phoenix, Arizona: Planning and Recreational Trails Unit, Arizona State Parks. July.
- 12 ———. 2010. Motorized Trails Program grants: C. Available at:
13 http://www.pr.state.az.us/grants/library_MOTORIZED/motorized_c.html. Accessed
14 September 2, 2010.
- 15 Arizona Trail Association. 2009. The Arizona Trail. Available at: <http://www.aztrail.org/>. Accessed
16 September 2, 2010.
- 17 BBC Research and Consulting. 2012. *Additional Socioeconomic Evaluations: Rosemont Copper*
18 *Project Environmental Impact Statement*. Draft report dated May 2012. Prepared for
19 Coronado National Forest. Denver, Colorado: BBC Research and Consulting.
- 20 Bureau of Land Management. 2003. *Approved Las Cienegas Resource Management Plan and Record*
21 *of Decision*. BLM/AZ/PL-03/010. Tucson, Arizona: Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land
22 Management. July 25.
- 23 Elek, A. 2010. Fire Prevention Officer, Nogales Ranger District, Coronado National Forest, Nogales,
24 Arizona. Proposal to Mitigate Impacts to OHV Use in Rosemont. Written communication
25 from A. Elek to D. Kriegel, U.S. Forest Service. February 2.
- 26 Friends of Madera Canyon. 2009. Welcome to Friends of Madera Canyon. Available at:
27 <http://www.friendsofmaderacanyon.org/>. Accessed September 2, 2010.
- 28 Heffelfinger, J. n.d. [2011]. Rosemont game/hunter effects table.
- 29 Monrad, C.K., J. Benya, and D.L. Crawford. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution*
30 *Mitigation Recommendation Report*. Revision 1. Monrad Engineering Inc. June 18.
- 31 Racing the Arizona Trail. 2010. Arizona Trail race results. Available at:
32 <http://www.topofusion.com/azt/results.php>. Accessed October 5, 2010.
- 33 Recreation Technical Advisory Team. 2003. *Overview of Natural Resource Based Outdoor*
34 *Recreation in Eastern Pima County*. Submitted to Pima County Board of Supervisors.
35 Tucson, Arizona. February.
- 36 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
37 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 38 ———. 2001. Special areas; roadless area conservation; final rule. *Federal Register* 66(9):3244–
39 3273.
- 40 ———. 2006. Chapter 2330 - Publicly managed recreation opportunities. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,*
41 *Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
42 National Headquarters.
- 43 ———. 2008. 2353.43.c - National Scenic or Historic Trail Relocation. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation,*
44 *Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
45 National Headquarters. October 16.
- 46 ———. 2009. Chapter 2350 - Trail, river, and similar recreation opportunities. In *FSM 2300 -*
47 *Recreation, Wilderness, and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest
48 Service National Headquarters.
- 49 ———. n.d. [1986]. ROS Primer and Field Guide. Available at:
50 http://www.fs.fed.us/cdt/carrying_capacity/rosfieldguide/ros_primer_and_field_guide.htm.
51 Accessed August 30, 2011.

- 1 West, G.C. 2009. Madera Canyon visitor counts. Written communication from Dr. George C. West,
2 Friends of Madera Canyon, Green Valley, Arizona, to Stephen Leslie, Environmental Planner,
3 SWCA Environmental Consultants. July 31.
- 4 Wheat Scharf Associates. 2003. *Corridor Management Plan for the Patagonia-Sonoita Scenic Road*.
5 Submitted to the Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division
6 Transportation Enhancement/Scenic Roads Section. Tucson, Arizona: Wheat Scharf
7 Associates. January.

8 Hazardous Materials

- 9 Arnold, K., and R. Henderson. 2007. *Hazardous and Industrial Materials and Quantities, Issue*
10 *Number: FDD-4, Item Number: 25*. Document No. 205/07-114-320776-5.3. Tucson, Arizona:
11 Tetra Tech. November 28.
- 12 Ayres, J.E. 1984. *Rosemont: The History and Archaeology of Post-1880 Sites in the Rosemont Area,*
13 *Santa Rita Mountains, Arizona*. Archaeological Series No. 147, Vol. 3. Tucson, Arizona:
14 Cultural Resource Management Division, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 15 Errol L. Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Addendum to Groundwater Flow Modeling*
16 *Conducted for Simulation of Rosemont Copper's Proposed Mine Supply Pumping, Sahuarita,*
17 *Arizona*. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Errol L. Montgomery and
18 Associates, Inc. November 11.
- 19 Ezzo, J.A., D. Gilpin, S. Griset, E.S. Petersen, II, and S.M. Whittlesey. 2011. *Archaeological Survey*
20 *for the Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report
21 No. 09-35. Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper Company. Prepared for Coronado
22 National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
23 February.
- 24 Sturgess, J.A. 2007. *Supplemental Information to the Mine Plan of Operations, Rosemont Copper*
25 *Company: Disposition of Existing Mine Workings*. Technical Memorandum. Rosemont
26 Copper Company and Augusta Resource Corporation. November 28.
- 27 Tetra Tech. 2007a. *Site Water Management Plan*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta Resource
28 Corporation. Golden, Colorado: Tetra Tech. June.
- 29 ———. 2007b. *Waste Management Plan*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta Resource
30 Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. June.
- 31 ———. 2010a. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
32 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
- 33 ———. 2010b. *Site Water Management Update*. Project No. 114-320828. 5 vols. Prepared for
34 Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 35 U.S. Forest Service. 1994. Chapter 2160 - Hazardous materials management. In *FSM 2100 -*
36 *Environmental Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
37 November 10.
- 38 ———. 2007. Chapter 1480 - Environmental compliance program. In *FSM 1400 - Controls*.
39 Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 40 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
41 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

42 Fuels and Fire Management

- 43 Arnold, K., and R. Henderson. 2007. *Hazardous and Industrial Materials and Quantities, Issue*
44 *Number: FDD-4, Item Number: 25*. Document No. 205/07-114-320776-5.3. Tucson, Arizona:
45 Tetra Tech. November 28.
- 46 Brown, D.E. (ed.). 1994. *Biotic Communities: Southwestern United States and Northwestern Mexico*.
47 Salt Lake City, Utah: University of Utah Press.

- 1 Brown, J.K., and J.K. Smith (eds.). 2000. *Wildland Fire in Ecosystems: Effects of Fire on Flora*.
 2 General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42-volume 2. Ogden, Utah: U.S. Forest Service,
 3 Rocky Mountain Research Station. December.
- 4 Interagency Federal Wildland Fire Policy Review Working Group. 2001. *Review and Update of the*
 5 *1995 Federal Wildland Fire Management Policy*. Boise, Idaho: National Interagency Fire
 6 Center. January.
- 7 Kilgore, B.M. 1981. Fire in ecosystem distribution and structure: western forests and scrublands. In
 8 *Proceedings of the Conference Fire Regimes and Ecosystem Properties*, edited by H.A.
 9 Mooney, T.M. Bonnicksen, and N.L. Christensen, pp. 58–89. General Technical Report WO-
 10 GTR-26. U.S. Forest Service.
- 11 Radeloff, V.C., R.B. Hammer, S.I. Stewart, J.S. Fried, S.S. Holcomb, and J.F. McKeefry. 2005. The
 12 wildland-urban interface in the United States. *Ecological Applications* 15(3, June):799–805.
- 13 Rosemont Copper Company. 2012. *Preliminary Invasive Species Management Plan*. Tucson,
 14 Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company. July.
- 15 SWCA Environmental Consultants. 2013. *Calculations for Vegetation Disposal*. Memorandum to file
 16 from Chris Garrett, SWCA Environmental Consultants. Phoenix, Arizona: SWCA
 17 Environmental Consultants. May 12.
- 18 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
 19 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 20 ———. 2010. *Coronado National Forest Fire Management Plan*. Coronado National Forest Sky
 21 Islands. May 18.
- 22 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
 23 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

24 **Transportation/Access**

- 25 American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. 2004. *A Policy on Geometric*
 26 *Design of Highways and Streets*. 5th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Association of State
 27 Highway and Transportation Officials.
- 28 ———. 2011. *Roadside Design Guide*. 4th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Association of State
 29 Highway and Transportation Officials.
- 30 Arizona Department of Transportation. 2007. *Roadway Design Guidelines*. Roadway Engineering
 31 Group, Arizona Department of Transportation. January.
- 32 ———. 2011. Transportation Data Management System. Available at:
 33 <http://adot.ms2soft.com/tcds/tsearch.asp?loc=Adot&mod=>. Accessed May 22, 2011.
- 34 ———. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement Proposed SR 83*
 35 *Improvements Primary Access Road*. Letter from Mick Hont, P.E., Acting Tucson District
 36 Engineer (Operations), Arizona Department of Transportation, to Walt Keyes, Road Manager,
 37 Coronado National Forest. Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona Department of Transportation.
 38 September 19.
- 39 ———. 2013. Traffic Engineering Policies, Guides and Procedures (PGP). Available at:
 40 <http://www.azdot.gov/Highways/traffic/pgp.asp>. Accessed April 10, 2013.
- 41 Curiel Jr., E. (ed.). 2006. *Santa Rita Mountains Ecosystem Management Area Transportation Analysis*
 42 *Plan*. Revised. U.S. Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. October.
- 43 Keyes, W. 2012. *Expected Impact on Railroad Activity from Rosemont Copper Project*. Technical
 44 Memorandum. Tucson, Arizona: Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. November
 45 5.
- 46 Pima Association of Governments. 2010. *2040 Regional Transportation Plan*. Tucson, Arizona: Pima
 47 Association of Governments. July 1.
- 48 Psomas. 2012a. *Expanded Transportation Analysis, Rosemont Copper Company, Pima County,*
 49 *Arizona*. Project No. 7ROS110405. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 50 Arizona: Psomas. June.

- 1 ———. 2012b. *Rosemont Primary Access Road Traffic Impact Analysis Rosemont Copper Company,*
 2 *Pima County, Arizona.* Project No. 7ROS110410. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 3 Tucson, Arizona: Psomas. December.
- 4 Tetra Tech. 2009a. *AZ-83 Roadway Assessment Report, Rosemont Copper Project.* Project Nos. 134-
 5 20192-09001A, 134-20192-09002, and 114-320794. Prepared for Rosemont Copper
 6 Company. Irvine, California: Tetra Tech. July.
- 7 ———. 2009b. *Traffic Analysis Report, Rosemont Copper Company.* Project Nos. 134-20192-
 8 09001A and 114-320794-101.06. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Irvine,
 9 California: Tetra Tech. April.
- 10 ———. 2011. *Rosemont Primary Access Road Intersection: Traffic Analysis Report.* 2 vols. Prepared
 11 for Arizona Department of Transportation. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. April 4.
- 12 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan.* U.S.
 13 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 14 ———. 2009. *FSH 7709.59 - Road System Operations and Maintenance Handbook.* Amendment No.
 15 7709.59-2009-1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters. February 5.
- 16 ———. 2010a. Chapter 7703.26 - Adding Roads to the Forest Transportation System. In *FSM 7700 -*
 17 *Travel Management.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National Headquarters.
- 18 ———. 2010b. *FSM 7700 - Travel Management.* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
 19 Headquarters.
- 20 Wheat Scharf Associates and ADOT/FHWA/BLM/USFS Steering Committee. 2008. *Arizona*
 21 *Department of Transportation Guidelines for Highways on Bureau of Land Management and*
 22 *U.S. Forest Service Lands.* Prepared for Arizona Department of Transportation, Federal
 23 Highway Administration, Bureau of Land Management, and U.S. Forest Service. Tucson,
 24 Arizona: Wheat Scharf Associates.

25 **Noise**

- 26 Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2013. *Air Quality Class II Synthetic Minor Permit.*
 27 *Air Quality Control Permit No. 55223.* Phoenix: Arizona Department of Environmental
 28 Quality. January 31.
- 29 Arizona Department of Transportation. 2005. *Noise Abatement Policy.* Phoenix: Arizona Department
 30 of Transportation Environmental and Enhancement Group. November 29.
- 31 Dieckhaus, M. 2012. *Barrel Trail Alternative Noise Analysis.* Document No.: 84/12-320871-5.3.
 32 Technical memorandum. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra
 33 Tech. September 21.
- 34 Federal Interagency Committee on Urban Noise. 1980. *Guidelines for Considering Noise in Land*
 35 *Use Planning and Control.* June.
- 36 Pima County Department of Transportation. 2008. *Revision of Traffic Noise Analysis and Mitigation*
 37 *Guidance for Major Road Projects, Amendment to Statement of Purpose, and Cost Effective*
 38 *Criteria.* Procedure No. 03-5. Amended. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County Department of
 39 Transportation. April 8.
- 40 Sculley, R. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel Only Alternative Noise Analysis.* Document No.
 41 025/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech.
 42 January 15.
- 43 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Scholefield Tailings and McCleary Waste Alternative Noise*
 44 *Analysis.* Document No. 024/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 45 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 15.
- 46 Tetra Tech. 2008. *Background Ambient Noise Study.* Project No. 114-320776 (100-SFO-T22436).
 47 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. October.
- 48 ———. 2009. *Supplemental Noise Study, Rosemont Copper Project.* Project No. 114-320794 (100-
 49 SFO-T22436; 100-SFO-T23373). Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 50 Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.

1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1974. *Information on Levels of Environmental Noise*
 2 *Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety*. 550/9-74-
 3 004. Washington, D.C.: Office of Noise Abatement and Control. March.

4 **Public Health and Safety**

- 5 Carruth, R.L., D.R. Pool, and C.E. Anderson. 2007. *Land Subsidence and Aquifer-System*
 6 *Compaction in the Tucson Active Management Area, South-Central Arizona 1987-2005*.
 7 Scientific Investigations Report No. 2007-5190. Reston, Virginia: U.S Geological Survey.
- 8 Ezzo, J.A., D. Gilpin, S. Griset, E.S. Petersen, II, and S.M. Whittlesey. 2011. *Archaeological Survey*
 9 *for the Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report
 10 No. 09-35. Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper Company. Prepared for Coronado
 11 National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
 12 February.
- 13 M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: NI 43-101 Technical*
 14 *Report, Updated Feasibility Study, Pima County, Arizona, USA*. Revision 0. Project No. M3-
 15 PN 08036. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering
 16 and Technology Corporation. August 28.
- 17 Pratt, R., and D. Nicholas. 2009. *Memorandum: Preliminary Findings from Slope Stability Review*.
 18 Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Call and Nicholas, Inc. June 19.
- 19 Psomas. 2012. *Rosemont Primary Access Road Traffic Impact Analysis Rosemont Copper Company,*
 20 *Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 7ROS110410. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 21 Tucson, Arizona: Psomas. December.
- 22 Sculley, R. 2010a. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel and McCleary Alternative Noise Analysis*.
 23 Document No. 014/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 24 Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 9.
- 25 ———. 2010b. *Technical Memorandum: Barrel Only Alternative Noise Analysis*. Document No.
 26 025/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech.
 27 January 15.
- 28 ———. 2010c. *Technical Memorandum: Partial Backfill Alternative Noise Analysis*. Document No.
 29 028/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech.
 30 January 23.
- 31 ———. 2010d. *Technical Memorandum: Scholefield Tailings and McCleary Waste Alternative Noise*
 32 *Analysis*. Document No. 024/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 33 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 15.
- 34 ———. 2010e. *Technical Memorandum: Sycamore Tailings and Barrel Waste Alternative Noise*
 35 *Analysis*. Document No. 023/10-320871-5.3. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company.
 36 Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. January 15.
- 37 Sturgess, J.A. 2007. *Supplemental Information to the Mine Plan of Operations, Rosemont Copper*
 38 *Company: Disposition of Existing Mine Workings*. Technical Memorandum. Rosemont
 39 Copper Company and Augusta Resource Corporation. November 28.
- 40 Tetra Tech. 2007. *Geologic Hazards Assessment*. Project No. 320614. Prepared for Augusta Resource
 41 Corporation. Golden, Colorado: Tetra Tech. June.
- 42 ———. 2009. *Supplemental Noise Study, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320794 (100-
 43 SFO-T22436; 100-SFO-T23373). Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
 44 Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 45 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
 46 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.
- 47 Wheat Scharf Associates and ADOT/FHWA/BLM/USFS Steering Committee. 2008. *Arizona*
 48 *Department of Transportation Guidelines for Highways on Bureau of Land Management and*
 49 *U.S. Forest Service Lands*. Prepared for Arizona Department of Transportation, Federal

1 Highway Administration, Bureau of Land Management, and U.S. Forest Service. Tucson,
2 Arizona: Wheat Scharf Associates.

3 Cultural Resources

- 4 Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 2013. *Mine Plan of Operations for Rosemont Copper*
5 *Company, Coronado National Forest, Arizona*. Letter from John M. Fowler, Executive
6 Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, to Thomas L. Tidwell, Chief, USDA
7 Forest Service. Washington, D.C.: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. January 17.
- 8 Ayres, J.E. 1984. *Rosemont: The History and Archaeology of Post-1880 Sites in the Rosemont Area,*
9 *Santa Rita Mountains, Arizona*. Archaeological Series No. 147, Vol. 3. Tucson, Arizona:
10 Cultural Resource Management Division, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 11 Barr, D.M., I.S. Hesse, S.J. Hesse, J.E. Hider, S. Griset, E.S. Peterson, II, and D.B. Tucker. 2010.
12 *Archaeological Survey of Alternatives to the Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Pima County,*
13 *Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report No. 10-334. Prepared for the Coronado National Forest.
14 Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
15 Consultants. August.
- 16 Barr, D.M.R., and E.S. Petersen II. 2013. *Archaeological Survey for the Proposed Arizona Trail*
17 *Realignment Project, Pima County, Arizona*. SWCA Cultural Resources Report No. 12-315.
18 Prepared for USDA Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA
19 Environmental Consultants. February.
- 20 Brown, D.E. (ed.). 1994. *Biotic Communities: Southwestern United States and Northwestern Mexico*.
21 Salt Lake City, Utah: University of Utah Press.
- 22 Buckles, A.E. 2013. *A History of Helvetia*. Cultural Resources Report 2013-28. Tucson, Arizona:
23 WestLand Resources Inc. May 17.
- 24 Chamorro, S., and A.M. King. 2012. *A Revised Cultural Resources Inventory of Approximately 84*
25 *Acres Near Sahuarita, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report 2011-43, Accession
26 No. 2011-0429. . Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources Inc. April 27.
- 27 Council on Environmental Quality, and Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 2013. *NEPA and*
28 *NHPA: a Handbook for Integrating NEPA and Section 106*. Washington, D.C. March.
- 29 Deaver, W.L. 2013. *Rosemont Copper: A Cultural Resources Inventory Within and Around Helvetia,*
30 *Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 1049.32 A 520. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources
31 Inc. February 8.
- 32 Debowski, S.S. 1980. The ANAMAX-Rosemont Project: An Archaeological Evaluation in the Santa
33 Rita Mountains, Vol. 1. Cultural Resource Management Section, Arizona State Museum,
34 University of Arizona. February, Tucson.
- 35 Ezzo, J.A., D. Gilpin, S. Griset, E.S. Petersen, II, and S.M. Whittlesey. 2011. *Archaeological Survey*
36 *for the Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report
37 No. 09-35. Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper Company. Prepared for Coronado
38 National Forest, U.S. Forest Service. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
39 February.
- 40 Ferg, A. 1984. Site Descriptions. In *Hohokam Habitation Sites in the Northern Santa Rita Mountains,*
41 edited by A. Ferg, K.C. Rozen, W.L. Deaver, M.D. Tagg, D.A. Phillips, Jr., and D.A. Gregory,
42 pp. 41–235. Archaeological Series No. 147, **Vol. 2, Pt. 1**. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural Resource
43 Management Division, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 44 Ferg, A., K.C. Rozen, W.L. Deaver, M.D. Tagg, D.A. Phillips, Jr., and D.A. Gregory. 1984a.
45 *Hohokam Habitation Sites in the Northern Santa Rita Mountains*. Archaeological Series No.
46 147, **Vol. 2, Pt. 2**. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural Resource Management Division, Arizona State
47 Museum, University of Arizona.
- 48 ———. 1984b. *Hohokam Habitation Sites in the Northern Santa Rita Mountains*. Archaeological
49 Series No. 147, **Vol. 2, Pt. 1**. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural Resource Management Division,
50 Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.

- 1 Forbes, R.H. 1914. Photo Station # 61, looking NE along the Apache Trail, taken 8/23/14 about
2 midway between Whitehouse and McCleary's Camp, Huerfano Butte in distance (Forbes No.
3 409). Photo Archive No. 61.1.194.08, Santa Rita Experimental Range Repeat Photography.
4 Available at: <http://ag.arizona.edu/SRER/photos/PS061/ps061.htm>. Accessed June 15, 2012.
5 _____ . 1919. *The Penningtons, Pioneers of Early Arizona: A Historical Sketch*. Lancaster,
6 Pennsylvania: The New Era Printing Company.
- 7 Godfrey-Smith, W. 1979. The Value of Wilderness. *Environmental Ethics* 1(4):309-319.
- 8 Green, M. 2008. Initial findings from the structural/seismic study of four buildings at the Empire
9 Ranch. Written communication to Alison Bunting, President, Empire Ranch Foundation,
10 from Melvyn Green, Structural Engineer, Melvyn Green and Associates Inc.
- 11 Griset, S. 2011. *An Ethnohistory of the Rosemont Copper Project Area in the Eastern Santa Rita*
12 *Mountains, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report No. 09-238. Prepared for U.S.
13 Forest Service, Coronado National Forest. Prepared on behalf of Rosemont Copper
14 Company. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 15 Griset, S., W. Gillespie, and M. Farrell. 2012. National Register of Historic Places Registration Form:
16 *Ce:wi Duag* ("Long Mountain" in O'odham). National Park Service Form 10-900. Tucson,
17 Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants, Coronado National Forest, U.S. Forest Service,
18 and Trans-Sierran Archaeological Research. December 10.
- 19 Huckell, B.B. 1980. *The ANAMAX-Rosemont Testing Project*. Review draft. Tucson, Arizona:
20 Cultural Resource Management Section, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona. May
21 23.
- 22 _____ . 1981. *An Archaeological Survey of Two Parcels of Land Added to the ANAMAX-Rosemont*
23 *Land Exchange Area*. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural Resource Management Section, Arizona
24 State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 25 _____ . 1984a. *The Archaic Occupation of the Rosemont Area, Northern Santa Rita Mountains,*
26 *Southeastern Arizona*. Archaeological Series No. 147, Vol. 1. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural
27 Resource Management Division, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 28 _____ . 1984b. Sobaipuri Sites in the Rosemont Area. In *Miscellaneous Archaeological Studies in*
29 *the ANAMAX-Rosemont Land Exchange Area*, edited by M.D. Tagg, R.G. Ervin, and B.B.
30 Huckell, pp. 107–130. Archaeological Series No. 147, Vol. 4. Tucson, Arizona: Cultural
31 Resource Management Division, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona.
- 32 _____ . 1995. *Of Marshes and Maize: Preceramic Agricultural Settlements in the Cienega Valley,*
33 *Southeastern Arizona*. Anthropological Papers No. 59. Tucson, Arizona: The University of
34 Arizona Press.
- 35 Karl, R. 2011. AZSITE, Arizona State Museum, University of Arizona. Gathering cultural resource
36 data for Rosemont DEIS. Telephone communication to Suzanne Griset, SWCA
37 Environmental Consultants. February 21.
- 38 Kuwanwisiwma, L.J. 2013. Proposed Rosemont Mine: Draft Memorandum of Agreement, Draft
39 Historic Properties Treatment Plan, Finding of Adverse Effect. Email communication to Jim
40 Upchurch, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest, from Leigh Kuwanwisiwma,
41 Director, Hopi Cultural Preservation Office.
- 42 Lindly, J., and A.E. Buckles. 2012. *A Cultural Resources Inventory of Two Contiguous Arizona*
43 *Department of Transportation Encroachment Permit Areas Along State Route 83 For*
44 *Rosemont Copper, Pima County, Arizona*. Project No. 1049.26 700B 500. Tucson, Arizona:
45 WestLand Resources, Inc. July 6.
- 46 Martin, A.K. 2011. *A Cultural Resources Inventory of Approximately 3.3 Acres Near Santa Rita Road*
47 *and South Country Club Road, East of Sahuarita, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources
48 Report 2011-3, Accession Number 2011-0017. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc.
49 January 19.
- 50 National Park Service. 1999. *National Register Bulletin—How to Complete the National Register*
51 *Multiple Property Documentation Form*. Originally published 1991, U.S. Department of the

- 1 Interior, National Park Service, Cultural Resources, National Register, History and
2 Education, Washington, D.C.
- 3 Parker, P.L., and T.F. King. 1998. *National Register Bulletin—Guidelines for Evaluating and*
4 *Documenting Traditional Cultural Properties*. Originally published 1990 (revised 1992), U.S.
5 Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Washington, D.C.
- 6 Petersen, E.S., II. 2007. *Archaeological Survey of Approximately 67.1 Acres in the Coronado*
7 *National Forest, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report No. 07-48. Tucson,
8 Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 9 Petersen, E.S., II, and S. Griset. 2010. *Results of an Archaeological Class I Inventory of Four*
10 *Alternatives for the Proposed Rosemont Copper Mine, Rosemont Junction, Pima County,*
11 *Arizona*. Cultural Resources Report No. 10-47. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
12 Consultants.
- 13 Pima Association of Governments. 2011. Population estimates. Available at:
14 <http://www.pagnet.org/RegionalData/Population/PopulationEstimates/tabid/582/Default.as>.
15 Accessed May 25, 2011.
- 16 Pima County. 2008. *Board of Supervisors Policy: Protection of Cultural Resources*. Policy Number C
17 3. 17. Tucson, Arizona: Pima County Board of Supervisors.
- 18 ———. 2012. Comprehensive Plan Update: Regional Plan Policies. Available at:
19 [http://www.pimaxpress.com/Documents/planning/ComprehensivePlan/PDF/Policies_Legend/](http://www.pimaxpress.com/Documents/planning/ComprehensivePlan/PDF/Policies_Legend/REGIONAL_PLAN_POLICIES-Jun2012.pdf)
20 [REGIONAL_PLAN_POLICIES-Jun2012.pdf](http://www.pimaxpress.com/Documents/planning/ComprehensivePlan/PDF/Policies_Legend/REGIONAL_PLAN_POLICIES-Jun2012.pdf). Accessed May 15, 2013.
- 21 Rayle, C.E. 2013. *A Cultural Resource Survey of Additional Areas for the Rosemont Project Utility*
22 *Corridor, Pima County, Arizona*. EPG Cultural Resource Services Technical Paper No. 2012-
23 23. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental Planning
24 Group. February.
- 25 Rosemont Copper Company. 2010. *Rosemont Copper Company Santa Rita Mountains Community*
26 *Endowment Trust: Trust Concept*. Rev. Tucson, Arizona: Rosemont Copper Company.
27 September 15.
- 28 Senior, L.M. 2001. *An Ethnohistoric Overview and Traditional Cultural Properties Inventory of the*
29 *Proposed Veritas Project, Coronado National Forest*. SWCA Cultural Resource Report No.
30 00-368. Submitted to Coronado National Forest. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental
31 Consultants. January 31.
- 32 Sheehan, M.S., S. Swanson, and S. Shelley. 2010. *A Cultural Resource Survey for the Rosemont*
33 *Project Utility Corridor, Pima County, Arizona*. Cultural Resource Services Technical Paper
34 No. 2010-001. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental Planning Group. May.
- 35 Sheehan, M.S., S. Swanson, and S. Shelly. 2012. *A Cultural Resource Survey for the Rosemont*
36 *Project Utility Corridor, Pima County, Arizona*. EPG Cultural Resource Services Technical
37 Paper No. 2010-001. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona:
38 Environmental Planning Group. June.
- 39 Steere, P. 2010. Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Cultural Affairs Program, Tohono O'odham
40 Nation, Sells, Arizona. Comments and questions relating to the cultural resources report
41 prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants for the Rosemont Copper Project. Written
42 communication from P. Steere to J. Derby, Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest. U.S.
43 Forest Service. February.
- 44 Sunderman, D.L., and S. Swanson. 2013. *A Cultural Resource Survey of Well Locations For Rosemont*
45 *Copper Utilities, Pima County, Arizona*. EPG Cultural Resource Services Technical Paper
46 No. 2013-002. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental
47 Planning Group. February.
- 48 Swanson, S. 2011. *Description of Helvetia Cemetery and Historic Property Inventory Form for*
49 *Cemeteries and Graves, Helvetia Cemetery*. Rev. Submitted to Todd Pietzel, Arizona State
50 Museum, University of Arizona. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental Planning Group. March 1.
- 51 Swanson, S., D. Sunderman, B. Snow, and C. Lonardo. 2010. *A Cultural Resources Survey of the*
52 *46kV Alternative and Additional Areas for the Rosemont Project 138kV Line, Pima County,*

- 1 *Arizona*. Cultural Resource Services Technical Paper No. 2010-0017. Prepared for Rosemont
2 Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona: Environmental Planning Group. September.
- 3 ————. 2012. *A Cultural Resources Survey of the 46kV Alternative and Additional Areas for the*
4 *Rosemont Project 138kV Line, Pima County, Arizona*. EPG Cultural Resource Services
5 Technical Paper No. 2010-0017. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Phoenix, Arizona:
6 Environmental Planning Group. June.
- 7 Tetra Tech. 2009. *Supplemental Noise Study, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-320794
8 (100-SFO-T22436; 100-SFO-T23373). Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson,
9 Arizona: Tetra Tech. April.
- 10 Tohono O'odham Legislative Branch. 2009. Resolution of the Tohono O'odham Legislative Council
11 (Opposing the Proposed Rosemont Copper Project). Resolution No. 09-569. Available at:
12 <http://tolc-nsn.org/docs/Actions09/09569.pdf>. Accessed August 29, 2011.
- 13 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
14 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
- 15 ————. 2003. *First Amended Programmatic Agreement Regarding Historic Property Protection and*
16 *Responsibilities Among New Mexico Historic Preservation Officer and Arizona State Historic*
17 *Preservation Officer and Texas State Historic Preservation Officer and Oklahoma State*
18 *Historic Preservation Officer and The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and United*
19 *States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Region 3*. Albuquerque, New Mexico:
20 Region 3, U.S. Forest Service. November 10.
- 21 ————. 2007. *FSH 2309.12 - Heritage Program Handbook*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
22 National Headquarters. June 4.
- 23 ————. 2008. Chapter 2360 - Heritage program management. In *FSM 2300 - Recreation, Wilderness,*
24 *and Related Resource Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service National
25 Headquarters. July 25.
- 26 ————. 2009. *Tribal Relations Strategic Plan: Fiscal Years 2010–2013*. FS-930. Washington, D.C.:
27 U.S. Forest Service. September.
- 28 ————. 2010. Chapter 1560 - State, tribal, county, and local agencies; Public and private
29 organizations. In *FSM 1500 - External Relations*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest Service
30 National Headquarters.
- 31 WestLand Resources Inc. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: Seeps and Springs Survey, 2011-2012*.
32 Project No. 1049.14. Prepared for Rosemont Copper Company. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand
33 Resources, Inc. July 11.

34 **Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice**

- 35 Applied Economics. 2011. *Economic Impacts of the Rosemont Copper Project on Pima County,*
36 *Arizona*. Phoenix, Arizona: Applied Economics. June.
- 37 Arizona Arts Sciences and Technology Academy. 2007. *Astronomy, Planetary Sciences, and Space*
38 *Sciences Research Opportunities to Advance Arizona's Economic Growth*. Chandler, Arizona:
39 Arizona Arts, Sciences, and Technology Academy.
- 40 Arizona Capital Times and Arizona Tax Research Association. 2010. *An Explanation of Arizona*
41 *Property Taxes*. 2010 ed. Phoenix, Arizona.
- 42 Arizona Daily Star. 2010. Star 200: The Top 200 employers of southern Arizona. Available at:
43 <http://dynamic.azstarnet.com/star200/>. Accessed September 3, 2010.
- 44 Arizona Department of Administration. 2012a. Employment gains forecasted in all sectors 2011–
45 2013; higher growth rates in 2013. Dated May 4, 2012. Available at:
46 http://www.workforce.az.gov/pubs/labor/Forecast_5-4-12.pdf. Accessed August 13, 2012.
- 47 ————. 2012b. Population Projections. Available at: [http://www.workforce.az.gov/population-](http://www.workforce.az.gov/population-projections.aspx)
48 [projections.aspx](http://www.workforce.az.gov/population-projections.aspx). Accessed May 6, 2013.
- 49 ————. 2012c. Population Projections: Cochise County. Available at:
50 <http://www.workforce.az.gov/population-projections.aspx>. Accessed May 6, 2013.

- 1 ———. 2012d. Population Projections: Santa Cruz County. Available at:
2 <http://www.workforce.az.gov/population-projections.aspx>. Accessed May 6, 2013.
- 3 ———. 2013. Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) Data. Available at:
4 <http://azstats.gov/laus-data-query-tool/>. Accessed May 13, 2013.
- 5 Arizona Department of Commerce. 2009a. *Profile: Cochise County, Arizona*. Phoenix, Arizona.
6 December.
- 7 ———. 2009b. *Profile: Pima County, Arizona*. Phoenix, Arizona. December.
- 8 ———. 2009c. *Profile: Santa Cruz County, Arizona*. Phoenix, Arizona. December.
- 9 Arizona Department of Revenue. 2011. Fiscal Year 2011 Annual Report. Dated November 15.
10 Available at: http://www.azdor.gov/Portals/0/AnnualReports/FY11_Annual_Report_Web.pdf.
11 Accessed August 13, 2012.
- 12 Arizona Department of Transportation. 2010. Average Annual Daily Traffic. Available at:
13 <http://www.azdot.gov/mpd/data/aadt.asp>. Accessed April 5, 2013.
- 14 ———. 2011. Fuel tax rates in Arizona. Available at:
15 <http://www.azdot.gov/mvd/fueltaxevasion/azfueltaxrates.asp>. Accessed September 15, 2011.
- 16 Arizona Office of Tourism. 2011. *Arizona 2010 Tourism Facts: Year-End Summary*. Phoenix,
17 Arizona: Arizona Office of Tourism. October.
- 18 Arizona State Parks. 2003. *Economic Importance of Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation to Arizona*.
19 Phoenix, Arizona: Arizona State Parks, Recreational Trails.
- 20 BBC Research and Consulting. 2013. *Additional Socioeconomic Evaluations: Rosemont Copper*
21 *Project EIS*. Prepared for Coronado National Forest. Denver, Colorado: BBC Research and
22 Consulting. May 14.
- 23 Bureau of Economic Analysis. n.d. [2012]. Total Full-time and Part-time Employment by NAICS
24 Industry. Available at:
25 [http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=70&step=1&isuri=1&acrnd=5#reqid=70&step](http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=70&step=1&isuri=1&acrnd=5#reqid=70&step=1&isuri=1)
26 [=1&isuri=1](http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=70&step=1&isuri=1&acrnd=5#reqid=70&step=1&isuri=1). Accessed August 15, 2012.
- 27 Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2012. CPI Inflation Calculator. Available at:
28 http://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm. Accessed March 27, 2013.
- 29 Cline, S., and A. Seidl. 2008. *Valuing Chaffee County's Working Landscapes and Water Quality: An*
30 *Analysis of Summer Tourists*. Economic Development Report. EDR 08-05. Fort Collins,
31 Colorado: Colorado State University Extension. March.
- 32 Cordell, H.K., V. Heboyan, F. Santos, and J.C. Bergstrom. 2011. *Natural Amenities and Rural*
33 *Population Migration: A Technical Document Supporting the Forest Service 2010 RPA*
34 *Assessment*. Asheville, North Carolina: U.S. Forest Service Southern Research Station.
35 December.
- 36 Council on Environmental Quality. 1997. *Environmental Justice: Guidance under the National*
37 *Environmental Policy Act*. Washington, D.C.: Council on Environmental Quality. December
38 10.
- 39 Dark Sky Partners LLC. 2012. *An Assessment of the Impact of Potential Mining Operations at the*
40 *Rosemont Copper Mine on the Night Sky of Southern Arizona: II. The 2012 Lighting Plan*.
41 Prepared for SWCA Environmental Consultants. Tucson, Arizona: Dark Sky Partners LLC.
42 November.
- 43 de Vor, F., and H.L.F. de Groot. 2009. *The Impact of Industrial Sites on Residential Property Values:*
44 *A Hedonic Pricing Analysis for The Netherlands*. Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper TI
45 2009-035/3. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Faculty of Economics and Business
46 Administration, Vrije Universiteit University Amsterdam, and Tinbergen Institute.
- 47 Ellingson, L., A. Seidl, and C. Mucklow. 2006. *Tourists' Value of Routt County's Working Landscape,*
48 *2005*. Economic Development Report. EDR 06-05. Fort Collins, Colorado: Colorado State
49 University Cooperative Extension. May.
- 50 Feder, T. 2012. Chile aims to better exploit role as telescope host. *Physics Today* 65(1):20-22.
- 51 Federal Highway Administration. 2011. Highway History: AASHO Road Test. Available at:
52 <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/50aasho.cfm>. Accessed March 27, 2013.

- 1 Gamby, E., and P. Reid. 2005. Gold mining: Environmental stigma or property value enhancement.
2 Paper presented at the Eleventh Annual Pacific-Rim Real Estate Society Conference, January
3 23–27, 2005, University of Melbourne, Australia.
- 4 Garrett, C. 2012. *Proposed Rosemont Copper Project, Dark Skies Coordination Meeting, December*
5 *19, 2012*. Tucson, Arizona: SWCA Environmental Consultants.
- 6 Gebert, K. n.d. [2011]. *Comparison of Economic Impact Results for the Proposed Rosemont Copper*
7 *Mine*. Briefing paper prepared by Regional Economist, Northern Region, U.S. Forest Service.
- 8 Gilmore, J.S., D. Hammond, K.D. Moore, J.F. Johnson, and D.C. Coddington. 1982. *Socioeconomic*
9 *Impacts of Power Plants*. Research Project No. 1226-4. Prepared for Electric Power Research
10 Institute. Denver, Colorado: Denver Research Institute and Browne, Bortz, and Coddington.
11 February.
- 12 Hand, M.S., J.A. Thatcher, D.W. McCollum, and R.P. Berrens. 2008a. Forest amenities and location
13 choice in the Southwest. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics Association*
14 33(2):232–253.
- 15 ———. 2008b. Intra-regional amenities, wages, and home prices: The role of forests in the
16 Southwest. *Land Economics* 84(4, November):635–651.
- 17 International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 2002. *Economic Importance of Hunting in*
18 *America*. Washington, D.C.
- 19 Karl, T.R., J.M. Melillo, and T.C. Peterson (eds.). 2009. *Global Climate Change Impacts in the*
20 *United States*. New York, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- 21 Kim, H.-S., and D. Harris. 1996. Air quality and view degradations due to copper mining and milling:
22 Preliminary analysis and cost estimates for Green Valley, Arizona. *Nonrenewable Resources*
23 5(2):91–102.
- 24 Lee, M.E., and B.L. Driver. 1999. Benefits-based management: A new paradigm for managing
25 amenity resources. In *Ecosystem Management: Adaptive Strategies for Natural Resources*
26 *Organizations in the 21st Century*, edited by J. Aley, W.R. Burch, B. Conover, and D. Field,
27 pp. 143–154. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Taylor and Francis.
- 28 M3 Engineering and Technology Corporation. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project: NI 43-101 Technical*
29 *Report, Updated Feasibility Study, Pima County, Arizona, USA*. Revision 0. Project No. M3-
30 PN 08036. Prepared for Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: M3 Engineering
31 and Technology Corporation. August 28.
- 32 Marlow, J.E. 2007. *Mining's Potential Economic Impacts in the Santa Rita and Patagonia Mountains*
33 *Region of Southeastern Arizona*. Prepared for Save the Scenic Santa Ritas. Tucson, Arizona:
34 Sonoran Institute. December.
- 35 Minnesota IMPLAN Group. 2008. Cochise, Pima, and Santa Cruz Counties. Available at:
36 <http://implan.com/v3/>. Accessed May 27, 2011.
- 37 Monrad, C.K., J. Benya, and D.L. Crawford. 2012. *Rosemont Copper Project Light Pollution*
38 *Mitigation Recommendation Report*. Revision 1. Monrad Engineering Inc. June 18.
- 39 Neugent, K.F., and P. Massey. 2011. The spectrum of the night sky over Kitt Peak: Changes over two
40 decades. *Astronomical Society of the Pacific* 122:1246-1253.
- 41 Orens, A., and A.F. Seidl. 2004. *Winter Tourism and Land Development in Gunnison Colorado:*
42 *Executive Summary*. Economic Development Report No. 11. EDR 04-11. Fort Collins,
43 Colorado: Colorado State University. August.
- 44 Power, T.M. 2010. *Scoping Comment Document: Analyses of Economic Costs of the Proposed*
45 *Rosemont Copper Project*. Prepared for The Mountain Empire Action Alliance. Submitted to
46 the Coronado National Forest. Missoula, Montana. August 16.
- 47 Rasker, R., B. Alexander, J. van den Noort, and R. Carter. 2004. *Public Lands Conservation and*
48 *Economic Well-Being*. Tucson, Arizona: Sonoran Institute. July.
- 49 Russell, J.C., and P.A. Adams-Russell. 2005. *Values, Attitudes and Beliefs toward National Forest*
50 *System Lands: The Coronado National Forest*. Prepared for the Coronado National Forest
51 and the U.S. Forest Service Southwestern Region. Placerville, California: Adams-Russell
52 Consulting. June 28.

- 1 Silberman, J. n.d. [2003]-a. *The Economic Importance of Fishing and Hunting: Economic Data on*
2 *Fishing and Hunting for the State of Arizona and for each Arizona County*. Prepared for
3 Arizona Game and Fish Department. Phoenix, Arizona: School of Management, Arizona
4 State University West.
- 5 ———. n.d. [2003]-b. *The Economic Importance of Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation: Economic*
6 *Data on Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation for the State of Arizona and each Arizona County*.
7 Prepared for Arizona Game And Fish Department and Arizona State Parks. Phoenix, Arizona:
8 School of Management, Arizona State University West.
- 9 Stynes, D.J. 2001. Estimating economic impacts of tourist spending on local regions: A comparison
10 of satellite and survey/I-O approaches. Paper presented at the Censtates, TTRA Conference,
11 September 20, 2001, Lisle, Illinois.
- 12 The Tax Foundation. 2010. Tax research areas: Arizona. Available at:
13 <http://www.taxfoundation.org/research/topic/13.html>. Accessed September 3, 2010.
- 14 U.S. Census Bureau. 2000a. American Fact Finder: Profile of Selected Housing Characteristics: 2000.
15 Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data. Available at:
16 http://factfinder2.census.gov/rest/dnldController/deliver?_ts=383953365161. Accessed April
17 13, 2013.
- 18 ———. 2000b. Median home values. Available at:
19 http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/DTable?_bm=y&-context=dt&-ds_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U&-CONTEXT=dt&-mt_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_H085&-tree_id=403&-all_geo_types=N&-geo_id=05000US04003&-geo_id=05000US04019&-geo_id=05000US04023&-search_results=01000US&-format=&-_lang=en&-SubjectID=17499646. Accessed May 26, 2011.
- 24 ———. 2000c. Population densities. Available at:
25 [http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/ThematicMapFramesetServlet?_bm=y&-PANEL_ID=tm_result&-_MapEvent=displayBy&-tm_name=DEC_2000_SF1_U_M00090&-ds_name=DEC_2000_SF1_U&-tm_config=\[b=50|l=en|t=809|zf=0.0\]ms=thm_def|dw=9.709094003118086|dh=6.065225466146513|dt=gov.census.aff.domain.map.EnglishMapExtent|if=gif|cx=-111.930185|cy=34.168172|zl=8|pz=8|bo=|bl=|ft=350:349:335:389:388:332:331|fl=403:381:204:380:369:379:368|g=05000US04019|ds=PEP_2009_EST|sb=89|tud=false|db=060|mn=4|m|x=334|cc=1|cm=1|cn=5|cb=|um=Persons/Sq%20Mile|pr=0|th=PEP_2009_EST_M00090|sf=N|sg=&-redoLog=false&-errMsg=&-geo_id=04000US04&-_dBy=050&-_sse=on&-_lang=en](http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/ThematicMapFramesetServlet?_bm=y&-PANEL_ID=tm_result&-_MapEvent=displayBy&-tm_name=DEC_2000_SF1_U_M00090&-ds_name=DEC_2000_SF1_U&-tm_config=[b=50|l=en|t=809|zf=0.0]ms=thm_def|dw=9.709094003118086|dh=6.065225466146513|dt=gov.census.aff.domain.map.EnglishMapExtent|if=gif|cx=-111.930185|cy=34.168172|zl=8|pz=8|bo=|bl=|ft=350:349:335:389:388:332:331|fl=403:381:204:380:369:379:368|g=05000US04019|ds=PEP_2009_EST|sb=89|tud=false|db=060|mn=4|m|x=334|cc=1|cm=1|cn=5|cb=|um=Persons/Sq%20Mile|pr=0|th=PEP_2009_EST_M00090|sf=N|sg=&-redoLog=false&-errMsg=&-geo_id=04000US04&-_dBy=050&-_sse=on&-_lang=en).
33 Accessed May 27, 2011.
- 34 ———. 2000d. Total population for counties and towns. Available at:
35 http://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/DTable?_bm=y&-context=dt&-ds_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U&-CONTEXT=dt&-mt_name=DEC_2000_SF3_U_P001&-tree_id=403&-redoLog=true&-all_geo_types=N&-geo_id=04000US04&-geo_id=05000US04003&-geo_id=05000US04019&-geo_id=05000US04023&-geo_id=16000US0422150&-geo_id=16000US0449640&-geo_id=16000US0460188&-geo_id=16000US0466820&-geo_id=16000US0468220&-geo_id=16000US0468850&-geo_id=16000US0475940&-geo_id=16000US0477000&-search_results=01000US&-format=&-_lang=en&-SubjectID=17497279. Accessed May 26, 2011.
- 44 ———. 2005. Interim Projections of the Total Population for the United States and States: April 1,
45 2000 to July 1, 2030. Internet release date: April 25, 2005. Available at:
46 <http://www.census.gov/population/projections/files/stateproj/SummaryTabA1.pdf>. Accessed
47 January 8, 2013.
- 48 ———. 2010a. American Fact Finder: Educational Attainment. 2006-2010 American Community
49 Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
50 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_5YR_S1501&prodType=table. Accessed April 5, 2013.

- 1 ———. 2010b. American Fact Finder: Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics
2 2010. 2010 Census American Indian and Alaska Native Summary File: Tohono O'odham.
3 Available at:
4 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_
5 [AIAN_AIANDP1&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_AIAN_AIANDP1&prodType=table). Accessed March 25, 2013.
- 6 ———. 2010c. American Fact Finder: Profile of General Population and Housing Characteristics
7 2010. 2010 Census American Indian and Alaska Native Summary File: Yaqui. Available at:
8 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_
9 [AIAN_AIANDP1&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_AIAN_AIANDP1&prodType=table). Accessed March 25, 2013.
- 10 ———. 2010d. American Fact Finder: Profile of General Population Housing Characteristics 2010.
11 2010 Demographic Profile Data. Available at:
12 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_
13 [DP_DPDP1&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_DP_DPDP1&prodType=table). Accessed January 21, 2013.
- 14 ———. 2010e. American Fact Finder: Selected Economic Characteristics. 2006-2010 American
15 Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
16 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_
17 [5YR_DP03&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_5YR_DP03&prodType=table). Accessed January 21, 2013.
- 18 ———. 2010f. American Fact Finder: Selected Economic Characteristics. 2006-2010 American
19 Community Survey Selected Population Tables. Available at:
20 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_
21 [5YR_DP03&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_5YR_DP03&prodType=table). Accessed January 9, 2013.
- 22 ———. 2010g. American Fact Finder: Selected Housing Characteristics. 2006-2010 American
23 Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
24 http://factfinder2.census.gov/rest/dnldController/deliver?_ts=383953146489. Accessed April
25 13, 2013.
- 26 ———. 2010h. American Fact Finder: Selected Housing Characteristics. 2006-2010 American
27 Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Available at:
28 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_
29 [5YR_DP04&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_10_5YR_DP04&prodType=table). Accessed January 20, 2013.
- 30 ———. 2010i. Population and housing occupancy status: 2010 state-county/county equivalent.
31 Available at:
32 http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_
33 [PL_GCTPL2.ST05&prodType=table](http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=DEC_10_PL_GCTPL2.ST05&prodType=table). Accessed June 6, 2011.
- 34 ———. 2012a. *Arizona: 2010. Population and Housing Unit Counts*. 2010 Census of Population and
35 Housing. CPH-2-4. Washington, D.C. : U.S. Census Bureau. July.
- 36 ———. 2012b. *Arizona: 2010. Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*. 2010 Census of
37 Population and Housing. CPH-1-4. Washington D.C.: U.S. Census Bureau. October.
- 38 ———. 2012c. How the Census Bureau Measures Poverty. Available at:
39 <http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poverty/about/overview/measure.html>. Accessed March
40 27, 2013.
- 41 U.S. Department of Energy, and Bureau of Land Management. 2010. Chapter 5: Impacts of Solar
42 Energy Development and Potential Mitigation Measures. *In* Draft Programmatic
43 Environmental Impact Statement for Solar Energy Development in Six Southwestern States.
44 Vol. 1. Document No. DES 10-59 DOE/EIS-0403. Available at:
45 http://solareis.anl.gov/documents/dpeis/Solar_DPEIS_Chapter_5.pdf. Accessed May 13,
46 2013.
- 47 U.S. Department of Interior. n.d. [2013]. Payments in Lieu of Taxes: County Payments. Available at:
48 <http://www.doi.gov/pilt/county-payments.cfm>. Accessed March 27, 2013.
- 49 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2012. Climate Change: Southwest Impacts and Adaption.
50 Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/impacts-adaptation/southwest.html>.
51 Accessed March 27, 2013.

- 1 U.S. Forest Service. 1986. *Coronado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan*. U.S.
2 Forest Service, Southwestern Region.
3 ———. 2008. *National Visitor Use Monitoring Results*. Data collected FY 2001 and FY 2007. U.S.
4 Forest Service, Region 3, Coronado National Forest. November 2.
5 ———. 2009. Travel management economic contribution application. Database version 7-30-2009.
6 Provided by Susan A. Winter, Economist, Ecosystem Management Coordination, U.S. Forest
7 Service. Fort Collins, Colorado.
8 ———. 2011. *Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Rosemont Copper Project, a Proposed*
9 *Mining Operation in Coronado National Forest, Pima County, Arizona*. 2 vols. Document
10 Nos. MB-R3-05-3 and MB-R3-05-3a. Albuquerque, New Mexico: U.S. Forest Service,
11 Southwestern Region. September.
12 ———. n.d. [1985]. *FSH 1909.17 - Economic and Social Analysis*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Forest
13 Service National Headquarters.
14 United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. 2007. United Nations Declaration on the
15 Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Adopted September 13, 2007. Available at:
16 [http://social.un.org/index/IndigenousPeoples/DeclarationontheRightsofIndigenousPeoples.as](http://social.un.org/index/IndigenousPeoples/DeclarationontheRightsofIndigenousPeoples.aspx)
17 [px](http://social.un.org/index/IndigenousPeoples/DeclarationontheRightsofIndigenousPeoples.aspx). Accessed August 10, 2012.
18 WestLand Resources Inc. 2007. *Mine Plan of Operations*. Project No. 1049.05.B.700. Prepared for
19 Augusta Resource Corporation. Tucson, Arizona: WestLand Resources, Inc. July 11.

20 Required Disclosures

- 21 Crowson, P. 2009. The resource curse: A modern myth? In *Mining, Society and a Sustainable World*,
22 edited by J.P. Richards. New York, New York: Springer.
23 Montgomery and Associates Inc. 2010. *Revised Report: Groundwater Flow Modeling Conducted for*
24 *Simulation of Proposed Rosemont Pit Dewatering and Post-closure, Vol. 1: Text and Tables*.
25 Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Montgomery and Associates Inc. August
26 30.
27 Tetra Tech. 2010. *Regional Groundwater Flow Model, Rosemont Copper Project*. Project No. 114-
28 320874. Prepared for Rosemont Copper. Tucson, Arizona: Tetra Tech. November.
29 Wheat Scharf Associates. 2003. *Corridor Management Plan for the Patagonia-Sonoita Scenic Road*.
30 Submitted to the Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division
31 Transportation Enhancement/Scenic Roads Section. Tucson, Arizona: Wheat Scharf
32 Associates. January.

33 Chapter 7

- 34 Gary, M., R. McAfee Jr, and C.L. Wolf (eds.). 1974. *Glossary of Geology*. Washington D.C.:
35 American Geological Institute.
36 International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry. 2012. Compendium of Chemical Terminology:
37 Gold Book. Version 2.3.2. Available at: <http://goldbook.iupac.org/PDF/goldbook.pdf>.
38 Accessed April 5, 2013.
39 North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature. 2005. North American Stratigraphic
40 Code. Available at: <http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/Info/NACSN/Code2/code2.html>. Accessed April
41 5, 2013.
42 Walker, J.D., J.W. Geissman, S.A. Bowring, and L.E. Babcock. 2012. *Geologic Time Scale* v. 4.0
43 Geological Society of America.