ROD – figures with no GIS data
Figure A-2. Selected action plant site
Figure A-4. Selected action stormwater concept

FEIS – figures with no GIS data
Figure 2. Sulfide ore processing; general schematic
Figure 3. Oxide ore processing, general schematic
Figure 4. Typical transmission and pipeline easement (Tucson Electric Power Company 2011)
Figure 6. Process water schematic
Figure 10. Layout of plant facilities under the proposed action
Figure 11. Stormwater control concept under the proposed action
Figure 14. Phased Tailings Alternative plant site
Figure 15. Phased Tailings Alternative stormwater control concept
Figure 18. Barrel Alternative plant site
Figure 19. Barrel Alternative stormwater concept
Figure 22. Barrel Trail Alternative stormwater control concept (flowthrough drains not shown)
Figure 25. Basic Forest Service bonding process as supported by regulations and guidance
Figure 29. General geology of the project area. Adapted from Tetra Tech (2007b), Johnson and Ferguson (2007), and Drewes (1972a)
Figure 30. Geological cross section of the project area. Adapted from Tetra Tech (2007b), Johnson and Ferguson (2007), and Drewes (1972a)
Figure 32. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 5
Figure 33. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 10
Figure 34. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 15
Figure 35. Reclamation area: revegetation types year 22
Figure 39. Distribution of winds (percent) at the project site
Figure 41. Visibility at Saguaro National Park East
Figure 46. Comparison of sources of recharge and withdrawal sinks in mine water supply analysis area in the Upper Santa Cruz Subbasin
Figure 48. Subsidence in the Sahuarita and Green Valley areas, Pima County, February 2009 through January 2010. Adapted from ADWR (2010)
Figure 70. Depth of water in Upper Cienega Creek for period of record, 2001 to 2013
Figure 84. A project area landscape
Figure 86. Barrel Alternative regional visibility
Figure 87. Visual simulation of the Scholefield-McCleary Alternative as seen from viewpoint 2
Figure 88. Visual simulation of the Barrel Alternative (with revegetation over the span of 20 years) as seen from viewpoint 2
Figure 97. Hazardous materials storage—proposed action
Figure 98. Hazardous materials storage—Barrel Alternative
Figure 100. Fire occurrence within Rosemont Copper claim boundary on NFS land, 1988 to 2009